

FILED
CIVIL PROCESSING

1001 JUN 10 P 2: 43

JOHN T. FREY
CLERK, CIRCUIT COURT
FAIRFAX, VA

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 24

Date: May 26, 2022 Case: Depp, II -v- Heard

Planet Depos

Phone: 888:433,3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY

		-	7436		7438
	VIRGINIA:		1	APPEARANCES .	
	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX	COUNTY	2		
	х		3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIM	
	JOHN C. DEPP, II, :		4	DEFENDANT:	
	Plaintiff and :		5	BENJAMIN G. CHEW, ESQUIRE	
	Counterclaim Defendant, :		6	ANDREW C. CRAWFORD, ESQUIRE	
	v. : Civil	Action No.:	7	BROWN RUDNICK LLP	
	AMBER LAURA HEARD, : CL-201	9-0002911	8	601 Thirteenth Street NW	
	Defendant and :		9	Suite 600	
8	Counterclaim Plaintiff. :		10	Washington, D.C. 20005	
l	х		11	202.536.1700	
2	HEARING		12		
3	BEFORE THE HONORABLE PENNEY AZCA	RATE	13	CAMILLE M. VASQUEZ, ESQUIRE	
4	Fairfax, Virginia		14	SAMUEL A. MONIZ, ESQUIRE	
5	Thursday, May 26, 2022		15	BROWN RUDNICK LLP	
6	9:00 a.m. EDT		16	2211 Michelson Drive	
7	TRIAL DAY 24		17	7th Floor	
8		•	18	Irvine, CA 92712	• .
9			19	949.440.0234	
9	Job No.: 443908		20		
1	Pages: 7436 - 7705		21		7
	Reported by: Judith E. Bellinger, RPR,	CRR	22		,
2			7437		7439
	Held at:		1 A	PPEARANCES CONTINUED	7439
			1 A	`	7439
	Held at:		1 A	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE	7439
	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY		1 A 2 3	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP	7439
	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road		1 A	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square	7439
	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J		1 A 2 3	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY	7439
	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square	7439
	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J		1 A 2 3	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938	7439
	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE	7439
0	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP	7439
9	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center	7439
e 1 2	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	7439
0 1 2 3	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center	7439
0 1 2 3 4	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	7439
0 1 2 3 4 5	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	7439
0 1 2 3 4 5 6	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	7439
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	7439
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	7439
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	7439
00 1 1 2 3 3 4 4 5 5 6 6 7 8 9 9 0 0	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	7439
	Held at: CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY 4110 Chain Bridge Road Courtroom 5J Fairfax, Virginia 22030		1 A 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JESSICA N. MEYERS, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP 7 Times Square New York, NY 212.209.4938 WAYNE DENNISON, ESQUIRE BROWN RUDNICK LLP One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111	7439

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

		7446	· 1		<u></u>		7442
ļ	APPEARANCES CONTINUE	D	1		EXHI	BITS	•
	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT AND COUNTERCL	MIM	2		Offered	Admitted	
	PLAINTIFF:		3	Plaintiff'	s		•
	•		4	712A	7507	7510	
	ELAINE CHARLSON BREDEHOFT, ESQUIRE		5	713A	7507	7510	
	ADAM S. NADELHAFT, ESQUIRE		6	1308	7508	7508	
	DAVID E. MURPHY, ESQUIRE		7	1312	7540	7540	
3	CHARLSON BREDEHOFT COHEN BROWN &		8				
)	NADELHAFT, P.C.		9				
10	11260 Roger Bacon Drive		10				
11	Suite 201		11				
12	Reston, VA 20190		12				
13	703.318.6800		13				
14			14				
15	J. BENJAMIN ROTTENBORN, ESQUIRE		15				
16	ELAINE D. McCAFFERTY, ESQUIRE		16				
17	WOODS ROGERS PLC		17	•	•		1
18	10 South Jefferson Street		18				
19	Suite 1400		19				
20	P.O. Box 14125		20				
21	Roanoke, VA 24011		21			·	
22	540.983.7540		22				
	CONTENTS		1	_		EEDINGS	7443
2 EX	AMINATION OF RICHARD GILBERT, M.D.	PAGE	2		THE BAIL	FF: All rise.	
3	By Mr. Moniz	7447	3]	Please be s	eated and come	to order.
+	By Mr. Rottenborn	7465	4		THE COUR	RT: Good morn	ing.
5	By Hr. Honiz	7475	5]	MS. BRED	EHOFT: Good	l morning, Your
6 EX	AMINATION OF Julian Ackert	PAGE	6	Honor.			_
7	By Mr. Murphy	, 7483	7		THE COUR	RT: All right. I	Do we have any
8	By Mr. Dennison	7504	8			s at this time?	
9 .	By Mr. Murphy	7511	9	-	-	ENBORN: Ve	rv brief
	MAMINATION OF DAWN M. HUGHES, PH.D., ABPP	PAGE	10			RT: Sure. Wan	•
11	By Ms. Bredehoft	7515		l forward		cr. Duic. Wall	ic to come
12	By Mr. Dennison	7536			-		
13	By Ms. Bredehoft	7552	12		(Sidebar.)	ENDOUNT O	. d
	MAINATION OF AMBER LAURA HEARD -	PAGE -	13			ENBORN: Go	_
	By Mr. Rottenborn	7563	14			RT: Good morr	
15	•	7500	114	5]	мк. котт	ENBORN: Thi	•
15 16	By Ms. Vasquez	7589 7623	- 1				
15 16 17	•	7589 7623	10	-		dilbert. Wé're i	
15 16 17 18	By Ms. Vasquez		10	-		ilbert. We're i but I think it's	
15 16 17 18	By Ms. Vasquez		10 17	7 get him	excluded, l		early. But
15 16 17 18 19	By Ms. Vasquez		10 17 18	7 get him 3 I do wa	excluded, l int to be cle	but I think it's	e early. But our Honor's
15 16 17 18 19 20	By Ms. Vasquez		10 17 18 19	7 get him 3 I do wa 9 rulings	excluded, l int to be cle yesterday.	but I think it's ar, based on Yo	s early. But our Honor's issued a
14 EX 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	By Ms. Vasquez		10 17 18 19 20	7 get him 3 I do wa 9 rulings 9 fairly	excluded, l int to be cle yesterday. - this was in	out I think it's ar, based on Yo So this is he n rebuttal. So f	s early. But our Honor's issued a

Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7444	7446
1 sustained, as well as Dr. Jordan and Dr. Moore's	1 wall took the finger off. Amber said I saw him
2 opinions relating to it. So since this is in	2 slam the phone against the wall, I don't know how
3 rebuttal and they didn't call him in	3 his finger came off, but if he's saying Ms. Heard
4 case-in-chief, Dr. Jordan's out, she never	4 said it happened when the phone slammed against
5 testified.	5 the wall, that's him improperly setting up a
6 THE COURT: I agree with you.	6 strawman rebuttal argument on a lay witness,
7 MR. ROTTENBORN: Dr. Moore, here's the	7 because Dr. Moore did not testify to that.
8 issue: Doctor in his deposition, Dr. Gilbert	8 MR. MURPHY: Dr. Moore testified about
9 seemed to believe that Amber had said the phone	9 all sorts of things that could have caused this
10 took the finger off, smashing the phone against	10 injury. This is the witness that's rebutting it.
11 the wall, which Amber never said. She said, I	11 We're entitled to say
12 don't know how it happened.	MR. ROTTENBORN: He didn't testify
13 THE COURT: That sounds like it's for	13 about a phone.
14 cross-examination.	14 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the
MR. ROTTENBORN: No, I know, but I just	15 objection on that.
16 want to this is part of the rebuttal issue that	16 Anything else?
17 we talked about yesterday. So Dr. Moore, in his	MR. ROTTENBORN: No, thank you.
18 testimony, never mentioned the phone. He never	18 THE COURT: Okay. Good.
19 said basically what Dr. Gilbert wants to do is	19 (Open court.)
20 say, given the competing potential causes that	20 THE COURT: All right. Then, are we
21 I've heard, there's a phone and there's a bottle	21 ready for the jury?
22 and there's, you know, whatever Dr. Moore	22 MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes, Your Honor.
7445	7447
1 testified to. It's fair for him to rebut what	1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
2 Dr. Moore testified to, but if he's testifying	2 (Whereupon, the jury entered the
3 about saying, well, it didn't happen with the	3 courtroom and the following proceedings took
4 phone, that's, first of all, that's not what Amber	4 place.)
5 testified to, but that would be rebutting lay	5 THE COURT: All right. Good morning.
6 witness testimony, which isn't appropriate. I	6 ladies and gentlemen. All right.
7 want to make sure we're on the same page that he	7 Have your seat.
8 can't testify about a phone as a possible cause or	8 All right. Your next witness.
9 not.	9 MR. MONIZ: We call Dr. Richard
10 THE COURT: But that's his opinion,	10 Gilbert, Your Honor.
11 right?	11 THE COURT: Dr. Gilbert.
12 MS. VASQUEZ. Yeah.	12 RICHARD GILBERT, M.D.
13 MR. ROTTENBORN: But it's in rebuttal,	a witness called on behalf of the
14 so has to be rebutting something from our case,	14 Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant, having been
15 and there's been no testimony on that.	15 first duly swom by the Clerk, testified as
16 THE COURT: But when he's rebutting, he	16 follows:
17 can have an opinion, a different opinion, right?	17 THE COURT: Good morning, sir.
18 MR. ROTTENBORN: Correct.	18 THE WITNESS: Good morning.
19 THE COURT: Okay.	19 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND
20 MR. ROTTENBORN: But I'm saying there's	20 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT
21 been no evidence put forward by an expert witness	21 BY MR. MONIZ:
22 in our case that the slamming a phone against the	22 Q Good morning, Dr. Gilbert,

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 24

Conducted on May 26, 2022 7448 A Good morning.

- 1
- 2 0 Would you please state your name for 3 the record.
- A Richard Stephen Gilbert.
- 5 Q And what is your occupation?
- A I am an orthopedic surgeon, and I have 7 a subspecialty training in surgery of the hand and 8 upper extremity.
- Q Dr. Gilbert, where do you work, 10 currently?
- A I currently work in New York, in Long 11 12 Island at Northwell Health.
- Q And you mentioned you have a specialty. 14 Can you tell us a little bit more about what your 15 specialty is?
- 16 A So, after medical school, I trained in 17 orthopedic surgery, and I did an orthopedic 18 surgery residency. And for a year thereafter, I 19 did a hand and upper extremity surgery -20 fellowship, so my practice for the past 22 years 21 has been limited to surgery of the hand, wrist, 22 and elbow.
- Q And I think you may have gotten ahead 2 of me there. But how long have you been 3 practicing?
- A 22 years.
- Q Okay. What percentage of your practice 6 over the past 22 years has involved surgery?
- A Approximately, one-third of my 8 practice.
- O Okay. And other than that one-third 10 that involves surgery, what does the rest of your 11 practice entail?
- 12 A The rest of my practice entails some 13 academic work, but, mostly, seeing patients in the 14 office, seeing them either pre or postoperatively, 15 a large portion of hand surgeons treat patients 16 nonoperatively.
- Q In terms of the surgeries that you do, 18 can you describe for us the types of surgeries 19 that you will perform?
- A So, again, I limit my practice to hand, 21 wrist, and elbow. I would say, approximately, 22.75 percent or so of my practice is limited to the

1 hand itself. And this really varies from anything

- 2 from conditions like carpal tunnel syndrome to
- tendonitis to injuries such as amputations,
- fractures, sharp lacerations, et cetera.
- Q Over the course of your 22 years of 6 practice, how many surgeries would you estimate you've performed?
- A I performed about 3- to 400 surgeries a 9 year, so a rough estimate would be, approximately, 10 10,000, maybe a little less.
- Q Where are you currently licensed? 11
- 12 A In New York.
- O And how long have you been licensed in 13 14 New York?
- A I've been licensed in New York since 15 16 1994, so that would be 28 years.
- Q And do you have any certifications?
- 18 A Yes.

7449

- 19 Q And can you tell us about those?
- 20 A I am board certified by the American 21 Board of Orthopaedic Surgery, and I have a
- 22 certificate of added qualifications in surgery of

1 the hand from the American Society for Surgery of the Hand.

- Q When did you receive your board certification?
- A 2002.
- O And can you describe for us what
- current positions you hold?
- A I am currently an assistant professor 9 of orthopedic surgery at Northwell Health, and I'm 10 also the president elect of the New York Society 11 for Surgery of the Hand.
- Q In what professional organizations are 13 you a member?
- A I'm a member of multiple orthopedic 15 organizations, including the American Board of 16 Orthopaedic Surgery, the American Association of 17 Orthopedic Surgery and the American Society for 18 Surgery of the Hand, as well as the New York 19 Society for Surgery of the Hand.
- 20 Have you published any articles in your 21 field?
- 22 A Yes, I have.

Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7452	7454
1 Q Have you testified as an expert before?	1 type of blunt force with a high mechanism of
2 A Yes, I have.	2 injury. He also sustained loss of the tissue
3 Q Can you ballpark for us how many times?	3 along what's called the ulnar side of the finger,
4 A Certainly less than ten, over the past	4 towards the little finger, and this appears, based
5 22 years.	5 upon my review of the pictures, to be some type of
6 MR. MONIZ: Your Honor, at this time,	6 sharp laceration because it represented a clean
7 we'd like to offer Dr. Gilbert as an expert in the	7 edges of the wound.
8 field of orthopedic surgery, specializing in the	8 Q Can you take a look at Plaintiff's
9 hand.	9 Exhibit 60.
10 THE COURT: All right. Any objection?	10 MR. MONIZ: And pull up to go to
11 MR. ROTTENBORN: No objection, Your	11 page 3.
12 Honor.	12 Q And, Dr. Gilbert, are you familiar with
13 THE COURT: So moved.	13 this document?
14 Q So, Dr. Gilbert, what work were you	14 A Yes.
15 asked to do in connection with this case?	15 Q And what is it?
16 A So, I was asked to review medical	16 A So these are two radiographs, X-rays,
17 records, radiographs, pictures, as well	17 of Mr. Depp's fingers – finger, his middle
18 testimonies and depositions related to Mr. Depp's	18 finger.
19 finger injury.	19 Q Okay.
20 Q Okay. And to your understanding, what	20 A And —
21 is Mr. Depp's explanation of how his finger was	21 Q Sorry, just to stop you.
22 injured?	22 MR. MONIZ: Your Honor, may we publish
7453	7455
1 A He describes that he was in Australia	1 this to the jury as a demonstrative?
2 and his right hand was over the side of a bar when	2 THE COURT: Any objection?
3 a vodka bottle was thrown at his hand, injuring	3 MR. ROTTENBORN: No objection.
4 his right middle finger. And he describes that	4 THE COURT: All right. We'll identify
5 that vodka bottle exploded.	5 it as 60, page 3, and publish to the jury.
6 Q Are you aware of other explanations for	6 Q And, Dr. Gilbert, sorry to cut you off
7 that injury?	7 there.
8 A There have been multiple other	8 A No, that's okay.
9 explanations, but I think the one that was brought	9 Q Can you just tell the jury what we're
10 out was by Ms. Heard, who believed that Mr. Depp	10 looking at here in this X-ray?
11 was holding a landline and anger was punching	11 A We're looking at two X-rays of
12 it against the wall, and this resulted in his	12 Mr. Depp's right middle finger after the injury,
13 right middle finger injury.	13 and towards the tip of the finger, there's a
14 Q Based on your review of the medical	14 fracture, again, what I describe as a comminuted
15 records and other documents in connection with	15 fracture, meaning there are multiple pieces at the
16 Mr. Depp's finger injury, how would you describe	16 tip. There's also a transverse component to the
17 the nature of the injury to Mr. Depp's finger?	17 fracture, closer to the joint.
	18 Q In your view, what types of mechanisms
18 A Well, the nature of the injury was, he	19 can cause this sort of injury?
19 had what we described as a comminuted fracture of	
20 the distal phalanx, meaning there was a fracture	
21 of the tip of the finger, and comminuted meaning 22 that there were multiple pieces. So this is some	21 has high velocity or force, generally a crush-type 22 injury. A simple fall would not result in a

7459

1	7456		
	1 comminuted fracture, such as seen in these X-rays.	1	the cause

- Q And we're using the term "comminuted fracture," can we just define that quickly for us?
- A So, again, comminuted, and it's not particular to a finger, is a medical term for a fracture that has multiple fragments.
- Q In your opinion, could this injury havebeen sustained in the manner Mr. Depp described?
- A I do believe so.
- 10 Q And how might that work? How might a 11 thrown vodka bottle produce an injury like this?
- 12 A So, a vodka bottle, which is a hard 13 object, would have crushed the tip of the finger, 14 resulting in the comminuted fracture, and in 15 addition, as the vodka bottle broke, the glass 16 would have lacerated the finger, resulting in the 17 soft tissue loss that was also seen with this 18 injury.
- 19 Q What's your assessment of the 20 description of the cause of the injury offered by 21 Ms. Heard?
- 22 A I think that's highly unlikely.
- Q And why is that?
- A Because, one, in general, when an
- 3 injury is caused by punching against a wall or a
- 4 hard object, it is extremely rare to see an injury
- 5 to the tips of the fingers, which is seen with
- 6 Mr. Depp's injury. Generally, you're holding
- 7 something with a fist, and that, in general, leads
- 8 to injuries, most commonly, of the knuckles here
- 9 or fractures of the metacarpal. We call these
- 10 boxer fractures. Second most common, after those,
- 11 would be injuries to these. But as you can see,
- 12 if you're holding something and make -- hitting
- 13 against something, it is very unlikely that the
- 14 tips on the fingers will be injured. In addition,
- 15 just taking into account the radiographs and the
- 16 picture of the injury, I would not believe that a
- 17 blunt force, solely a blunt force against a wall 18 would result in the soft tissue loss.
- 19 Q What other injuries, if any, would you 20 expect to see on Mr. Depp's hand if the injury had
- 21 occurred as Ms. Heard described?
- 22 A So, if you would have if that were

- 1 the cause of the injury, you would certainly --
- 2 that would be a dorsally directed -- so this is a
- 3 dorsum, the back of hand, as opposed to the palmar
- 4 side. So if you're punching against something,
- 5 you should certainly expect an injury to the
- 6 nailbed, and those are always seen in those type
- 7 of injuries, if there is an injury to the tip of
- 8 the finger, which, again, I said is rare. So
- 9 you'd see either loss of the nail or blood
- 10 underneath the nail called a subungual hematoma.
- 1 Q In your review of the medical records,
- 12 did you see any sign of anything like that?
- 13 A None, whatsoever.
- 14 Q In your view, is the injury -- can you
- 15 explain to us exactly why you think the injury is
- 16 consistent with Mr. Depp's description?
- 17 A Well, again, his hand -- he describes
- 18 his hand being held over a marble bar, which is a
- 19 firm something firm, unyielding, and the bottle
- 20 hit against the finger. So basically, the finger
- 21 was crushed, resulting in, again, what I described
- 22 os a comminuted fractum. And as Mr. Donn
- 22 as a comminuted fracture. And as Mr. Depp
 - 1 described, the bottle exploded, so it's certainly
 - 2 reasonable that the glass that exploded, as well,
 - 3 led to the soft tissue loss along the ulnar aspect
 - of his finger.
 - 5 Q Now, did you observe the testimony of
 - 6 Dr. Richard Moore?
 - A Yes, I did.
 - Q And he testified about Mr. Depp's
 - 9 finger injury as well, right?
 - 10 A Correct.
 - 11 Q Did you agree with Dr. Moore's
 - 12 testimony?
 - 13 A Certain parts of his testimony, I did,
 - 14 yes.
 - 15 Q Okay.
 - 16 A But not all of it.
 - 17 Q Focusing on the parts that you -- well,
 - 18 first of all, why don't you tell us what you agree 19 on.
 - 20 A Well, I agreed in terms of his
 - 21 interpretation of the X-rays, saying that he had a
 - 22 comminuted fracture and this was some type of

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 24 Conducted on May 26, 2022

5

6

7460

1 high-force injury.

19 are plausible.

- Q Okay. What did you disagree with?
- A Well, I disagreed with several things 4 that he said. He first said that he does not 5 believe that the mechanism of injury could be as 6 described by Mr. Depp, holding the hand on the 7 side of a marble bar because he said it was all a 8 palmar injury. And there was a palmar component 8 9 to the injury, when I'm talking about the soft 10 tissue loss, but there's also a dorsal, meaning 11 the back of the finger. So the soft tissue loss 12 was actually, if you looked at the pictures which 13 were shown when Dr. Moore testified, the injury 14 goes like this (indicating), so it's an angle or 15 an oblique-type injury, and there's no way that 16 anybody could determine whether or not it was a 17 palmar-directed force, meaning from the palm side 18 or dorsally directed force. But certainly either
- Q Okay. Anything else come to mind that 21 you disagreed with.
- A Well, he also described that this was

1 definite – well, he thought that this was a

- 2 pinching or what he described as an avulsion-type
- 3 injury, and that's referring to the soft tissue
- 4 loss. That's certainly possible, but if you look
- 5 at the pictures that were shown when he testified,
- 6 the laceration looked fairly clean. There were
- 7 clean edges, they were not jagged. Generally,
- 8 when an avulsion or a pinch-type injury, you see a
- 9 very irregular border to the skin that's pinched
- 10 off, you could imagine, as opposed to something 11 cleaner that would be lacerated by, let's say, a
- 12 piece of glass, knife, or whatever. And that's 13 more consistent with the pictures of Mr. Depp's 14 injury.
- Q And we're using the term "soft tissue 16 loss," and just to make sure we're on the same 17 page, can you just tell the jury quickly what 18 you're referring to there?
- 19 A So he - there were two components to 20 his injury, one, the fracture of the bone, and 21 two, he had loss of the soft tissue so that the

1 found at the bar.

Q Now, you were saying that Dr. Moore testified that this was an avulsion and said -meaning a pinching injury, right?

A Correct.

Q Why do you believe it's more likely 7 that it was a laceration?

A Well, again, in general, a pinch-type 9 injury results in one much more damaged, the 10 remaining tissue, but the remaining tissue is 11 usually very irregular, the borders are jagged, 12 and that's not what was depicted in the pictures.

O Dr. Moore also testified that he 14 thought a vodka bottle might not have sufficient 15 force to cause the injury here.

Is that your understanding of his 17 testimony?

18 A Correct.

19

6

7461

What's your response to that?

20 A I certainly believe that a vodka bottle 21 that was thrown from a distance against a hand 22 that was resting on a marble bar is more than

1 sufficient force to result in this fracture and 2 soft tissue loss.

Q Now, in looking at the medical records 4 and the pictures of Mr. Depp's hand, what was the condition of his nail?

A There was no injury to the nail.

And other than what you've already told 8 us, how does that factor into your analysis, if at 9 all, the fact that there was no injury to the 10 nail?

11 A Well, it makes it highly unlikely that 12 the mechanism of injury described by Ms. Heard 13 resulted in this injury because you really would 14 always see an injury to the nail and/or nailbed.

Q Do you have any opinion regarding the 16 direction of the injury?

17 A Well, it's certainly, based upon the 18 soft tissue loss, it's an angled injury or what we 19 call, medically, an oblique injury, because the 20 soft tissue is at angle. He lost some of the 21 tissue on the back of the finger, as well as the 22 bone was exposed; so that amputated part that was 22 palm side, primarily along the palm side. But

Conducted on May 26, 2022 7466 1 things, right? 1 other than that, you can't make any assumptions, 2 unless you saw a videotape of this injury, nobody 2 Yes. A And you're not offering an expert 3 could tell you definitively what the direction of 4 the injury was. opinion on what you believe caused the injury, Q Dr. Moore also commented on the absence correct? 6 of fragments of broken glass in Mr. Depp's injury. 6 A Correct. Do you remember that? Q And you're aware, you said a few times, 8 8 Ms. Heard's account or Ms. Heard's explanation, A Yes, I do. 9 right, do you remember saying that? Q And how does the absence of glass 10 fragments factor into your analysis? 10 A Yes. Q You're actually aware that Amber has A It really doesn't. I've seen hundreds, 11 12 said she didn't -- doesn't know how he lost his 12 if not probably, at least, 500 or more of glass 13 finger. 13 injuries, and I would say probably the majority, 14 you don't see glass in these injuries. It is much 14 You're aware of that, right? 15 more common to see glass embedded in the wounds 15 A Yes. So, when you were just talking about 16 when you're talking about very fine glass. So Q 17 Ms. Heard's account or Ms. Heard's explanation, 17 if - to say a wine glass would explode or 18 something of that nature, where you get little 18 you're aware she's never actually given an 19 explanation for how she thinks he lost his finger, 19 tiny shards. But in general, when you have thick 20 glass, such as you see in a bottle, or a pane of 20 correct? 21 A No. 21 glass, you generally don't see because they break 22 You're aware Ms. Heard testified, and 22 into bigger pieces, and you generally don't see 7465 7467 1 this is from your deposition, you're aware that 1 the glass. But you certainly can, but it's not surprising that you don't. 2 she testified she doesn't know how he got his Q Can you definitively state how this fingertip chopped off. injury occurred? You're aware of that, right? A No. Nobody can definitively state. MR. MONIZ: Asked and answered, Your MR. MONIZ: I have no further 6 Honor. questions, Your Honor. THE COURT: Overruled. 8 THE COURT: All right. A No, I'm not. I reviewed the records g Cross-examination. and in one of the testimonies that I -MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor. 10 10 depositions that I reviewed, it was ascribed that 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND **COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF** 11 potentially it was lost when he was punching 12 13 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 12 against the wall with a phone. Q Good morning, Dr. Gilbert. Q And on that -- the last point there, I 15 A Good morning. 14 think we agree. Ms. Heard's testified in this Q Now you're being paid a thousand 16 15 trial, and previously, that she saw him smash a 17 dollars an hour for your work on this case, 16 phone to smithereens, a wall phone, you'd agree 18 correct? 17 with that, correct? 19 A Correct. 18 A Correct. Q And you agree, just to sum up how 19 O But she doesn't know if that was what 21 Mr. Moniz finished, you agree that the finger 20 caused him to lose his finger, you agree with 22 injury could have been caused by any number of 21 that, correct? 22 A I agree, but I cannot speak for her,

Conducted or	May 26, 2022
7468	7470
1 but, yes.	But the area under the fingernail, in
2 MR. ROTTENBORN: May I approach, Your	2 the pulp of the finger, that's where the injury
3 Honor.	3 took place, right?
THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you.	4 A It was not isolated to the pulp, no.
5 Q Dr. Gilbert, you gave a deposition in	5 Q But it didn't injure the fingernail,
6 this case on March 17th, correct?	6 that's my question?
7 A Correct.	7 A Correct, yes.
8 Q You were under oath in that deposition,	8 Q And under Mr. Depp's alleged theory,
9 you swore to tell the truth, correct?	9 Amber threw a vodka bottle at him from ten feet
10 A Correct.	10 away or so, right?
11 Q Okay. If you could turn to page 61,	11 A Correct.
12 please. You see there are four pages per page of	12 Q And you've testified you have no way to
13 paper. It's on page 16 of the document.	13 determine the angle that the bottle was coming
14 A Okay.	14 from
15 Q Okay. Line 9, you're asked a question,	15 A Correct.
16 "And then Amber's response to that question,	16 Q right?
17 that's the line 9, can you read that, please."	17 But she threw it on a downward
18 "Answer: 'I do not know. I did not	18 trajectory, correct, there's no allegation, you've
19 see his finger go off.'	19 never read anything that she somehow underhanded
20 "Question: And, Dr. Gilbert, are you	20 it so it came up to his finger, right?
21 aware of Amber did not see Mr. Depp's finger go	21 A Correct.
22 off?	22 Q There's no way to tell, as you
7469	7471
1 "Answer: Well, I'm aware that well,	1 testified, what exact direction it came from,
2 I'm aware I am from reading this. I'm aware of	2 right?
3 the mechanic that she claimed would cause the	3 A Correct.
4 finger injury.	4 Q And the glass exploded, but you've
5 "Question: But have you read anything	5 already testified there was no glass in the wound,
6 so far that indicates she doesn't know how the	6 right?
7 finger injury happened?	7 A Correct.
8 "Answer: So far, from what I'm reading	8 Q You haven't read any record of that in
lo 1 · !!	4
9 here, yes."	9 the medical records, correct?
9 here, yes." 10 Did I read that right?	9 the medical records, correct? 10 A Correct.
10 Did I read that right?	10 A Correct.
10 Did I read that right? 11 A Yes.	10 A Correct. 11 Q You also haven't read anything about
10 Did I read that right? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you've heard no testimony in this	10 A Correct. 11 Q You also haven't read anything about 12 there being cuts on the rest of his hand, right?
10 Did I read that right? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you've heard no testimony in this 13 trial from Ms. Heard that the finger injury was	10 A Correct. 11 Q You also haven't read anything about 12 there being cuts on the rest of his hand, right? 13 A Correct.
10 Did I read that right? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you've heard no testimony in this 13 trial from Ms. Heard that the finger injury was 14 definitively caused by the phone, that she doesn't	10 A Correct. 11 Q You also haven't read anything about 12 there being cuts on the rest of his hand, right? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And there was no glass found anywhere
10 Did I read that right? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you've heard no testimony in this 13 trial from Ms. Heard that the finger injury was 14 definitively caused by the phone, that she doesn't 15 know, correct?	10 A Correct. 11 Q You also haven't read anything about 12 there being cuts on the rest of his hand, right? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And there was no glass found anywhere 15 on the rest of his hand, correct?
10 Did I read that right? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you've heard no testimony in this 13 trial from Ms. Heard that the finger injury was 14 definitively caused by the phone, that she doesn't 15 know, correct? 16 A Correct.	10 A Correct. 11 Q You also haven't read anything about 12 there being cuts on the rest of his hand, right? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And there was no glass found anywhere 15 on the rest of his hand, correct? 16 A Correct.
10 Did I read that right? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you've heard no testimony in this 13 trial from Ms. Heard that the finger injury was 14 definitively caused by the phone, that she doesn't 15 know, correct? 16 A Correct. 17 Q And you'd agree that the fingernail is	10 A Correct. 11 Q You also haven't read anything about 12 there being cuts on the rest of his hand, right? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And there was no glass found anywhere 15 on the rest of his hand, correct? 16 A Correct. 17 Q No bruising or injury on any other part
10 Did I read that right? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you've heard no testimony in this 13 trial from Ms. Heard that the finger injury was 14 definitively caused by the phone, that she doesn't 15 know, correct? 16 A Correct. 17 Q And you'd agree that the fingernail is 18 uninjured, you said that, right?	10 A Correct. 11 Q You also haven't read anything about 12 there being cuts on the rest of his hand, right? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And there was no glass found anywhere 15 on the rest of his hand, correct? 16 A Correct. 17 Q No bruising or injury on any other part 18 of his hand, other than the tip of his middle
10 Did I read that right? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you've heard no testimony in this 13 trial from Ms. Heard that the finger injury was 14 definitively caused by the phone, that she doesn't 15 know, correct? 16 A Correct. 17 Q And you'd agree that the fingernail is 18 uninjured, you said that, right? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And the nailbed was uninjured?	10 A Correct. 11 Q You also haven't read anything about 12 there being cuts on the rest of his hand, right? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And there was no glass found anywhere 15 on the rest of his hand, correct? 16 A Correct. 17 Q No bruising or injury on any other part 18 of his hand, other than the tip of his middle 19 finger?
10 Did I read that right? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you've heard no testimony in this 13 trial from Ms. Heard that the finger injury was 14 definitively caused by the phone, that she doesn't 15 know, correct? 16 A Correct. 17 Q And you'd agree that the fingernail is 18 uninjured, you said that, right? 19 A Correct. 20 Q And the nailbed was uninjured?	10 A Correct. 11 Q You also haven't read anything about 12 there being cuts on the rest of his hand, right? 13 A Correct. 14 Q And there was no glass found anywhere 15 on the rest of his hand, correct? 16 A Correct. 17 Q No bruising or injury on any other part 18 of his hand, other than the tip of his middle 19 finger? 20 A Correct.

Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7472	7474
1 didn't damage the fingernail while it amputated	1 Q That was testimony you gave under oath
2 the area under the nail, correct?	2 a month or two ago, right?
3 A Correct.	3 A Correct.
Q And your explanation of that is that	4 Q So even though you have never read
5 you believe that his hand must have moved at some	5 anything that suggests Mr. Depp's hand moved, his
6 point during the force of the injury; isn't that	6 hand moving is the only way that you can fit your
7 correct?	7 testimony into what Mr. Depp wants you to testify
8 A No, I said it could have, I did not say	8 to about how the injury occurred, isn't it?
9 that it did.	9 A No, that's incorrect.
10 Q No, you believe that it did, don't you?	10 Q Okay. That's what you testified to,
11 A I said that it likely did, but I was	11 that if his hand hadn't moved, there would be a
12 not there, and I don't have videotape of the	12 nailbed injury, correct?
13 injury, so I can't determine that definitively.	13 A That is incorrect. That's not what I
14 Q Okay. Well, let's turn to page 25 of	14 testified.
15 your deposition, please, on page 7.	15 Q In fact, Dr. Gilbert, there's another
16 A Sorry, page?	16 explanation for how Mr. Depp somehow suffered an
17 Q Page 25 and 26. So it's page 7 of the	17 injury that didn't injure his nail one bit but
18 document.	18 injured underneath the nail, and that explanation
19 A Okay.	19 is it didn't happen how Mr. Depp says it did,
20 Q Sorry if I was unclear on that.	20 correct?
21 A No problem. I'm there.	21 A I cannot make that assumption, nor can
22 Q Okay. Line 18. "Question: And to be	22 you.
7473	7475
1 clear, by 'sitting in that position,' you mean	1 MR. ROTTENBORN: No further questions.
2 hand down, palmar side down?	2 Thank you.
3 "Answer: That's what he claimed. I	3 THE COURT: All right. Redirect.
4 mean, according to the history, yes.	4 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND
5 "Question: And would it matter if	5 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT
6 Mr. Depp's hand was palmar side down?	6 BY MR. MONIZ:
7 "Answer: Not really because it	7 Q Dr. Gilbert, just very briefly.
8 really and I discussed this. It really just	8 The absence of other cuts on Mr. Depp's
9 depends upon the angle at which the injury	9 hand, how do you factor that into your analysis?
10 occurred, and, as well, you can't determine if his	10 A You certainly can see an isolated
11 hand had moved at some point during the force of	11 finger injury with any type of injury, and I would
12 the injury, which I believe it probably did, which	12 say any other host of mechanism of injuries, you'd
13 I didn't get into in my note here, but	13 more likely see other so, again, if you're
"Question: And why do you believe	14 I'm just using this as an example, if you're
15 that? Have you read anything in any of the	15 punching against a wall, you would more likely see
16 materials to suggest that?	16 multiple injuries to multiple fingers. It really
17 "Answer: No. Just that if it	17 just depends upon how the bottle, or how the
18 completely hit on the back of the finger, then he	18 mechanism of the injury impacted the hand at that
19 would have had a nailbed injury, which he did	19 point in time.
20 not."	20 Q Ms. Heard's coursel made a point of
21 Did I read that right?	21 emphasizing that there were no bruises or other
22 A Correct.	22 injuries on the hand, right?

7478 MR. CHEW: I think you're tired of A Correct. Q And how does that fact affect your 2 hearing the same. I shouldn't presume that. I analysis, if at all? assume you're tired. 4 THE COURT: Just leave it at tired. A Well, it doesn't discount anything, but 5 it certainly makes other potential causes of MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah, so basically, 6 injury more unlikely, meaning punching against the 6 Your Honor, as required by the rules, we renew our wall or getting the hand slammed in a door or motion to strike on the following grounds. After 8 something like that. concluding all the evidence short of Ms. Heard's Q · Okay. And your discussion of 9 rebuttal case, no reasonable jury could find for 10 Ms. Heard's explanation of the alternative cause 10 Mr. Depp for the following reasons: The 11 of the injury, was that based on anything other 11 statements relating to the statements that 12 than Ms. Heard's statements? 12 Ms. Heard made are not defamatory. This is for a 13 number of reasons, but as it relates to this 13 A No. 14 MR. MONIZ: No further questions, Your 14 motion, it's because the undisputed evidence shows 15 Honor. 15 that Mr. Depp did not -- did abuse Ms. Heard, at a THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 16 minimum, emotionally, verbally, psychologically 17 and otherwise. That's undisputed. It is disputed 17 Doctor, you can have a seat in the courtroom or 18 whether he abused her physically, though we -- and 18 you're free to go. Thank you. 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 19 we understand that that's a question for the jury, THE COURT: You can just leave it 20 but even if he was abusive a single time, in any 20 21 way, then we -- Ms. Heard prevails. 21 there, that's fine. Thank you, sir. THE WITNESS: Thank you. Second ground is that the headline was 7479 7477 1 not defamatory. She didn't write or approve it. THE COURT: All right. Your next Merely linking to an article does not establish witness. the element of defamation, and the words in her 3 MR. CHEW: Plaintiff rests, Your Honor. tweet did not affirmatively reiterate the THE COURT: All right. Plaintiff rests their rebuttal case. statement or add context or direct the statement All right. Defendant counterclaim to a new audience in a way required for 6 republication. 7 rebuttal case. MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes, Your Honor. May The third ground, Your Honor, is that 8 9 no jury could find, based on the evidence as we approach? 10 described in our motion, and has come out in. THE COURT: Sure. 10 11 Ms. Heard's case, that Ms. Heard acted with actual 11. (Sidebar.) 12 malice. And that includes the testimony of both MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, I think 13 just for the record --13 Terence Dougherty, Ms. Heard herself, Eric George, 14 and others. For those reasons, we believe the MR. CHEW: Hang on. 14 MR. ROTTENBORN: Sorry. So sorry. 15 Court should enter summary judgment on Mr. Depp's 15 16 Just for the record, I think we would need to 16 claim in favor of Ms. Heard. THE COURT: All right. 17 renew our motion to strike at this time. I'm 17 MR. CHEW: Mr. Depp respectfully 18 happy to just do it right here. We don't have to 19 opposes the motion to strike for the grounds 19 leave -- let the jury. 20 MR. CHEW: We'll do the same when 20 stated in our written motion and oral argument. 21 There are a myriad of issues in dispute, including 21 they --THE COURT: That's fine. 22 the legal issue as to whether verbal abuse 22

Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7480	7482
1 occurred or would even be germane here because the	1 Caribbean.
2 clear implication of the defamatory statements is	2 MR. CHEW: Those are okay.
3 physical abuse. But in any event, for the reasons	3 THE COURT: I just wanted to get that
4 previously stated and incorporated by reference,	4 on the record in case you talk to the jurors and
5 Plaintiff Depp believes the motion to strike	5 they start talking about jigsaw puzzles.
6 should, again, be denied.	6 MR. ROTTENBORN: I hope they can finish
7 THE COURT: All right.	7 the third.
8 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.	8 THE COURT: They've got about 50 pieces
9 THE COURT: Anything further?	9 left, so we will see.
10 MR. ROTTENBORN: No. I'll just rest	10 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.
11 on my argument.	11 THE COURT: Thank you, Your Honor.
12 THE COURT: Motion to strike is denied	MS. BREDEHÖFT: Thank you, Your Honor.
13 for the reasons previously given by the Court. I	13 (Open court.)
14 previously didn't strike, okay?	14 THE COURT: All right. Rebuttal
15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor.	15 evidence.
16 THE COURT: While you are still up	16 MR. MURPHY: Yes, Your Honor.
17 here, just a couple housekeeping matters. The	17 THE COURT: Mr. Murphy.
18 sketch artist yesterday was sketching the jury, so	18 MR. MURPHY: We call Mr. Julian Ackert.
19 I have that now. Not going to do anything with	19 Okay. Mr. Ackert.
20 it. I took it. She knows, she's been notified.	20 Julian Ackert
21 She thought she could do it, she knows now she	21 A witness called on behalf of the
22 can't. I went through public affairs of Fairfax	22 defendant and counterclaim plaintiff, having been
7481	7483
1 County, they went back and told all the sketch	1 first duly sworn by the clerk, testified as
2 artists and the photographers, no pictures of the	2 follows:
3 jury. That's taken care of.	3 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND
4 One thing I don't think I ever put on	4 COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF
5 the record is in the very beginning, the jurors	5 BY MR. MURPHY:
6 asked if they could have jigsaw puzzles while	6 Q Good morning.
7 they're waiting, and I said okay, as long as I	7 A Good morning.
8 knew what they were, for obvious reasons. So they	8 Q Could you, please, state your name.
9 have been doing a fish jigsaw puzzle and did a	9 A Julian Ackert.
10 landscape jigsaw puzzle for lighthouses, and then	10 Q Could you, please, describe your
11 I gave them one that was a Life is Good jigsaw	11 educational background following high school. 12 A I have a Bachelor of Science in
12 puzzle, but I am going to be taking all the jigsaw	12 A I have a Bachelor of Science in 13 computer science from the University of Virginia.
13 puzzles away from them. They've completed two and	14 Q What is your profession?
14 they are almost done with their third. But I	15 A I am a computer forensics investigator.
15 think they had a team building exercise there, but	16 Q Where are you employed?
	17 A I work for a company called iDiscovery
16 I'm going to be taking them away from them this	11/ A 1 work for a company canculariscovery
	18 Solutions or IDS.
17 afternoon, okay? I wanted to put it on the	1
17 afternoon, okay? I wanted to put it on the 18 record. And if you talk to the jurors later and	18 Solutions or IDS.
17 afternoon, okay? I wanted to put it on the 18 record. And if you talk to the jurors later and 19 they talk about jigsaw puzzles, I didn't	18 Solutions or IDS. 19 Q And what is your title? 20 A I'm a managing director at that 21 company.
17 afternoon, okay? I wanted to put it on the 18 record. And if you talk to the jurors later and 19 they talk about jigsaw puzzles, I didn't 20 MR. CHEW: As long as there's no	18 Solutions or IDS. 19 Q And what is your title? 20 A I'm a managing director at that
17 afternoon, okay? I wanted to put it on the 18 record. And if you talk to the jurors later and 19 they talk about jigsaw puzzles, I didn't	18 Solutions or IDS. 19 Q And what is your title? 20 A I'm a managing director at that 21 company.

Conducted on May 26, 2022 7484

A IDS provides consulting, expert 2 testimony, forensic analysis, data analysis, 3 electronic data, review and production services, 4 all to the business and legal community.

- Q What, if any, of those services 6 performed by IDS have you performed?
 - A I perform all of those services.
- Q How many years of experience do you 9 have in computer forensics investigation?
- A I've worked in the industry for 11 20 years.
- 12 Q Can you, please, describe that 13 experience to the jury?
- A I have experience creating and 15 implementing data preservation and collection 16 strategies, doing data collections and a 17 forensically sound matter, extracting data from 18 collections, as well as analysis and metadata 19 analysis of the evidence that I extract.
- 20 Q You mentioned collecting data from 21 forensic collections. What material are you 22 forensically imaging?

A This would be any type of material that 2 stores digital evidence, an iPhone, an iPad, a 3 tablet, laptop, a cloud account, your Gmail 4 account. Anything that has digital evidence.

Q Have you published in your areas of 6 expertise?

A Yes. I've published on data 8 preservation and collection and analysis 9 strategies.

- Q Have you ever given any trainings or 11 presentations to other individuals in the
- 12 e-discovery area?
- A Yes, I have. I do trainings and 14 presentations to lawyers through continuous 15 learning education.
- 16 Q What, if any, professional 17 certifications do you have?
- A I have a GIAC GCFE. That's the GIAC 19 Certified Forensic Examiner certification.
- Q What were the requirements of obtaining 21 that certification?
- A To obtain that certification, you need 22

1 a minimum number of hours in the field, as well as 2 you need to pass a test with a certain degree of - a certain percentage of passing.

Q Do you belong to any professional organizations?

A I do. I'm a member of a conference called the Sedona Conference, it's a conference 8 that is a group of legal professionals, judges, and technologists, and we discuss the intersection 10 of law and technology, and technology issues in 11 the legal community.

12 Q Have you previously served as an expert 13 witness?

A Yes, I have. 14

15 Q And when did you first serve as an 16 expert witness?

A I believe that would have been around 18 2009, was the first time I served.

Q Have you previously been qualified as 20 an expert witness in the field of computer 21 forensics?

A Yes, I have. I've been qualified,

7487

1 probably, a half dozen to a dozen times in both 2 federal and state court, including this court right here.

Q And has a court ever declined to qualify you as an expert witness?

6 A No, they have not.

MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, I offer Julian

Ackert as an expert in the field of computer forensics.

7485

10 MR. DENNISON: No objection, Your 11 Honor.

12 THE COURT: So moved.

13 Could you spell your last name for me,

14 Mr. Ackert?

15 A Ackert, A-C-K-E-R-T.

16 THE COURT: Thank you so much, sir.

17 Q Mr. Ackert, what is forensic imagining?

A Forensic imaging is the process of 19 capturing the data on a digital device in a 20 forensically sound manner.

And how is a forensic copy created? 21

22 A Using specialized software that's

2

1 available to forensic investigators, we're able to
2 collect the data from devices. And that software
3 may vary depending on the device, like a phone or
4 a laptop.

5 Q What type of information does a 6 forensic image collect?

A It's meant to really collect everything
on the device, so, for example, on a laptop, it's
going to collect your documents, your emails, your
hotographs, your documents, like Excel
spreadsheets, PDF files, any applications that you
ran, the history of what you've opened or looked
at on the laptop, as well as all of the metadata
for these different types of electronically stored

16 Q And does any other information
17 accompany that information that's collected?

18 A Typically, with the forensic imaging, 19 you're also going to get log files. Log files are 20 files that help you validate the forensic image 21 and verify that it was a forensically sound data 22 collection.

A I do.

Q What is that?

A Hashing is essentially a digital fingerprint of a file. It's a valuation of the binary 1s and 0s or how the file is stored on a hard drive, and it examines the 1s and 0s in a manner that gives every file a digital fingerprint, essentially, and identifies the uniqueness of the file.

10 Q What, if any, applicability does 11 hashing have to the visual appearance of data?

12 A Hashing has nothing to do with the
13 visual appearance. For example, if I had a Word
14 Document that I printed and then saved, therefore,
15 I haven't visually changed the Word Document, the
16 metadata of that Word Document indicating that I
17 printed it would be different, and, therefore,
18 that Word Document would not hash to the version
19 that I used before printing.

Q Can you give any examples of digital 21 photographs that visually appear the same not 22 hashing?

7489

1 Q And how do log files enable you to do 2 that?

A Log files list information within the files. Sometimes they're even embedded within the images themselves, but they provide verification information that allows you to authenticate the image and the data on the image.

8 Q What is the purpose of creating a 9 forensic image of devices or data?

10 A In order to extract and analyze data, 11 forensically, for the courts, you need to create a 12 forensic image of the data first and gather the 13 forensic — gather the data from the forensic 14 image.

Q And what, if any, specific types ofdata are collected in that image and extraction?

17 A It's going to be any type of data that 18 comes from the image, whether you're extracting 19 photographs or documents or spreadsheets or 20 anything of that nature.

21 Q Do you recall Mr. Neumeister mentioning 22 the term hashing yesterday?

7491 A I believe Mr. Neumeister included three

2 of those in his demonstratives yesterday, three 3 side-by-side photographs that looked visually the 4 same but did not hash. It made sense that they 5 wouldn't hash. Each of them had different file 6 sizes. And a file size changes for a photograph 7 depending on what you do with the photograph.

8 So, for example, maybe you want to take
9 that photograph and email it to somebody, or on
10 your phone, you choose to use a small, medium or
11 large, or a different size of the photograph when
12 you email. When you send that email with that
13 photograph, you've changed the hash value because
14 you've changed the 1s and 0s because you've
15 changed the size of the photograph.

16 Q And what, if anything, do you recall17 from Mr. Neumeister's testimony regarding Photos18 3?

19 A Mr. Neumeister was concerned about a 20 particular metadata field, EXIF metadata, which 21 there's two types of metadata for files. There's 22 the embedded metadata, which is what we're

7494 1 discussing here, the EXIF metadata and external Q How do you know it is an original 2 metadata. Mr. Neumeister was concerned with 2 version of the photograph? 3 embedded metadata within the file that indicated A Well, this is a little bit dependent on 4 that the software version that the file last ran the Apple ecosystem. Amber uses Apple devices, through is Photos. and those devices, by definition of how Apple Q What is Photos 3.0? 16 works, synchronize your information from device to A Photos is actually the software device. So, for example, if you take a photo on 8 application that's built into the Apple/McIntosh your phone, you see that same photo on your tablet 9 operating system for laptops. This is the or your iPad or iCloud account, or even your 10 application that launches by default when you're 10 MacBook, and that's all because of user 11 on an Apple computer and use Photos. 11 experience. Apple wants you to be able to see and 12 What are the capabilities of Photos 12 visually have the same experience on all your 13 3.0? 13 devices. So the photo that was originally taken A Multiple capabilities for it. You can, 14 traverses or gets synchronized to other devices by 15 for example, create an album with it and put 15 design of Apple. And that synchronization process 16 multiple photos within an album. You can organize 16 does not affect the metadata that we're talking 17 or sort your photos by date and time taken or 17 about here, which is the embedded metadata... 18 place. You can also use that to edit photos. Q When you say that a version of iOS Q You mentioned metadata earlier. What 19 software was listed in the software EXIF metadata 20 is that? 20 field, what does that mean? A Metadata is information about a file. A That means the photo was not saved 22 For example, with a document, it could be when was 22 using the Photos application. 7493 7495 Q And what, if any, data sets did you use 1 the document created or last saved or who saved to come to that conclusion? 2 it? Who was the author of the documents. For 2 3 photographs, metadata includes information that A I used all of the data sets that I 4 you've seen on demonstratives before, including collected or were collected for Amber, including 5 when was the image taken? What kind of phone took mobile devices, tablets, laptops, et cetera. 6 6 the image? What software was originally used for MR. MURPHY: Michelle, can you, please, pull up Defendant's Exhibit 1671. 7 that image? Any type of information inside the 8 photograph, the EXIF data that you've heard, is O Do you recognize this chart, 9 Mr. Ackert? 9 metadata. O Did you form any opinions in response 10 A I do. 11 to Mr. Neumeister's testimony regarding Photos 3.0 11 Q What is it, without, yet, saying the 12 appearing in a software EXIF metadata field? 12 contents, just, generally, what is it? 13 A Yes, I did. 13 A This is a chart I created as part of my 14 O What are those? 14 report. A My opinion is that for each of the 15 MR. MURPHY: Your Honor, permission to 16 publish Defendant's 671 as a demonstrative. 16 photos that he identified, for all but one in his 17 THE COURT: Any objection? 17 demonstrative, I actually found the equivalent 18 MR. DENNISON: No objection, Your 18 original photo that did not have Photos in the 19 Honor. 19 EXIF metadata. In other words, those are the

PLANET DEPOS

20 photos that he indicated he would have expected to

21 see the iOS or the phone software version on those

22 photos.

THE COURT: All right. It will be

Mr. Ackert, can you describe, in

21 published as a demonstrative.

Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7496	7498
1 detail, what this information on this cart is?	1 Q And where it says "device ID and file
2 MR. MURPHY: And, Michelle, can you	2 name"?
3 just stay at the top for a quick second? A little	3 A Yes.
4 further up. Great.	4 Q Can you, please, explain what the
5 THE WITNESS: Thank you.	5 information in that column means for each of the
6 A This chart represents, for each of the	6 items identified from Mr. Neumeister's
7 items in Neumeister's report, which his	7 demonstrative?
8 demonstrative was based on, the page number of the	8 A So these are the evidence IDs, and
9 item on his report and the items that I found on	9 evidence ID is the ID value I give to a particular
10 Ms. Heard's devices that did not indicate the	10 piece of data that I collect, for example, a phone
11 software metadata field showing Photos, rather	11 or a laptop. And the matching file name found on
12 they indicated that they were the original	12 that evidence ID that indicated the original
13 software metadata field of iOS.	13 version of this same photograph that Neumeister
14 Q Do you see the second column title	14 identified that did not show Photos in the
15 "Neumeister Report Date Captured"?	15 software metadata, rather showed the iOS version
16 A Yes, I do.	16 in the metadata.
17 Q What does the information in that	17 Q What do the little As followed by
18 column mean?	18 numbers, backup, what does that mean?
19 A This is a date/time metadata of the	19 A Those are evidence IDs. Anything with
20 particular photo on Neumeister's report. So, for	20 an A number is the evidence ID of an actual device
21 example, the first row, 23, the date/time	21 collected. Anything that starts with a backup and
22 captured — or the date captured is December 16th,	22 follows by another number is an iTunes backup.
7497	22 tonows by another number is an IT these backup.
1 2015.	1 Q So what, if any what items in this
The second row, 24, you see that the	2 right-hand column, based on the coding you have
3 date/time is May 21st, 2016.	3 here, are iTunes backups?
4 Third row, May 21st, 2016.	4 A Only the ones that start with the word
5 Fourth row, May 21st, 2016.	5 "backup." Even the ones that start with an A
6 MR. MURPHY: Can you scroll to next	6 number are actually an iTune — or an iOS backup
7 page, please, Michelle.	7 in iCloud. So your phone can actually be backed
8 Q Please continue for the items on this	8 up to iCloud, and those are coming from an iOS
9 page.	9 backup in iCloud. Everything else is coming from
10 A The top row of this page, the one that	10 an actual physical device.
11 came from Neumeister's report, page 30, shows	11 MR. MURPHY: Can you just take this
1	
12 December 16th, 2016.	12 down for a second, Michelle, and pull up 1675, 13 Defendant's 1675.
And then, finally, 32 shows March 23rd,	
14 2013.	14 Q And do you recognize this chart,
15 Q Do you see	15 Mr. Ackert?
MR. MURPHY: Can you scroll back to the	16 A Yes, I do.
17 top, please, Michelle.	17 Q Without, yet, getting into the
18 Q Do you see the	18 contents, can you, please, describe, generally,
MR. MURPHY: All the way at the top,	19 what it is.
20 I'm sorry.	20 A This is a chart that I prepared as a
21 Q The right-hand column of this chart?	21 summary of my findings.

PLANET DEPOS

22

22

A Yes, I do.

MR. MURPHY: Permission to publish

1 Defendant's 1675 as a demonstrative, Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: Any objection?
3 MR. DENNISON: No objection.
4 THE COURT: Okay. 1675 will be
5 published as demonstrative.
6 Q Can you, please, describe, in more
7 detail, what the three columns you can see in this
8 chart represent?
9 A Sure. This starts to give some detail
10 about the particular evidence ID. So, for
11 example, A001 was an iPhone X of Amber's that was
12 collected. A002 was an iPad of Amber's collected.

14 laptops that were collected for Amber.
15 Q And do you see the IDS evidence number
16 column?

13 A11, 12 and 13, slightly down, are three different

- 17 A Yes, I do.
- 18 Q Do you see the source type column?
- 19 ' A Yes, I do.
- 20 Q And the source details column?
- 21 A Yes, I do.
- 22 Q Can you, please, describe what those

1 those that do not show the software EXIF metadata

- 2 field of Photos, rather it shows the original iOS
- 3 version on A001, which was Amber Heard's iPhone X;
- 4 A002, which is Amber Heard's iPad Pro; scrolling
- 5 on down through there, you see it on A001, which
- 6 is Amber Heard's laptop, same with A0012 and 13.
- 7 You can see this, again, with the next row that's
- 8 visible at the very top, Neumeister identified a
- 9 photograph on page 30, taken on December 16th,
- 10 2015. And he was concerned about the EXIF
- 11 metadata of that showing the software version of
- 12 Photos. The original versions of those were
- 13 found, again, on A001, that's her iPhone X, A002,
- 14 her iPad, and it's not surprising to me that I
- 15 found all these on all the devices because that's
- 16 how the Apple ecosystem works, it replicates your
- 17 picture or synchronizes your pictures across your
- 18 devices when you take them.
- 19 Q Mr. Ackert --
- 20 MR. MURPHY: You can take this down,
- 21 Michelle.

7501

22 Q Do you recall Mr. Neumeister's

7503

columns mean.

A Generally, the IDS evidence number is the evidence number that we assign to a particular piece of evidence, and the type and details come from a description of that type of evidence, based upon the collection set.

7 MR. MURPHY: Michelle, can you, please, 8 do a side-by-side of 1675 and 1671. Thank you.

9 Q So, Mr. Ackert, can you, please, 10 describe the relationship between these two 11 demonstrative exhibits?

12 A Certainly. If you look at 1671, the 13 one on the left, you can see, in the fourth 14 column, the different evidence IDs where I 15 identified the original versions of those same 16 pictures that Mr. Neumeister had specifically 17 identified, and the sources of where they were 18 identified.

So if you look at the first one, for 20 example, the picture, Neumeister referenced on 21 page 24, or I guess that's the second one. There 22 are equivalent versions or original versions of 1 testimony regarding what he claims of EXIF2 metadata modification?

A Yes, I do. This is a completely
hypothetical scenario. Mr. Neumeister never
specified any pictures with specificity that had
EXIF metadata modification, and it's a

hypothetical, in my opinion.

8 Q Mr. Ackert, did you form any overall 9 conclusions in this case?

10 A Yes, I did.

11 Q And what were those?

12 A The images that were created in this
13 case were included log files that allowed me to
14 validate and verify the evidence collected. I
15 validated and verified the log files of the
16 evidence for which I found Mr. Neumeister's
17 original photos that he had concerns about,
18 specifically the ones that had iOS in the software
19 metadata field. I validated that those came from
20 devices that had — had been — had log files that
21 were validated by me; in other words, they came
22 from original evidence files, and most instances,

they came from more than one file. 2 Q Ms. Ackert, are the opinions you 3 testified to today made to a reasonable degree of 5 foreasic certains? 5 A Yes, they are. 6 MR. MERPHY: No further questions, Your 7 Ilonor. 7 Ilonor. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Cross-examination, six. 10 MR. DENNISON: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT 13 BY MR. DENNISON: 14 Q Good morning. 15 A Good morning. 16 Q You've worked with opposing counsels 17 kw fim, approximately, 20 ierus befor? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 2 reviewed. I can confirm that those are a authentic originals, a correct? 4 A I can testify that all of the photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. Wy question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs provided by MR. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 A Bis there today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 19 A SI sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 19 A SI sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 19 A SI sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 19 A SI sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 19 C OVAY. 20 A Those 21 probably and a provided that were entered into evidence in this court. Are you testifying that those are each authentic originals? 21 C OVAY. 22 MR. DEAPNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defenda		May 26, 2022
2 Q Ms. Ackert, are the opiniors you 3 testified to today made to a reasonable degree of 5 forensic certainty? 5 A Yes, they are. 6 MR MURPHY: No further questions, Your 7 Honor. 7 HONOR. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Cross-examination, sir. 10 MR. DEINNISON: Itank you, Your Honor. 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT 13 BY MR. DENNISON: 14 Q Good moming, sir. 15 A Good moming, sir. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursels 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursels 17 law firm, approximately, 20 three before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 25 A Law and the stiffy that all of the photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 8 testify about, right? 9 A A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on no. 11 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 20 Richard reflect the use of a photo editing application. 21 the EXITY data of some of the photos application. 22 Q Okay. As you show the use of the Photos application. 23 that it will both sort and edit? 24 Q Rocay. The you prepared to swear, under 15 oath, that each and every photographs For the ones that 25 Mr. Neu	7504	7506
sestified to today made to a reasonable degree of 4 foresis certainty? 5 A Yes, they are. 6 MR, MURPHY: No firther questions, Your 7 Hoor. 7 Hoor. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Cross-cearmation, si. 10 MR, DENNISON: Thank you, Your Hoor. 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSELFOR THE PLAINTIFF AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT 13 BY MR, DENNISON: 14 Q Good morning, si. 15 A Good morning. 16 Q You've worked with opposing counsels 17 law firm, approximately, 20 times before? 18 A Good morning. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 1 spread out over more than a dozen years. 21 Q Okay. As you sib here today, you 22 Q Okay. As you sib here today, you 34 A I can testify to the ones that 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 4 Q Okay. 12 is, as you sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 16 authentic originals? 17 is an authentic originals? 18 A Green Table T		
4 Ms. Heard reflect the use of a photo editing 5 A Yes, they are. 6 MR MURPHY: No further questions, Your 7 Honor. 7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Cross-examination, sir. 10 MR DENNISON: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT 13 BY MR DENNISON: 14 Q Good morning, sir. 15 A Good morning, sir. 15 A Good morning, sir. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursel's 17 law firm, approximately, 20 thres before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 25 reviewed, I can confirm that those are a utilientic originals, a correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that at 3 all the photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs provided that were entered into evidence in this court. Are you testifying that those are each authentic originals? 15 Mr. Dennison. 16 A South of the specific ty. 17 that were authentic originals? 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 19 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic originals 19 that were entered into evidence in this court. Are you testifying that those are each authentic originals? 18 A I have no opinions on any photographs that 19 photographs that 19 photographs provided that were entered into evidence in this court. Are you testifying that those are each authentic originals? 18 A I have no opinions on any photographs that 19 pu	I	
5 A Yes, they are. 6 MR MURPHY: No further questions, Your 7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Cross-examination, sir. 10 MR DENNISON: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT 13 BY MR DENNISON: 14 Q Good morning, sir. 15 A Good morning, sir. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursels 17 kw firm, approximately, 20 thras before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 21 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 3 correct? 4 A I can testify that all of the photographs 5 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, a Correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity, Q Right. But there are thousands of photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't setsify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that all all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals? 18 A I have no destinony or opinion on that they're 10 not. 19 Q okay. 11 Q okay. 11 Q okay. 12 Vour Honor, these have already been 13 all the photographs that have not been presented to me 14 That are not authentic originals. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jiuy.		
6 A No. 7 Honor. 7 Honor. 7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Cross-examination, sir. 10 MR DENNISON: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT 13 BY MR. DENNISON: 14 Q Good morning, sir. 15 A Good morning, sir. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursel's 17 law firm, approximately, 20 times before? 17 law firm, approximately, 20 times before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 21 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 22 Q Nay. As you sit here today, you 35 A That is correct. 16 A No. 7 Q No? 8 A I'll agree that they show the use of 9 the Photos application, which is a sorting and 10 editing application. 11 Q Okay. So what you were quibbling with 12 is that it will both sort and edit? 13 A That is correct. 14 Q Ookay. Are you prepared to swear, under 15 oath, that each and every photograph provided by 16 Ms. Heard and entered into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic original? 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 19 reviewed of the specific photographs I have 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 original photographs. For the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 2 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 5 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 jury. 18 A Bosed on the metadata that I have 19 reviewed of the specific photographs. For the ones that 20 minute originals of the provided that were entered into evidence in this co	·	· -
7 Honor. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 Cross-examination, sit. 10 MR. DENNISON: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT 13 BY MR. DENNISON: 14 Q Good morning sit. 14 Q Good morning sit. 14 Q Okay. So what you were quibbling with 12 is that it will both sort and edit? 13 at That is correct. 14 Q Good morning. 15 oath, that each and every photograph provided by 16 Ms. Heard and entered into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic original? 18 A Give or take, yes. 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 19 reviewed of the specific photographs I have 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 original photographs. For the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 5 Ms. Heard are authentic originals? 19 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that all all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 19 As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals? 19 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 Court Time A I There are militiple photographs that 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury.		
THE COURT: All right. Cross-examination, siz. MR. DENNISON: Thank you, Your Honor. EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT BY MR. DENNISON: A Good morning. You've worked with opposing coursel's coursel's coursel's course than a dozen years? A Good more than a dozen years? A Those 20 probably would have been context tisp that all of the photographs provided have been presented to me that testify about, right? A I can testify to the ones that they any opinion on any photographs of that the opposing expert has no opinion on. A I all right. My question to you, though, all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are at authentic originals? A I have no opinions on any photographs of that the opposing expert has no opinion on. A I all right. My question to you, though, all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are today, can you testify that all of photographs produced by Ms. Heard are today. Can you testify that all of the opposing expert has no opinion on. A I have no opinions on any photographs of that the opposing expert has no opinion on. A I have no opinions on any photographs of that the opposing expert has no opinion on. A I have no opinions on any photographs of that the opposing expert has no opinion on. A I have no opinions on any photographs of that the opposing expert has no opinion on. A I have no opinions on any photographs of that the opposing expert has no opinion on. A I have no opinions on any photographs of that the opposing expert has no opinion on. A I have no opinions on any photographs opinion on those obecause nobody's provided the opinion that they're one. A I have no opinions on any photographs opinion on those obcause nobody's provided the opinion that they're opinion opinion on those opinions on any photographs opinion opinion on those opinions on any photographs produced by Ms. Heard are of authentic originals. A I have no opinions on any photographs opinion opinion on those opinions on any photographs opinion opinion opinion opinion opinion		1-
9 the Photos application, which is a sorting and 10 defiting application. 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINITFF AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT 13 BY MR. DENNISON: 14 Q Good morning. 15 A Good morning. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursels 17 law firm, approximately, 20 times before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 23 A I cannot testify that all of the photographs 24 A I can testify to the ones that 25 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 26 Q Right. But there are thousands of photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't testify about, right? 28 A I have no opinions on any photographs 29 A I have no opinions on any photographs 30 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 31 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have no tauthentic originals. 16 Q Rall right. You'll agree with me that 17 In that are not authentic originals. 18 A I have no opinions on any photographs that have no then presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 19 Cokay. Are you prepared to swear, under 15 to ath, tait will both sort and edit? 11 Q Okay. Are you prepared to swear, under 15 to ath, twill both sort and edit? 13 A That is correct. 14 Q Okay. Are you prepared to swear, under 15 to ath, that each and every photograph to provided by 16 Ms. Heard and entered into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic original? 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic originals. 20 Q Okay. As you sit bere today, you 21 that were authentic originals. 22 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 1 hose are each authentic originals? 24 A I have no opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the o)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
10 MR. DENNISON: Thank you, Your Honor. 11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT 13 BY MR. DENNISON: 13 A That is correct. 14 Q Good morning. sit. 14 Q Okay. Are you prepared to swear, under 15 A Good morning. 15 A Good morning. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursel's 17 law firm, approximately, 20 times before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 18 A Give or take, yes. 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 19 reviewed of the specific photographs I have 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 7507 1 cannot testify that all of the photographs 2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 3 that were authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 8 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 14 Thave no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 all the photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 A Thave no each authentic originals. 19 Jull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 Jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	_	_ ·
11 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND 12 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT 13 BY MR. DENNISON: 14 Q Good morning sir. 15 A Good morning sir. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursels 17 law firm, approximately, 20 times before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 1 cannot testify that all of the photographs 2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs provided by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 10 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 11 Q Okay. 12 is, as you sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 19 Cokay. Are you prepared to swear, under 15 oath, that each and every photograph provided by 16 Ms. Heard and entered into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic original? 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 19 reviewed of the specific photographs I have 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard are outhentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 Mr. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already	•	
12 is that it will both sort and edit? 13 BY MR. DENNISON: 14 Q Good morning. 15 A Good morning. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursel's 17 law firm, approximately, 20 times before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 16 Ms. Heard and entered into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic original? 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 19 reviewed of the specific photographs I have 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 original photographs. For the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 1 cannot testify that all of the photographs 2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 19 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 10 damitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 A That is correct. 14 Q Okay. Are you prepared to swear, under 15 oath, that each and every photograph provided by 16 Ms. Heard and entered into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic original? 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 19 reviewed of the specific photographs. For the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 1 that were authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence in this court. 16 in this court. Are you testifyi	<u>-</u>	7 5
13 BY MR. DENNISON: 14 Q Good morning, sir. 15 A Good morning, sir. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursel's 17 law firm, approximately, 20 tirnes before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 25 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 original photographs. For the ones that 26 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 26 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 29 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 16 Ms. Heard and entered into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic original? 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 19 reviewed of the specific photographs I have 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 original photographs. For the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified photos 20 Pookay. As you a broader question 3 than that. 21 Okay. 22 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 23 Mr. Heard provided that were entered into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic originals. 24 A I can testify to the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified photos 25 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 26 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic originals. 27 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic originals? 4 A I have no opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion th	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
14 Q Okay. Are you prepared to swear, under 15 A Good morning. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursels 17 law firm, approximately, 20 times before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 25 d cannot testify that all of the photographs 26 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 27 correct? 28 A I can testify to the ones that 29 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 29 A I can testify to the ones that 29 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 20 Right. But there are thousands of 21 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't testify about, right? 29 A I have no opinions on any photographs 20 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 20 All right. My question to you, though, 21 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 21 authentic originals? 22 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question than that. 23 Mr. Heard provided that were entered into evidence in this court 24 There are multiple photographs that 25 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 26 No, I'm asking you a broader question than that. 27 There are multiple photographs that 28 Mr. Heard provided that were entered into evidence in this court 29 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic originals. 20 No, I'm asking you a broader question than that. 21 There are multiple photographs that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 29 No, I'm asking you a broader question than that. 20 Mr. Heard and entered into evidence in this court 21 original photographs. For the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic originals. 21 There are multiple photographs that 22 Mr. Heard are authentic originals. 23 Mr. Heard are originals. 24 A I have no opinion on any photographs 25 Mr. Heard and entered into evidence in this court 26 Okay. I that were authentic originals in		
15 A Good morning. 16 Q You've worked with opposing coursel's 17 law firm, approximately, 20 tires before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 2 Mr. Neumeister identified yith at all of the photographs 2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify that all of the photographs 2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that that authentic originals? 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 oath, that each and every photograph provided into evidence in this court 17 is an authentic original? 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 original photographs. For the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified photos 1 that were authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 2 each authentic originals? 8 A I have no opinions on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 original photographs. For the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 1 that were authenti		1
16 Ms. Heard and entered into evidence in this court 17 law firm, approximately, 20 times before? 18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 19 Q For more than a dozen years. 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 2	-	
17 is an authentic original? 18		1
18 A Give or take, yes. 19 Q For more than a dozen years? 20 A Those 20 probably would have been 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 23 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 1 cannot testify that all of the photographs 2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't testify about, right? 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 A Based on the metadata that I have 19 reviewed of the specific photographs I have 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 original photographs. For the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 12 that were authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence in this court. Are you testifying that those are each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	I	
19		
20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 23 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 25 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 20 reviewed, I can confirm that those are authentic 21 original photographs. For the ones that 22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 24 Mr. Neumeister identified photos 25 Mr. Heard ever authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 7 each authentic originals and I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	· -	!
21 spread out over more than a dozen years. 22 Q Okay. As you sit here today, you 7505 1 cannot testify that all of the photographs 2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 7 each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 7507 1 that were authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that hose are 7 each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos		1 2 3 1
22 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 7507 1 cannot testify that all of the photographs 2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 2 Mr. Neumeister identified, I identified photos 7507 1 that were authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 7 each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	I	· ·
1 cannot testify that all of the photographs 2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 17 that were authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 7 each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,		
1 that were authentic originals. 2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 10 that the optographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 10 that were authentic originals. 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,		
2 produced by Ms. Heard are authentic originals, 3 correct? 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 2 Q No, I'm asking you a broader question 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 7 each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 testify about, right? 9 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion on those 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 testify about, right? 19 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 10 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q Okay. 12 is a syou sit here today, can you testify that 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the		
3 than that. 4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 3 than that. 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 7 each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,		
4 A I can testify to the ones that 5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 4 There are multiple photographs that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 7 each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	I -	
5 Mr. Neumeister identified with specificity. 6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 5 Ms. Heard provided that were entered into evidence 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 7 each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	4 A I can testify to the ones that	4 There are multiple photographs that
6 Q Right. But there are thousands of 7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't 8 testify about, right? 8 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 6 in this court. Are you testifying that those are 7 each authentic originals? 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	l	
8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 A I have no opinions on any photographs 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	- ·	·
9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	7 photographs provided that Mr. Neumeister didn't	7 each authentic originals?
9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 9 because nobody's provided the opinion that they're 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	8 testify about, right?	8 A I have no testimony or opinion on those
10 that the opposing expert has no opinion on. 11 Q All right. My question to you, though, 12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 10 not. 11 Q Okay. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 11 Root. 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	9 A I have no opinions on any photographs	· =
12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that 13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,		-
13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are 14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	11 Q All right. My question to you, though,	11 Q Okay.
14 authentic originals? 15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 14 713 next to one another. 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	12 is, as you sit here today, can you testify that	12 MR. DENNISON: Mr. Gibson, will you
15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to 16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 15 Your Honor, these have already been 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	13 all the photographs produced by Ms. Heard are	13 pull up Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's
16 photographs that have not been presented to me 17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	14 authentic originals?	14 713 next to one another.
17 that are not authentic originals. 18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 17 jury. 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	15 A As I sit here today? I cannot opine to	15 Your Honor, these have already been
18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that 18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,	16 photographs that have not been presented to me	16 admitted, and I ask that we publish them to the
	17 that are not authentic originals.	17 jury.
19 in some instances, Ms. Heard produced multiple 19 we can publish.	18 Q All right. You'll agree with me that	18 THE COURT: Okay. If they're admitted,
	19 in some instances, Ms. Heard produced multiple	19 we can publish.
20 versions of the same photograph, right? 20 Q Can you see this, sir?	20 versions of the same photograph, right?	20 Q Can you see this, sir?
21 A Yes, I can.	21 A Yes.	21 A Yes, I can.
22 Q You just talked about that, right? 22 Q You'll agree with me that those are	22 Q You just talked about that, right?	22 Q You'll agree with me that those are

Conducted on	
7508	7510
1 different pictures?	1 Mr. Neumeister said, that you need to look at the
2 A Visually, they look different to me,	2 software metadata field.
3 yes.	3 Q But we have two photographs entered 4 into evidence in this court that have the same
4 Q All right.	l
5 MR. DENNISON: We can take that down.	1
6 Mr. Gibson, can you pull up Plaintiff's	6 visually different, correct? 7 A I don't agree that they have the same
7 Exhibit 1308.	8 identifying information. I don't see a software
8 This has not been admitted, Your Honor,	9 metadata field here.
9 and I propose to use it as a demonstrative.	10 Q The identifying information includes
10 THE COURT: Any objection?	11 the hour, minute, and second they were taken,
MR. MURPHY: Not as a demonstrative,	12 these pictures were taken?
12 Your Honor.	13 A I see that.
13 THE COURT: All right. Be identified	14 MR. DENNISON: No further questions.
14 and published to the jury as a demonstrative.	15 THE COURT: All right. Just for the
15 MR. DENNISON: Any objection to	16 record, since we already have 712 and 713 in
16 entering it into evidence?	17 evidence as redacted, now this will be 712 and
1	18 713A. So 712A and 713A are now in evidence, just
17 MR. MURPHY: I would object it's	19 to keep the record clear.
18 cumulative, Your Honor. Actually, no. No	20 Redirect.
19 objection, Your Honor.	21 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND
20 THE COURT: No objection. It's in	22 COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF
21 evidence, then.	
22 MR. DENNISON: 1308 is in evidence.	<u></u>
7509	7511
Mr. Gibson, can you blow up the time,	1 BY MR. MURPHY:
2 date, and file name.	2 Q Mr. Ackert, you just mentioned embedded
3 Q Sir, you just agreed with me that those	3 metadata. What is that?
4 two photos are different photos, correct?	4 A Embedded metadata is metadata inside a
5 A I agree that they were visually	5 file. I talked earlier about the date printed of
6 different, yes.	6 a Word document. That's embedded into the file
7 Q Right. So they are two visually	7 and that traverses with the file, whenever it
8 different photos that were created at the exact	8 goes.
9 same hour, the exact same minute, the exact same	9 Q Based on all the photo metadata you
10 second as each other, correct?	10 have reviewed, do you have any reason to question
11 A That's what the date/time metadata	11 the forensic authenticity of any photos
12 shows.	12 Mr. Neumeister testified to, for which you were
13 Q And the metadata shows something else,	13 responding to?
14 too. They have the exact same file name, don't	14 A Can you repeat that question, please?
15 they, sir?	15 Q Yeah.
16 A Yes, but that's not embedded metadata.	Based on all of the photo metadata you
17 Q Right. How would you have this jury	17 have reviewed, based on the photos Mr. Neumeister
18 decide which one is real?	18 testified to, do you have any reason to question
19 A I think you would need to look at the	19 the forensic authenticity of those photos?
20 software metadata field, which I haven't looked	20 A I do not.
· ·	
21 at. I don't recall if I looked at for this	21 Q Following your cross-examination,

PLANET DEPOS

22 particular field, but I think that's what even

22 Mr. Ackert, have any of your opinions in this

Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7512	7514
1 matter changed?	1 IPV and that she couldn't find IPV in these test
2 A They have not.	2 result. What she's going to say, specifically,
3 Q And is it still your testimony that the	3 what she's going she's going to respond
4 opinions you testified to today are made with a	4 specifically to Dr. Curry, and nothing else.
5 reasonable degree of forensic certainty?	5 THE COURT: Just what Dr. Curry said in
6 A Yes.	6 evidence on rebuttal?
7 MR. MURPHY: No further questions, Your	7 MS. BREDEHOFT: Correct.
8 Honor.	8 THE COURT: On IPV?
9 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	9 MS. BREDEHOFT: Correct.
10 Sir, you can have a seat in the courtroom or	10 THE COURT: Just the factors?
11 you're free to go.	11 MR. DENNISON: My big issue is I didn't
12 All right. Did you want to approach	12 want another litany of IPV factors.
13 just for a moment?	13 THE COURT: Right. I don't think they
14 (Sidebar.)	14 have time to do that.
Since you're short on time, I was going	MS. BREDEHOFT: We're short on time.
16 to go ahead and give you your actual time between	16 THE COURT: Yeah.
17 witnesses; if that's okay.	17 (Open court.)
18 MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you.	18 THE COURT: All right. Your next
19 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you.	19 witness.
20 THE COURT: 51 minutes. All right.	20 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, we would
21 Just so we're all clear.	21 like to call Dr. Dawn Hughes to the stand.
MS. BREDEHOFT: While we're up here.	22 THE COURT: All right. Dr. Hughes.
7513	7515
1 I'm going to call Dr. Hughes next. After that,	1 Thank you, Doctor. Just a reminder
2 can we take a quick break? The only other witness	2 that you're still under oath.
3 we're calling is Ms. Heard, but she really has to	3 THE WITNESS: Yes, Your Honor. Thank
4 go to the bathroom.	4 you.
5 THE COURT: Well, I assume that's going	5 DAWN M. HUGHES, PH.D., ABPP, 6 having been previously sworn, was
6 to be close.	6 having been previously sworn, was 7 examined and testified as follows:
7 MS. BREDEHOFT: It will be right after.	8 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND
8 MR. CHEW: I think she should wait.	9 COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF
9 THE COURT: You would, Mr. Chew.	10 BY MS. BREDEHOFT:
MR. DENNISON: While we're up here, and	11 Q Could you, please, just remind the jury
11 we're going to talk about Dr. Hughes, this is a	12 who you are again?
12 rebuttal witness.	13 A Sure. I'm a clinical and forensic
112 THE COURT, Bight	14 psychologist; I am board certified in forensic
13 THE COURT: Right.	1
14 MR. DENNISON: There was no direct	15 psychology; I am the president elect of the trauma
	15 psychology; I am the president elect of the trauma 16 division of the American Psychological
14 MR. DENNISON: There was no direct	15 psychology; I am the president elect of the trauma 16 division of the American Psychological 17 Association. And I evaluated Amber Heard over six
MR. DENNISON: There was no direct 15 evidence from any of our experts about IPV issues	15 psychology; I am the president elect of the trauma 16 division of the American Psychological 17 Association. And I evaluated Amber Heard over six 18 visits, for, approximately 29 hours.
MR. DENNISON: There was no direct 15 evidence from any of our experts about IPV issues 16 or risk factors on IPV.	15 psychology; I am the president elect of the trauma 16 division of the American Psychological 17 Association. And I evaluated Amber Heard over six 18 visits, for, approximately 29 hours. 19 Q Okay. And why are you here today?
14 MR. DENNISON: There was no direct 15 evidence from any of our experts about IPV issues 16 or risk factors on IPV. 17 THE COURT: Okay.	15 psychology; I am the president elect of the trauma 16 division of the American Psychological 17 Association. And I evaluated Amber Heard over six 18 visits, for, approximately 29 hours. 19 Q Okay. And why are you here today? 20 A To offer rebuttal testimony to Shannon
14 MR. DENNISON: There was no direct 15 evidence from any of our experts about IPV issues 16 or risk factors on IPV. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. DENNISON: So I just want to make	15 psychology; I am the president elect of the trauma 16 division of the American Psychological 17 Association. And I evaluated Amber Heard over six 18 visits, for, approximately 29 hours. 19 Q Okay. And why are you here today? 20 A To offer rebuttal testimony to Shannon 21 Curry's testimony of yesterday.
14 MR. DENNISON: There was no direct 15 evidence from any of our experts about IPV issues 16 or risk factors on IPV. 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. DENNISON: So I just want to make 19 sure.	15 psychology; I am the president elect of the trauma 16 division of the American Psychological 17 Association. And I evaluated Amber Heard over six 18 visits, for, approximately 29 hours. 19 Q Okay. And why are you here today? 20 A To offer rebuttal testimony to Shannon 21 Curry's testimony of yesterday.

improper methods.

2

3

Would you agree with that?

- A No, I would not.
- Q Why? Please explain.

A I, as I testified to you all several

6 weeks ago, I used a standard forensic procedure

7 that was well-established in our field. It is a

8 multi-method, multi-hypothesis driven procedure

9 where you're looking at a variety of different

10 details and tests and external data to arrive at

11 an opinion, and you're looking at the consistency

12 across that data.

13 There are three sort of sets of tests 14 that we use as forensic psychologists, and I think

15 that's where it seems that Dr. Curry seems 16 confused. We have forensic assessment

17 instruments, those are instruments that are very

18 neatly tied to a legal criteria; those are most

19 notably done in the criminal court for competency,

20 if someone has competency to stand trial. We have 20

21 very clear measures that can track that legal 22 criteria.

7517

The next level are forensically

relevant instruments, and those instruments are

not linked to a specific legal criteria, but they

4 do have information that's germane to that

5 finding. And that can be an example of a risk

6 assessment measure and a malingering measure. So

the malingering measure that I utilized was the

8 M-FAST, and that was the only malingering measure

in this case.

10 The third category are clinical

11 assessment instruments, and those are instruments

12 that are validated and well-researched and used in

13 the clinical realm as we understand people's

14 symptomatology and diagnostic and functional

15 capacities.

16 Those are things like the MMPI, the

17 PAI, the CAPS, the TSI, because they are

18 clinically relevant, and they still give us very

19 valuable information to individuals who are

20 involved in a court case.

And the reason that we do that and we

22 use these clinical measures is because the

1 majority of the people that we see are in a

2 clinical realm. They're not in a courtroom. So

3 they're well-validated and well-researched

methods.

What we also do is use very different

6 types of tests within that category. We use some

7 checklists, some face value checklists; we use

8 some tests that are those broadband personality

9 inventories; we use structured clinical

10 interviews, and then we look for the consistency

11 across those data points. And when we use

12 different types of tests, it gives us information

13 about the individual, how they go about these

14 tests in these different modalities.

15 Q Now, Dr. Curry seemed to suggest that 16 Amber Heard tested the most extreme category in 17 all of these tests.

18 Is that accurate?

19 MR. DENNISON: Objection. Compound.

THE COURT: Overruled.

A That is not accurate. They were not. 21

22 On the checklists, I mean, people sometimes do,

7519

1 they go, all the time, this happens to me all the

2 time, frequently. And that is not how she

3 endorsed these tests. She endorsed them in a very

moderate way and a very nuanced way, describing

5 her symptoms, that I determined was accurate and

6 reliable.

Q Dr. Curry also suggested that Amber

8 Heard was tested very, very high, 98th percentile,

9 I think, on malingering and feigning. Could you

10 speak to that, please.

11 A Sure. She said a lot of things, so 12 let's go through those tests. First was the PAI,

13 and that's that other -

14 MR. DENNISON: Objection.

15 Nonresponsive.

16 MS. BREDEHOFT: I think that's very

17 responsive.

18 THE COURT: Sustained.

Q Okay. Could you tell the jury about

20 the PAI test that Dr. Curry addressed?

A Yes. Thank you. So that's the

22 broadband measure that has validity scales built

PLANET DEPOS

19

```
1 into it. That gives us indications about how the
2 individual goes about the test. I told you last
3 time that there was no evidence of malingering or
4 exaggeration or feigning on that test. What
5 Dr. Curry was talking about was this tendency to
6 put your best foot forward to minimize false on
7 this PAI. That scale was right at the cusp, and
8 then there were two other measures that we look at
9 that to say, you know, is this enough of an
10 elevation for me to consider that as a serious
11 response distortion, of which Dr. Curry was
12 saying, and it was not. What was relevant on this
13 test was there were elevations on anxiety, on
14 effectivity anxiety, meaning anxiety, tension,
15 worry, on traumatic stress, on hyperactivity, and
16 on affect of instability. Those were the four
17 scales that were elevated on this test. The
18 borderline scale was not elevated on this test,
19 and this test --
20
          MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor.
21 May we approach?
          THE COURT: Yes.
                                                   7521
1
          (Sidebar.)
```

2 she hasn't actually done herself. She can rebut anything that Dr. Curry has done, okay? So, I'll sustain the objection. 5 MR. DENNISON: Thank you. 6 MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you. BY MS. BREDEHOFT: 8 Q Dr. Curry, let's move to the TSI-2. 9 Dr. Curry testified about your findings on that. Did you agree with her testimony 11 yesterday? 12 A No. 13 Just describe why, explain why. 14 What Dr. Curry went back to, which is 15 this 98th percentile, which I told you, in the 16 manual, all you have to do is read the manual. It 17 says, specifically, that we do not use the 18 percentile ranks for this test. That indicates to 19 me that, you know, Dr. Curry does not know the 20 psychometric properties of this test, and then 21 she's, therefore, not qualified to interpret it. 22 That score, the one that's elevated, that she's

THE COURT: She can't rebut something

MR. DENNISON: There was no evidence --

3 no disclosure in her initial disclosure, any of 4 the subsequent disclosures, about any diagnosis of

5 borderline personality disorder or any other 6 personality disorder by this witness.

MS. BREDEHOFT: All she is doing is 8 rebutting what Dr. Curry said yesterday. She's 9 not doing anything from the disclosures. And Your 10 Honor allowed them to rebut the testimony to.

11 Outside of that, she said, specifically, on this 12 test.

MR. DENNISON: You'll remember the last 13 14 time that this witness testified, I objected --

MS. BREDEHOFT: Excuse me. Does this 16 count against me on time?

17 THE COURT: It kind of does, but go 18 ahead.

MR. DENNISON: You remember the last 19 20 time we were here with this witness, I objected to 21 this borderline personality material as being 22 outside the scope of the disclosure --

1 talking about that percentile rank, has a raw 2 score. Amber's raw score is a 10, the cutoff score is a 15. She's nowhere near that level of exaggerated symptoms where I can't further look at

that test. 6 Secondly, there are three scales out of

about -- maybe it was about 20, a little more, on the TSI that were elevated. Intrusive

experiences, that's when thoughts and memories of 10 the trauma come into your mind when you don't want

11 them. Defensive avoidance, sort of behavioral

12 ways that we try to push out those memories and

13 not think about them. And relational avoidance, a

14 way of having difficulty in interpersonal 15 relationships. Those are all trauma-based

16 symptoms, and those were elevated on this test.

17 MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you.

Q Dr. Hughes the M-FAST, Dr. Curry

19 addressed that as well and had criticism for your 20 interpretations.

Do you agree with that? 21

A No.

22 PLANET DEPOS

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

8

9

7524

```
Q Please explain to the jury.
```

A So the M-FAST, as I told you last time, 3 is a measure of malingering. It's the only 4 measure of malingering that was done in this case. 5 Dr. Curry did not independently administer --6 measure malingering, and there are other ones that she could have done to augment her evaluation. And she kept saying the M-FAST is not 9 for malingering PTSD. That's wrong on a couple 10 levels. People who are going to malinger and

11 feign don't only feign one diagnosis. They 12 sometimes talk about all different symptoms that 13 they have, and they exaggerate and they make 14 themselves seem much worse than they are. So when 15 you're doing an evaluation, you're giving a

16 malingering measure to get a sense of how this 17 person is responding to this evaluation.

18 Now, what you do is you take those 19 three tests that I talked to you about, the PAI, 20 the TSI and the M-FAST, all with valid validity 21 scales, you have greater confidence in your

22 results. That is in the literature, and that's

7525

1 literature that Dr. Curry cited in her report, 2 that bolsters the methodology that I used; that in 3 the study that had the PAI, the M-FAST, and the 4 TSI in the title of detecting feign from bona fide PTSD.

Q Thank you.

6

Now, Dr. Curry also talked about the 8 Danger Assessment Scale and suggested that you had inappropriately interpreted that.

10 Do you agree?

11 A That's also incorrect.

12 Q Please describe for the jury.

A Which I told you last time, the Danger 13

14 Assessment Scale has statistically validated risk

15 factors for serious or lethal domestic violence.

16 Those risk factors exist whether we go forward,

17 with sitting with someone in acute setting and

18 assessing them right now, or if we look backward

19 and we look retrospectively about what factors may

20 have been present in a case that indicated

21 severity. That instrument is used in what we

22 call -- there's called Domestic Fatality Review

1 Boards, those are boards after somebody has been killed, a hómicide has occurred in a domestic situation. They look at these risk factors to see what was there and what could be done.

Q All right. Dr. Curry also criticized 6 your administering of the CAPS-5.

Do you agree with her criticism?

A I do not.

Would you please explain to the jury.

So the CAPS-5, as you all have heard 11 multiple times, is a structured clinical interview 12 in determining PTSD, according to DSM-5 criteria.

On this measure, Amber Heard scored in 14 a moderate range. She did not overexaggerate on 15 this test. She could have said no, mild, 16 moderate, severe, and extreme. All of hers were 17 either 2s, or 1s, or 0s. None above that. So 18 she's going in the mild range, which still has 19 functional impairment. I mean, we don't want 20 somebody to be having moderate PTSD, but that's 21 not an exaggerated profile or exaggerated response 22 of someone who's answering an instrument.

7527

Q And the last of these Dr. Curry referred to as the PCL-5. 3

Do you agree with her criticism of your administration and interpretation of that?

A No. So the PCL-5 is a checklist of symptoms of PTSD. It is, as she said it, is a face value instrument, which means it is very clear about how you can endorse this. But what the person has to do is say, how disturbed am I by 10 these symptoms?

11 And when you have face value measures 12 with these other measures that have the built-in 13 sort of validity scales, you're sort of 14 controlling for that response bias error. You're 15 controlling for that individual to sort of 16 overexaggerate. But then, what you do with that 17 test, because we're not just administering them 18 like robots, is you go and you do a clinical 19 assessment, and that's what I did. I took what 20 she endorsed on that test, in January of 2021, and 21 I did a clinical assessment of those symptoms to 22 see if they meet criteria for PTSD. That is

```
7530
1 something we do clinically and I do in my office
                                                                 THE COURT: Overrule the objection.
                                                       1
2 all the time. And, yes, she did meet PTSD from
                                                       2
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you.
3 that in January of 2021.
                                                              A' No, that is not correct. That is
      Q Thank you.
                                                          often, actually, a myth, a misconception about
         Now, Dr. Curry said you misinterpreted
                                                          individuals who are struggling with PTSD or
 her test results.
                                                          trauma-based disorders, and I'm often called to
         Do you agree with that?
                                                          answer that and speak to that. You know, people
      A Yes. I agree that I misinterpreted –
                                                          who are struggling with trauma and PTSD are very
9 I agree that I interpreted them that she
                                                          strong, courageous, resilient people, even though
10 misinterpreted them.
                                                       10 they're struggling. They get up, they have to go
11
      Q Please explain that.
                                                       11 to work and drop their kid off at school and go to
12
      A Yeah. So I am also – you know, my
                                                       12 the market, even though they're struggling with
13 stance on the MMPI and how she interpreted it is,
                                                       13 these symptoms. So I often talk about sort of
14 I believe, wrong, and I think.
                                                        14 walking around with a 50-pound bag of flour on
15
         MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor.
                                                        15 their backs, just sort of trying to get through
16
         THE COURT: All right.
                                                       16 the day, where sometimes that button is going to
17
         MR. DENNISON: That's the issue we just
                                                        17 press play and the trauma is going to start
18 addressed.
                                                        18 activating in their head, and then they're going
19
         THE COURT: All right.
                                                        19 to be having to think about it, and have
20
         MS. BREDEHOFT: I don't.
                                                       20 physiological reactions until they can find a way
         THE COURT: If you want to approach.
21
                                                       21 to hit that pause and shut it off again. So they
22
         (Sidebar.)
                                                       22 still have, you know, impairments in other ways,
                                                 7529
                                                                                                        7531
         MS. BREDEHOFT: She explicitly said
                                                          but they go about their lives. They're not
  that Dr. Hughes misinterpreted her test results.
                                                          totally debilitated.
3
         THE COURT: Right.
                                                       3
                                                                 If you have someone in that high range,
4
         MR. DENNISON: She did.
                                                          the highest score, an 80 on the CAPS, Ms. Heard
         THE COURT: She answered that question,
                                                          had a 28, if you have someone in the higher range,
6 but then she went further.
                                                       6 we have seen people who are, you know, severely
         MR. DENNISON: Now she wants to go
                                                          disabled because of it, but that's not the norm of
8 further and talk about the borderline personality
                                                          people who have this moderate PTSD.
9 issue.
                                                              Q And did you find functional impairment
                                                       10 with Amber?
10
         MS. BREDEHOFT: I'll move on.
11
         MR. DENNISON: Thank you.
                                                        11
                                                              A Yes, I did.
12
                                                              Q Now, Dr. Curry also said that it is not
         (Open court.)
13 BY MS. BREDEHOFT:
                                                        13 within the scope of a psychologist to evaluate
14
      O Now, Dr. Hughes, Dr. Curry also
                                                        14 domestic violence.
15 testified that a victim of PTSD has to effectively
                                                       15
                                                                 Do you agree with that?
16 be prone and unable to function at all.
                                                              A · Of course I don't agree with that.
17
          Would you agree with that?
                                                       17 This is what I do for a living, day in and day
         MR. DENNISON: Objection. Misstates
18
                                                       18 out, for 25 years. We are specifically trained to
19 the evidence.
                                                       19 understand the profound impacts that trauma has on
         MS. BREDEHOFT: She said she couldn't
                                                       20 people's lives. That's what we do in the trauma
21 hike, they couldn't move, they couldn't function
                                                       21 division of the American Psychological
```

22 in any way, shape, or form.

22 Association, we disseminate that knowledge, and

THE COURT: If you want to ask that 1 domestic violence is one of those profound 2 question again. 2 traumas. Domestic violence is part of state MS. BREDEHOFT: Yeah, I probably should 3 licensing boards, that you can't get licensed in 4 do that. 4 some states unless you take training on domestic Q You were qualified -- I've got to find 5 violence. You can't renew your license unless you 6 my note. You were qualified as an expert in 6 get training on domestic violence. forensic psychology with a specialty in domestic So people who have, you know, 8 violence and in trauma, correct? 8 specialized training in this area, it is, you 9 know, beholden upon them to accurately assess for 9 A Correct. O Okay. And that was in this case? 10 intimate partner violence and sexual violence. 10 A That's correct. 11 And it more important to assess for the sort of 11 12 scientific understanding of what domestic violence 12 Q Okay. Now, do you still hold the same 13 opinions that you gave the jury earlier? 13 is. So you have to assess for the physical 14 violence, the psychological violence, the A Yes, I do. 15 surveillance, the economic, the emotional abuse, 15 Q And do you still hold them within a 16 reasonable degree of psychological probability and 16 and the sexual violence. That is not 17 certainty? 17 gratuitous -18 MR. DENNISON: Objection. Relevance. A Yes, I do. 18 19 THE COURT: All right. Next question. 19 MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you. 20 Sustain the objection. 20 THE COURT: All right. 21 MS. BREDEHOFT: All right. 21 Cross-examination. 22 . Q Dr. Curry suggested that you made a 22 MR. DENNISON: Yes, Your Honor, but can 7533 1 determination just based on personal opinion and I we approach quickly? 2 just on checklists and a couple of tests that you THE COURT: Sure. 3 misinterpreted. 3 (Sidebar.) Would you agree with that? A I vehemently disagree with that. As I

7535

6 stated to you, over 29 hours and 12 psychological 7 tests and reviewing a slew of documents in this 8 case, most importantly, therapy records and 9 interview, collateral interviews with therapists, 10 using all that data, that is what a solid forensic 11 methodological exam looks like. And then I made 12 my conclusions based on my clinical education, 13 knowledge, and training, to come up with a 14 professional expert opinion. 15 Q Okay. And just to remind -- to make 16 sure we're reminded here, you were qualified in 17 this court, in this case, as an expert in forensic 18 psychology and, specifically, in domestic violence 19 and in the -- now I just lost it. And in 20 violence, correct, and in trauma, correct? MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor.

22 Misstates the record.

MR. DENNISON: The witness suggested 5 that her 29 hours was somehow valuable here. I 6 was hopeful that I could ask whether she knew 7 whether Dr. Curry was limited in the total number 8 of hours she was able to meet with Ms. Heard. I 9 don't want to get into the details, but Dr. Curry 10 had a total of 12 hours and, you know, she's 11 comparing her 29 hours to her. We're talking 12 apples and oranges. MS. BREDEHOFT: They asked for, in 14 fact, it was 14, they asked for 14, we said yes. 15 We agreed to the full amount they asked for. She 16 wasn't limited. If she had asked for 20, we 17 probably would have agreed to that, if she asked 18 for 29, we probably would have agreed to that. We 19 agreed to what was asked for. 20 THE COURT: I'm not going to allow it. 21 MS. BREDEHOFT: Thank you.

MR. DENNISON: Thank you.

22

Conducted on May 26, 2022							
7536 1 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND	7538						
2 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT	1 page.						
3 BY MR. DENNISON:	2 MS. BREDEHOFT: Well, what is up is a 3 chart.						
4 Q Good morning, Dr. Hughes.	4 MR. DENNISON: I know, he had the wrong						
5 A Good morning, Mr. Dennison.	5 thing up.						
6 Q The last time you were here, I believe	la maran coverna av es a company						
7 that you testified that you had diagnosed	6 THE COURT: Now it's up. It's Danger 7 Assessment.						
8 Ms. Heard with PTSD before you gave the gold	1,						
9 standard CAPS-5 test?	8 MR. DENNISON: Let me get him to the 9 right one.						
10 A That's correct.	10 THE COURT: Let's see the right one						
11 Q Right. And that diagnosis is actually	11 first.						
12 reflected in the first of the disclosures you put	12 · · MR. DENNISON: May I approach the						
13 forward in this case?	13 witness and give her this?						
14 A I believe the first disclosure was	14 THE COURT: Okay. Sure.						
15 February 2021, and at that point, I had done 11	15 (Open court.)						
16 psychological tests, that's correct.	16 THE WITNESS: Thank you.						
17 Q Right. And your disclosure reads	17 MS. BREDEHOFT: I don't see this in my						
18 "Ms. Heard's responses on the PCL-5 supported	18 documents either.						
19 DSM-5 diagnosis of post-traumatic stress disorder	19 THE COURT: They're getting the right						
20 with an etiology of intimate partner violence she	20 one up.						
21 experienced by a former partner."	21 MR. DENNISON: Yep, we've got it up.						
22 Do you remember writing that?	22 Let's use 1312.						
7537	7539						
1 A That's correct.	THE COURT: 1312. And that any						
2 Q Okay. You just testified that you need	2 objection to that demonstrative?						
3 to read the manual, right?	3 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor.						
4 A Yes.	4 THE COURT: Okay: 1312 can be						
5 Q Okay.	5 published to the jury.						
6 MR. DENNISON: Can we put up 1311 as a	6 BY MR. DENNISON:						
7 demonstrative?	7. Q Dr. Hughes, do you recognize what the						
8 THE COURT: Any objection to 1311?	8 National Center for PTSD is?						
9 MS. BREDEHOFT: I don't know what it	9 A What it is?						
10 is, Your Honor.	10 Q Yeah.						
11 THE COURT: Do you want to wait	11 A Yes, I do.						
MS. BREDEHOFT: May we approach?	12 Q And they publish the PTSD checklist for						
13 THE COURT: Do you want to approach?	13 DSM-5?						
14 (Sidebar.).	14 A That's correct.						
MS. BREDEHOFT: I just don't know what	15 Q What's been called the PCL-5?						
16 it is.	16 A Correct.						
17 THE COURT: I assume something from the	17 Q And are you familiar with the document						
18 manual. Just if I could guess, something from the	18 that's on your screen?						
19 manual.	19 A Yes.						
20 MR. DENNISON: Yeah.	20 Q What is it?						
21 MS. BREDEHOFT: Which page is that?	21 A It's the instruction manual to have						
MR. DENNISON: We're only on the second	22 administered the PCL-5.						

Conducted on	May 26, 2022		
7540	7542		
1 Q Okay.	1 May I approach?		
2 MR. DENNISON: I would like to move for	2 THE COURT: Yes, sir. Thank you. Any		
3 the admission of 1312.	3 objection to 1309 as a demonstrative?		
4 THE COURT: Any objection to 1312	4 MS. BREDEHOFT: May we approach?		
5 coming into evidence?	5 THE COURT: Okay.		
6 MS. BREDEHOFT: No.	6 (Sidebar.)		
7 THE COURT: All right. 1312 in	7 MS. BREDEHOFT: Can you tell me where		
8 evidence.	8 this is at and what this is?		
9 MR. DENNISON: Can we go to the second	9 MR. DENNISON: I can tell you, I'm		
10 page. Can we blow up the second paragraph on the	10 almost positive she'll tell you, that's top of her		
11 right.	11 CTS-2, the one that she administered to Amber		
12 Q The PCL-5 should not be used as a	12 Heard.		
13 standalone diagnostic tool. When considering a	MS. BREDEHOFT: The top of? The first		
14 diagnosis, the clinician will still need to use	14 page?		
15 clinical interviewing skills and a recommended	15 MR. DENNISON: No, it's the		
16 structured interview, e.g. the CAPS-5, to	16 instructions.		
17 determine a diagnosis, correct? That's what the	17 MS. BREDEHOFT: Okay.		
18 manual says?	18 THE COURT: No objection?		
19 A This manual also says that this is a	MS. BREDEHOFT: No objection, Your		
20 screening instrument, so when you do the clinical	20 Honor.		
21 interview, you absolutely can determine a	21 (Open court.)		
22 diagnosis —	22 THE COURT: It will be marked for		
7541	7543		
Q So the answer is yes, the manual says	1 identification as Plaintiff's 1309 and published		
2 the PCL-5	2 to the jury as demonstrative.		
A Interviewing skills, with which I used.	3 BY MR. DENNISON:		
Q Let me finish my question.	4 Q Doctor, do you recognize the		
The PCL-5 should not be used as a	5 demonstrative that's in front of you?		
6 standalone diagnostic tool, and they recommend	6 A Yes, but I didn't put the redlines on		
7 using the CAPS-5 to determine a diagnosis,	7 that.		
8 correct?	8 Q No, I did.		
9 A It does say that, yes.	9 A Yes, I know.		
10 Q Yes. And you made your diagnosis	10 Q Okay. So, this what do you		
11 before you did the CAPS-5?	11 recognize this as?		
12 A I made my diagnosis during clinical	12 A I recognize that we previously		
13 interviewing and 11 other psychological tests that	13 discussed this, and I told you that I oriented		
14 supported diagnosis of PTSD and symptomatology	14 Ms. Heard to a different time frame because she		
15 consistent with PTSD.	15 was already out of the relationship.		
16 Q Okay. You said that we should read the	16 Q Okay. Maybe we should back it up a		
17 manual. Would you also agree with me that we need	17 little. This is the CTS-2 that you administered		
18 to read the directions on these tests?	18 as part of this battery of tests that you indicate		
19 A Sure.	19 you did in relationship to your diagnosis?		
20 Q Okay.	20 A Right. This is the Conflict Tactic		
21 MR. DENNISON: Tom, can you pull up	21 Scale 2.		
22 1309 as a demonstrative.	22 Q And you'll agree with me that this test		

1 specifically asks, in front of every single 2 question, how often did this happen in the past 3 year? 2 out based on the data that was provided to me. 3 Q Oh, so you sked her the questions and 4 then you filled it in? 5 A It was somewhat collaborative. 6 Q Okay. 7 MR. DENNISON: Can we blow up the 8 relationship. 7 MR. DENNISON: Can we blow up the 8 relationship. 7 MR. DENNISON: Can we blow up the 8 relationship. 9 Q It says "Using the calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 cx-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use a calendar because she 15 was already out of the relationship. As I said, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A - in answering the questions. 7245	Conducted on May 20, 2022							
2 out based on the data that was provided to me. 3 year? 4 A Correct. 5 Q Yeah, and — 6 A And I oriented her to not limit herself 7 to the last year, to get an accurate assessment of 8 the violence and abuse that she experienced in the 9 relationship. 10 MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor. 11 And move to strike. 12 THE COURT: All right. Fil move to 13 strike the last part of the answer — I mean 14 strike the last part of the answer — I mean 14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Whitch is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q Yes or no, ma'm? 22 A — in answering the questions. 21 Q Doctor, yes or no? 22 A — in answering the questions. 22 A — or answering the questions. 23 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 3 year? 4 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 4 Q All right. 5 A It was somewhat collaborative. 6 Q Okay. 7 MR. DENNISON: Can we blow up the 8 second full paragraph. 9 Q It says "Using the calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any	7544 1 specifically asks, in front of every single	7546 1 A I didn't give it to her. I filled it						
3 Q Oh, so you asked her the questions and 4 A Correct. 5 Q Yeah, and — 6 A And I oriented her to not limit herself 7 to the last year, to get an accurate assessment of 8 the violence and abuse that she experienced in the 9 relationship. 10 MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor. 11 And move to strike. 12 THE COURT: All right. I'll move to 13 strike the last part of the answer — I niean 14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q Yes or no, ma'ann? 22 A — in answering the questions. 23 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 24 year? 24 A Of course. 25 Q All right. Let's look at another one 26 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 27 THE COURT: What number is this? 28 A That's correct. 29 A That's correct. 30 Q All right. 31 C Oh, so you asked her the questions and 4 then you filled it in? 5 A It was somewhat collaborative. 6 Q Okay. 7 MR. DENNISON: Can we blow up the 8 secondful paragraph. 9 Q It says "Using the calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use a calendar because she 15 was already out of the relationship. As I said, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 10 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you— 744 A I did not use any calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use any calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use any calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use any calendar, because she 15 was already out of the relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 10 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you wer		-						
4 then you filled it in? 5 Q Yeah, and — 6 A And I oriented her to not limit herself 7 to the last year, to get an accurate assessment of 8 the violence and abuse that she experienced in the 9 relationship. 10 MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor. 11 And move to strike. 12 THE COURT: All right. I'll move to 13 strike the last part of the answer — I mean 14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 724 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 22 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 33 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 44 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 5 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Ckay, You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 4 then you filled it in? 6 Q Okay. 7 MR. DENNISON: Can we blow up the 8 second full paragraph. 9 Q It says "Using the calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, please 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, please 10 mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were	_ = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =	7						
5 Q Yeah, and 6 A And I oriented her to not limit herself 7 to the last year, to get an accurate assessment of 8 the violence and abuse that she experienced in the 9 relationship. 10 MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor. 11 And move to strike. 12 THE COURT: All right. I'll move to 13 strike the last part of the answer I mean 14 strike the last part of the answer I make you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you. 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away. 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A in answering the questions. 7545 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone-for more than a year? 5 A Of course. 4 Q You knew she was gone-for more than a year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: All right. It was somewhat collaborative. 6 Q All right. 14 strike the also year, Journal the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12.ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, please. 14 A I did not use a calendar because she 15 was already out of the relationship. As I said, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you 22 the past year, and you 23 the current behaviors. We can use it 24 relationship, the I just stated, and in 25 the current behaviors. We can use it 26 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 27 homicles and looking back about what are the 28 serious risk factors that were in this 29 relationship. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 ga		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
6 A And I oriented her to not limit herself 7 to the last year, to get an accurrate assessment of 8 the violence and abuse that she experienced in the 9 relationship. 10 MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor. 11 And move to strike. 12 THE COURT: All right. I'll move to 13 strike the last part of the answer.—I mean 14 strike the last part of the answer.—I mean 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame— 21 Q Yes or no, ma'an? 22 A—in answering the questions. 7547 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 17 THE COURT: What number is this? 18 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 19 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 10 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 22 the past year? 23 All right. 24 A Grourse. 55 A Of course. 66 Q All right. THE COURT: What number is this? 76 A Of course. 77 A Data right. The COURT: What number is this? 78 A Of course. 86 C Q All right. The WiTNESS: Thank you. 87 A Data right. The COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct?								
7 to the last year, to get an accurate assessment of 8 the violence and abuse that she experienced in the 9 relationship. 10 MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor. 11 And move to strike. 12 THE COURT: All right. I'll move to 13 strike the last part of the answer. I mean 14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame— 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A—in answering the questions. 7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 4 relationship, Let's look at another one 7 of these, You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these, You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 10 Q All right. Let's look at another one 10 Q I didn't use any calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use a calendar because she 15 was already out of the relationship. As I said, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you— 15 A Of course. 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these, You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 10 Q I didn't use any calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use a calendar because she 15 was already out of the relationship. As I said, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this is 10 say that this is a static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this instrument 19 Co and administration. No, that's 20 one adm								
8 the violence and abuse that she experienced in the 9 relationship. 10 MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor. 11 And move to strike. 12 THE COURT: All right. I'll move to 12 ex-partner." 13 strike the last part of the answer — I mean 14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 7 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 21 Q Doctor, yes or no? 22 the past year, and you — 7547 25 A Of course. 30 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 26 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. Let's look at mother one 8 Test. 10 THE COURT: What number is this? 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 21 the past year? 10 mask the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your pather or 12 ex-partner." 12 wear, and you of the relationship. A I did not use a calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use a calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use a calendar because she 15 was already out of the relationship. A I did not use a calendar pleasus she 15 was already out of the relationship. A I did not use a calendar piecause she 15 was already out of the relationship. A I did not use a calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use a calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use a calendar pleasus she 15 was already out of the relationship. A I did not use a calendar pleasus she 15 was already out of the relationship. A I fait fait to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specif								
9	I	· •						
10 MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor. 11 And move to strike. 12 THE COURT: All right. I'll move to 13 strike the last part of the answer.—I mean 14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame— 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 17 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 10 In mark the approximate dates during the past year 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use a calendar because she 15 was already out of the relationship, at S isaid, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 7545 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use if 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious ri		1						
11 And move to strike. 12 THE COURT: All right. I'll move to 12 ex-partner." 13 strike the last part of the answer – I mean 14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame – 20 different time frame – 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 11 when you were abused by your partner or 12 ex-partner." 13 You didn't use any calendar, did you? 14 A I did not use a calendar because she 15 was already out of the relationship. As I said, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's – that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's – that you gave examposed to look over 22 the past year, and you – 7547 1 A That's one administration. No, that's correct was examination that you gave a supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you – 7547 1 A That's one administration. No, that's even are administration. No, that's even are administration. No, that's even are administration. No, that's even administration. No, that's even are administration.	-							
12 THE COURT: All right. I'll move to 13 strike the last part of the answer — I mean 14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone-for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 gave to Ms. Heard, correct?		10 mark the approximate dates during the past year						
13 You didn't use any calendar, did you? 14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone-for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 4 year? 5 A Of course. 5 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 21 That is test to the eationship. As I said, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 22 the past year, and you— 23 the past year, and you— 24 the past year, and you— 25 the past year in that you gave, specifically indicates 26 that it's — that you gave, specifically indicates 27 that it's — that you gave, specifically		T =						
14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you. 15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. Let's look at another one 8 Test. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 20 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 14 A I did not use a calendar because she 15 was already out of the relationship. As I said, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 22 the past year, and you — 7547 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 14 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous a	T S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	12.ex-partner."						
15 Next question. 16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 15 Was already out of the relationship. 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 7545 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship. 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 7545 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instruction. 16 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 17 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instruct	13 strike the last part of the answer I mean	13 You didn't use any calendar, did you?						
16 Q And you know that at the time that you 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: What number is this? 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Each a way 11 Change. So I oriented her to the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 7547 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you avou exp supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 7547 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, 16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you a were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 7547 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 6 relationship. 16 these are static risk factors, and the time of the 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's — that you about the instructions in fit his test to the 16 retrospectively, like I j	14 strike the last part of the answer. Thank you.	14 A I did not use a calendar because she						
16 these are static risk factors, so they don't 17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Ent it's fact in say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 7547 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 20 gave to Ms. Heard, correct?	15 Next question.	15 was already out of the relationship. As I said,						
17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away 18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 A — in answering the questions. 7545 2 A Of course. 7546 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 7547 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 9 A That's correct. 9 Pelationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year? 10 instructions say look over 12 the past year? 12 instructions say look over 13 instructions, and the instructions say look over 12 the past year? 13 instructions say look over 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	16 Q And you know that at the time that you							
18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct? 19 A Which is why I oriented her to a 20 different time frame — 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 A — in answering the questions. 7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 18 relationship. 19 Q But it's fair to say that this 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 22 the past year, and you — 22 the past year, and you — 25 the past year, and you — 26 the past year, and you — 27 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 28 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 29 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 20 examination that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 20 examination that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 20 that it's — that you ase supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 27 that it's — that you ase supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 28 that it's — that you ase, specifically indicates 20 that it's — that you ase out and it's fair to say the tis's and you — 29 Extending in the you ase administration. No, that's corect past year, and you — 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 20 that it's and you	17 gave this test to Ms. Heard, she had been away							
20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 hat it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you— 7547 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you— 7547 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	18 from Mr. Depp for far longer than a year, correct?	18 relationship.						
20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 Q Yes or no, ma'am? 22 hat it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you— 7547 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 20 examination that you gave, specifically indicates 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you— 7547 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	19 A Which is why I oriented her to a	19 Q But it's fair to say that this						
21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 A — in answering the questions. 7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 21 that it's — that you were supposed to look over 22 the past year, and you — 7547 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	•							
22 the past year, and you — 7547 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 the past year, and you — 7547 7547 7547 1 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct?	21 O Yes or no, ma'am?							
7545 1 Q Doctor, yes or no? 2 A Of course. 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 10 A That's one administration. No, that's 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct?	· ·							
2 One administration. People use this instrument 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?								
2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 Q You knew she was gone for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 2 one administration. People use this instrument 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	1 Q Doctor, yes or no?	1 A That's one administration. No, that's						
3 Q You knew she was gone-for more than a 4 year? 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 3 for if you're in an acute situation, in a 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct?	2 A Of course.							
4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 A Of course. 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 4 relationship, trying to get a better assessment of 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?								
5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 5 the current behaviors. We can use it 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct?	· —	The state of the s						
6 Q All right. Let's look at another one 7 of these. You talked about the Danger Assessment 8 Test. 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in 7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?								
7 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 Test. 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 A That's correct. 9 relationship. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 17 homicides and looking back about what are the 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?		6 retrospectively, like I just stated, and in						
8 Serious risk factors that were in this 9 A That's correct. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 8 serious risk factors that were in this 9 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?								
9 relationship. 10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 relationship. 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct?	1	T 7						
10 Q All right. 11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 10 Q I didn't ask you about anything other 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	1. ·	h —						
11 THE COURT: What number is this? 12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 11 than don't the instructions limit this test to the 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	I [*]	7						
12 MR. DENNISON: 1310. 13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 12 previous year? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	_ · -	1						
13 THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1310. 14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 13 A You can give this test not only on the 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?								
14 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 14 previous year. 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?		L -						
15 THE COURT: Any objection to 1310 as 16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 15 Q I'm asking you about the instructions. 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?								
16 demonstrative? 17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 16 A But I'm telling you how the test is 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?								
17 MS. BREDEHOFT: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 17 administered in clinical practice, in forensic 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	· · ·							
18 THE COURT: Okay. You can publish to 19 the jury. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 18 practice. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?		-						
19 the jury. 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 19 Q And opposing counsel can come up and 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
20 Q Dr. Hughes, this is a blank form, but 21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 20 ask questions about. I'm asking you about the 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1						
21 this is the Danger Assessment Test that you also 22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 21 instructions, and the instructions say look over 22 the past year?	1 * *							
22 gave to Ms. Heard, correct? 22 the past year?	T	-						
	-	· ·						
		<u> </u>						

7550 A On this, yes, that it says that. 1 in a single blank on this form with respect to Q You had actual knowledge, when you gave 2 frequency. Is the answer to that yes or no? 3 the test, that Mr. Depp was gone way more than a A I don't know what you mean "a single year before this test? blank." A That is correct. 5 Q All right. 6 O Right. Let's look at more 6 MR. DENNISON: Let's page down. Let's instructions. keep going. We'll stay at this page for a second. MR. DENNISON: Can we look at 1247, Q This is the very first box you were 9 which is already in evidence. 9 asked to fill in as to, I think, a fairly 10 Q Dr. Hughes, you recognize this one, 10 fundamental question, right, what happened? 11 too, right? A We talked about this before, 12 A Yes. 12 Mr. Dennison, I had 88 pages of notes of what O All right. This is the -- whatever 13 13 happened. It would have been redundant to put it 14 it's called, the CAPS-5? 14 there. 15 A Correct. 15 Q You know that you are obligated to 16 MR. DENNISON: Can we go to the first 16 produce this test in a way that other people can 17 page. Let's blow up the instructions. 17 meaningfully review them, yes? Q Standard administration and scoring of A The people in this case who are 19 the CAPS-5 are essential for producing reliable 19 meaningfully reviewing them, they have my 88 pages 20 and valid scores and diagnostic decisions. 20 of note. 21 Correct? Q But you chose to put absolutely nothing 22 A Correct. 22 in the box, the standardized box that said what 7551 1 happened? Q And you know you have to do this in a standardized way because it is the first A You don't have to put it in the box if instruction, correct? you have it somewhere else. A Correct. O So this is not a standardized test? A When it's a research instrument that is MR. DENNISON: Can we go to the next page. One more -- oh, wait, that's good. used for research. If you're using it for a clinical diagnostic purpose, no, you don't, if you Q This is a page on scoring, and we talked about this last time. have that data elsewhere. Q Okay. 10 MR. DENNISON: Let's go another page or 10 Q You scored these tests by frequency and 11 intensity? 11 so. All right. 12 12 A Correct. Q Let's go to another one. Let's look at 13 Q And you'll remember that in every 13 item 4B4. And I asked you whether you filled in 14 single instance that you were asked to fill in the 15 the blank about frequency. And there's a blank in 15 blank about frequency, you failed to do so, 16 many of these items that asks a number of times. 16 correct? 17 That's not correct. 17 You didn't fill a single one of them 18 Q Oh, you filled in any blank on this 18 in, did you? 19 form with respect to frequency? A Right. Because I filled them on the A I filled in the frequency on the side 20 right side of the instrument, where I am scoring 21 of the CAPS, where I am actually scoring the CAPS. 21 it. 22 Q No, no, I'm asking whether you filled Q And that is the way that you believe

Conducted on May 26, 2022						
7552	7554					
1 that you followed the instructions of a	1 symptomatology that's fallen from it.					
2 standardized test?	Q You said you had 88 pages of notes.					
3 A Yes, correct.	3 What were your 88 without going into the					
4 MR. DENNISON: No further questions.	4 specifics, can you just describe what was included					
5 THE COURT: All right. Redirect.	5 in the 88 pages of notes?					
6 MS. BREDEHOFT: Very briefly.	6 A In the 88 pages were many of the					
7 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE her	7 documented incidents of intimate partner violence.					
8 BY MS. BREDEHOFT:	MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor.					
9 Q You used the term "static risk factor."	9 MS. BREDEHOFT: She's just describing.					
10 What did you mean by that?	10 That came up. He said you didn't fill those in					
11 A Static risk factors are risk factors	11 the boxes, she said it was in my 88 pages. I'm					
12 that don't change. Maybe if you smoke cigarettes,	12 just saying, what was in your 88 pages? I'm not					
13 you may have a risk of lung cancer, right, that's	13 asking her to give each of the specific events.					
14 a factor that doesn't change. You can look	14 She can describe the summary of it.					
15 forward of someone smoking, you can look back of	MR. DENNISON: Can we come up?					
16 someone smoking. So these lethality risk factors	16 THE COURT: Okay.					
17 are present whether you're evaluating them in the	17 (Sidebar.)					
18 last year or five years ago.	18 MR. DENNISON: I asked her whether she					
19 Q When you're trying to assess for trauma	19 filled in a box, and we're going to get in a					
20 related to the interpersonal violence that we	20 litany of					
21 discussed, why is it important to get a time frame	21 MS. BREDEHOFT: He opened the door.					
22 in which the relationship was in existence?	22 MR. DENNISON: Are you kidding me?					
7553	7555					
A Well, you want to see the amount of	THE COURT: She said she relied on her					
2 trauma that the person experienced. The longer	2 notes, and she already testified to that, so I'll					
3 that they're in a relationship, you have more	3 sustain the objection.					
4 successive trauma, and one of things that we know	4 MS. BREDEHOFT: All right.					
5 from the research is more trauma is not better, so 6 the more successive traumas that you have, the	5 (Open court.) 6 BY MS. BREDEHOFT:					
1	l'					
7 greater likelihood that somebody is going to have	7 Q What is the difference between clinical 8 - scoring in clinical evaluation and research					
8 psychological consequences and symptomatology as a	1 -					
9 result.	9 evaluation with respect to the CAPS-5 and the 10 other tests?					
10 Q And therefore, you need to get it	Į ir ir					
11 within the relationship?	MR. DENNISON: Objection. Compound.					
12 MR. DENNISON: Objection. Leading.	12 THE COURT: Sustained.					
13 Q So how does that relate to needing to	MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, when it's					
14 get the time frame in the relationship?	14 compound, it's because it might be confusing to					
15 A We need to understand the when you 16 try to evaluate the impact of something, you have	15 the jury. 16 THE COURT: Sustained.					
17 to understand what happened to the person, and 18 that's so the core and the basis of	•					
19 trauma-informed care and of trauma diagnoses, you	1					
	19 the difference is between clinical scoring and					
	!					
20 evaluation on the test and research? 21 transpired and how it played out for that 22 evaluation on the test and research? 23 MR. DENNISON: Objection, Your Honor.						
22 individual so that you can better assess for the	22 Compound.					

7556 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead. THE COURT: Remaining time? For both. A Sure. The PCL and the CAPS-5 are 2 For both? 3 frequently used in research. And when they're 3 MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes, please. 4 THE LAW CLERK: 31 minutes for the 4 used in research, that means the document stands 5 alone. They don't have 88 pages of notes to help defendant. And for plaintiff the plaintiff --6 understand the background of the trauma and what 6 THE COURT: How much time have they 7 the person has experienced. And why those boxes used? 8 may be relevant in research is because, you know, 8 THE LAW CLERK: They've used 54 hours and 49 minutes? 9 back in the day, when I was a research assistant, 10 I had to input that into the computer, and then 10 THE COURT: So they have about 11 they would maybe look at some of that data for the 11 six hours. MR. CHEW: Going to be a long cross? 12 research study. So that becomes very important in 12 13 research. 13 THE COURT: This is a free sidebar. MS. BREDEHOFT: All right. Thank you. 14 MS. BREDEHOFT: And then we rest. 14 15 THE COURT: While you're up here, after 15 I have no further questions. THE COURT: Thank you, Dr. Hughes. You 16 you rest, I'm going to let the jury go home for 17 can have a seat in the courtroom or you are free 17 the day so they can come back in the morning at 18 to go. 189:00 for the closing arguments. I'm going to have 19 19 about an hour of housekeeping with the jury Ladies and gentlemen, let's go ahead 20 instructions. I assume what I can do is after 20 and take our afternoon recess -- I mean our 21 morning recess, take our 15-minute recess. Do not 21 they leave, I can take either the lunch breaks --22 I assume your clients don't want to be here for 22 discuss the case with anybody, and don't do any 7557 7559 1 outside research, okay? 1 jury instructions. I'm going to the laptop and (Whereupon, the jury exited the 2 going over the exhibits, and I want everybody to courtroom and the following proceedings took 3 look at the laptop, I want you to look at all the 4 place.) exhibits. I want to make sure we get all the jury THE COURT: All right. Why don't we instructions done. I will give you your copies of just come back at 11:05, then. the jury instructions in the order I'm going to MS. BREDEHOFT: All right. say them, so you can all prepare for your closing 8 THE COURT: All right. 11:05. 8 arguments tonight, or this afternoon, sounds like. THE BAILIFF: All rise. MS. BREDEHOFT: We prefer to do it 10 (Recess taken from 10:44 a.m. to 10 before lunch. No, seriously. 11 11:05 a.m.) THE COURT: We have to take some sort 11 12 THE BAILIFF: All rise. 12 of break so your clients can leave. 13 MS. VASQUEZ: Can we take a shorter Please be seated and come to order. 14 THE COURT: All right. Are we ready 14 lunch, Your Honor? 15 for the jury? 15 THE COURT: 45 minutes. MS. VASQUEZ: Yeah, 45 minutes. 16 MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes. 16 17 MR. ROTTENBORN: Oh, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: 45 minutes lunch. 18 MR. CHEW: Can we approach? 18 MR. CHEW: Will they be read to the 19 THE COURT: Yes, sure. 19 jury before closings? 20 20 THE COURT: Yes, yes. What I'm going (Sidebar.) 21 MR. CHEW: We're just interested in the 21 to do is, also, adapt some of the initial jury 22 instructions I gave the first day. I'm going to 22 remaining time.

```
7562
1 give them some of those reminders, since it's been
                                                                     (Whereupon, the jury entered the
2 so long since they heard it, just about the
                                                          2 courtroom and the following proceedings took
3 note-taking, it's to be used as a reminder. I'm
                                                             place.)
4 going to add those notes to it. I'm going to tell
                                                          4
                                                                     THE COURT: All right. Thank you,
                                                          5
5 them that their names are sealed for a year, and
                                                             ladies and gentlemen. You may be seated.
6 then I'll go into the jury instructions that we
                                                          6
                                                                    All right. Your next witness.
7 agree upon today.
                                                                    MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, defendant
          MR. CHEW: May we -- I'm not saying we
                                                            calls Amber Heard.
9 will, but may we reference the jury instructions
                                                          9
                                                                     THE COURT: All right. Ms. Heard, if
10 in the juror questionnaire, in the closing?
                                                          10 you would come to the stand, please.
          MS. VASQUEZ: Not the juror --
11
                                                          11
                                                                    THE WITNESS: Can I get my water?
12
          MR. CHEW: The verdict form.
                                                          12
                                                                     THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Water.
13
          THE COURT: Oh, yeah. That' why I'm
                                                          13
                                                                    Thank you, ma'am. Just remind you,
                                                          14 you're still under oath, okay?
14 going to give you copies of -- before you leave
15 today, you'll have the copies of the form I'm
                                                          15
                                                                     THE WITNESS: Of course, Your Honor.
                                                          16 Thank you.
16 going to give them, and you use however the jury
17 instructions you want to. I'm going the give the
                                                          17
                                                                    THE COURT: Thank you.
18 jurors each their own copy of jury instructions
                                                          18
                                                                     All right. Your question.
19 too. But you can reference any jury instruction.
                                                          19
                                                                        AMBER LAURA HEARD,
20
          MR. ROTTENBORN: Can we reference the
                                                          20
                                                                     having been previously sworn, was
                                                          21 examined and testified as follows:
21 verdict form?
          THE COURT: Yeah, if you want to
22
                                                    7561
                                                                                                             7563
1 reference the verdict form.
                                                              EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND
                                                                     COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF
          MR. ROTTENBORN: He said questionnaire,
                                                          3
                                                             BY MR. ROTTENBORN:
3 so I wasn't sure.
                                                                 Q Amber, just to orient you and the jury,
          THE COURT: You can reference the
                                                             at this time, we're in rebuttal to your
5 verdict form because it's rather lengthy, as far
                                                             counterclaim against Mr. Depp, so I'm going to
6 as that goes. I usually explain it to them too,
                                                             confine my questions to that, okay?
7 but this is rather lengthy, so if you want to talk
                                                                 A All right.
8 about it, then I won't, but it's up to you.
                                                                 Q How have you suffered publicly as a
          MR. ROTTENBORN: My only request is
                                                           10 result of the Depp/Waldman statements?
10 if -- I assume Mr. Chew is going to make a motion
                                                          11
                                                                   MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Speculation.
11 to strike after we rest. Could we --
                                                          12
                                                                   THE COURT: Overruled.
12
          MR. CHEW: It's going to be very quick.
                                                          13
                                                                 A I am harassed, humiliated, threatened
13
          MR. ROTTENBORN: I respect Mr. Chew's
                                                          14 every single day. Even just walking into this
                                                          15 courtroom, sitting here in front of the world,
14 exploratory skills, but can we do it here?
                                                          16 having the worst parts of my life, things I have
          THE COURT: He already said he'll do
                                                          17 lived through, used to humiliate me. People want
16 the exact same thing you did right here. So after
                                                           18 to kill me, and they tell me so every day. People
17 I excuse the jury, we will approach at that time
                                                          19 want to put my baby in the microwave, and they
18 and then we'll do it. Okay.
                                                          20 tell me that.
19
          MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you.
                                                          21
                                                                   Johnny threatened, promised, promised
20
          (Open court.)
                                                          22 me if I ever left him, he'd make me think of him
21
          THE COURT: All right. We're ready for
22 the jury.
```

7564 every single day that I lived. MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. Nonresponsive. THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain the objection as to that. If you want to ask the question. Q Amber, how did Mr. Depp's statements 8 and threats to you, that you were discussing, how 9 do those continue to manifest themselves today? 10 A In the harassment, in the humiliation, 11 the campaign against me that's echoed every single 12 day on social media, and now in front of cameras, 13 in this room. Every single day, I have to relive 14 the trauma. My hands shake, I wake up screaming. 15 I have to live with the trauma and the damage done 16 to me. My friends have to live with a set of 17 unspoken rules about how to not scare me --MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Hearsay. 18 19 THE COURT: Yes, sir. A Unspoken rules ---20 21 MR. ROTTENBORN: It's not hearsay. 22 THE COURT: Overruled.

1 even though Johnny promised that I deserved this 2 and promised he'd do this, I don't deserve this. All I want, the statements, the attacks to me, the campaign, that Johnny has elicited million of people to do on his behalf -6 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. Lack of foundation. Speculation. 8 A - to do what he wants.9 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection. 10 Next question. Q Amber, how have the Depp/Waldman 11 12 statements impacted your ability to do charitable 13 work? A You know, I would - the only reason 15 that people like Dr. Curry can sit up here on the 16 stand and say I'm high functioning, I do things,

17 like have hobbies and have interests –
18 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Your Honor.
19 Nonresponsive.

20 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, Mr. Depp 21 gave long-winded responses yesterday.

2 THE COURT: Overruled.

7567

Go ahead.

1

A - about how to not touch me, not to. 3 surprise me. My intimate partners have rules 4 about how they can deal with me, how they can 5 touch me. I have rules for doctors and medical 6 professionals I see, gynecologists I see. I live 7 my life with these sets of rules that I have to 8 follow, my friends have to follow, for me not to 9 have a panic attack or a triggering event where I 10 relive the trauma. Even if I'm training to do my 11 movie, for instance, if I'm training for Aquaman, 12 a combat scene, and a trigger happens, I have a 13 meltdown and have to deal with that. The crew I 14 work with have to deal with that because of the 15 damage I walk around with every single day from 16 what I've lived through. From what I've survived. 17 I'm not sitting in this courtroom snickering. I'm 18 not sitting in this courtroom laughing, smiling, 19 making snide jokes. I'm not. This is horrible. 20 This is painful, and this is humiliating for me, 21 as a human being, to go through. And perhaps it's 22 easy to forget that, but I'm a human being. And

A Is because I found a solution to that pain. I wake up every morning with panic attacks 3 and trauma until I realize I could do something 4 with it. So to answer your question, Ben, it's I 5 was able to turn the things that I've lived through, my pain, my life experiences into work, 7 into action, into providing a voice for other 8 people. I'm not a saint, I'm not trying to present myself as one, as you all know, but I have 10 selfishly found relief in being able to use what 11 I've lived through to advocate for others, to 12 bring light to these issues, to give a voice to 13 people who don't have the voice and the platform 14 that I have. And while I would not wish this 15 situation on my worst enemy, if it gives a voice 16 to somebody that doesn't have it. But I now, as I 17 stand here today, can't have a career. I can't 18 even have people associate with me because of the 19 threats and the attacks that they have to endure. 20 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Nonresponse.

A - and I can't even do charity work.

THE COURT: Sustain the objection.

PLANET DEPOS

21

22

7565

1

2

11

12

13

(Sidebar.)

10 start getting countless objections.

15 This is rebuttal, Your Honor.

MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, my cross-examination is not limited in scope to 4 what -- please let me finish, to what Ms. Heard 5 just testified about. It's my position that it 6 doesn't have to be. It is cross-examination on 7 the counterclaim, which includes the words like 8 abuse hoax, so I feel like I'm entitled, that I 9 did want to approach and let you know, before I

MR. ROTTENBORN: I believe --

THE COURT: It's to counterclaim.

THE COURT: I understand, but your 17 direct involved the counterclaim and damages in

MR. ROTTENBORN: I think 14 cross-examination has to be limited to my direct.

18 the counterclaim, so she can go into the

Ì			-	568	
	1	Q	Amber, other than the threats that		
	2	you've	described, what other threats have you		
	3	endure	d since the Depp/Waldman statements were		
	4	made?			
	5	A	I receive hundreds of death threats,		
	6	regula	rly, if not daily, thousands since this		
	7	trial h	as started. People mocking, mocking my		
	8	testim	ony about being assaulted.		
	9		MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Relevance.		
	10	Nonres	ponsive.		
	11		THE COURT: Overruled.		
	12	, Q	You can continue.		
	13	A	It's been agonizing. Agonizing,		
	14	painfu	l, and it's humiliating, everything I have		
	15	had to	go through. I hope no one has to go		
	16 through something like this.				
	17	,	I just want Johnny to leave me alone.		
	18 I just want him to leave me alone. I've said that				
	19 for years now, and I thought he would after				
	20	2000 -	•		
	21		MS. VASQUEZ: Objection.		
	22	Nonres	ponsive.		
İ			75	569	
	1		THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain		

19 counterclaim. 20 MR. ROTTENBORN: She's already had her 21 opportunity to cross her on the counterclaim. The 22 way the case is set up is they get to put on their 7571 1 case-in-chief, we put on our defense to that and 2 our case-in-chief on the counterclaim. She's 3 already had a chance to cross-examine her on that. 4 This is why it's rebuttal. She shouldn't have a 5 chance to retread old ground that wasn't opened up 6 on this examination, she's already had that 7 chance. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: I disagree, Your Honor, 9 because my -- this is part of our defense to the 10 counterclaims. So to that end, I believe that I 11 should be entitled, in my --THE COURT: I'm trying to remember your 13 rebuttal yesterday of Mr. Depp. MS. VASQUEZ: It was not limited in 14 15 scope. 16 MR. ROTTENBORN: Well, they opened the 17 door. They questioned extensively -- no, they 18 did. They want back through a lot, Australia. 19 What Ms. Vasquez just said is this is our defense 20 to the counterclaim. That was her cross, for 21 two days. That was the defense to the

22 counterclaim and their rebuttal. Now, this is

```
Next question.
      Q What do you hope to reclaim after this
5 is over?
      A Protecting the secret that I did, for
7 as long as I did, has taken enough of my voice. I
8 mean, Johnny has taken enough of my voice. I have
9 the right to tell my story. I have the right to
10 say what happened to me. I have the right to my
11 voice and my name. He took it long enough. I
12 have a right, as an American, to talk about what
13 happened to me, to own my story and my truth. I
14 have that right. I hope to get my voice back.
15 It's all I want. And I said that from day one.
16
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Amber. I
17 don't have anything else.
         THE COURT: All right.
18
19 Cross-examination.
         MS. VASQUEZ: So, Your Honor, may we
21 approach very briefly?
22
         THE COURT: Yes.
```

the objection.

```
7574
1 just our rebuttal. Just like Your Honor said, in
                                                                THE COURT: No. It's their rebuttal.
2 closings, you told me, at the pretrial conference,
                                                      2
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: It's my rebuttal case.
3 when you get up in your last closing, it better be
                                                      3
                                                                MS. VASQUEZ: I'm just saying my
4 really limited to that. Same thing. This has to
                                                         examination --
 be limited to my direct examination, Your Honor.
                                                                THE COURT: You have to tie the
         MS. VASQUEZ: I disagree, Your Honor.
                                                      6 rebuttal into the damages. You're saying this is
6
         MR. ROTTENBORN: She had her chance in
                                                         part of your damages, well, isn't it true about
8 direct.
                                                         this?
         THE COURT: I understand. Your
                                                      9
                                                                MS. VASQUEZ: Right.
                                                                THE COURT: This is actually the reason
10 examination was damages.
                                                       10
         MS. VASQUEZ: Right.
                                                       11 there were damages. And might some of the other
         THE COURT: You agree. This is about
12
                                                       12 evidence that came in.
                                                                MS. VASQUEZ: Right.
13 damages, how it's affected you.
                                                       13
         MR, ROTTENBORN: Yeah.
                                                       14
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: What she wants to do,
14
         THE COURT: It's all damages.
                                                       15 if she does, is to say you couldn't have suffered
15
16
         MS. VASQUEZ: Related to the
                                                       16 damages because she didn't commit a hoax. And if
17 counterclaims.
                                                       17 she's getting into the facts, that's not
         THE COURT: If there's a relation to
                                                       18 appropriate.
19 the -- talking about we don't have those damages
                                                      19
                                                                MS. VASQUEZ: I disagree.
20 because, you can get into that.
                                                      20
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: This is our
21
         MR. ROTTENBORN: She can't get into --
                                                      21 rebuttal -- see, she's confirming that's what she
22
         MS. VASQUEZ: Including abuse hoax.
                                                       22 wants to do. She's had her chance.
                                                 7573
                                                                                                      7575
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Can't get into
                                                                MS. VASOUEZ: I disagree.
                                                                THE COURT: We can't do back. The
2 evidence of whether this is a hoax or not. She
3 had two days -- whatever, a day and a half of
                                                         defense is right about that.
4 cross-examination. Your Honor sustained,
                                                                MS. VASQUEZ: How about my rebuttal
5 throughout this whole trial, scope objections
                                                      5 case that came at -- may I, please, just briefly,
6 during cross-examination, so scope is limited
                                                      6 Your Honor. So we put on a rebuttal case that
                                                      7 included new testimony to rebut Ms. Heard's
7 to --
8
         MS. VASQUEZ: No.
                                                      8 case-in-chief. I believe I can ask her if certain
         THE COURT: Yes, let me understand.
                                                      9 testimony and evidence that came in, in rebuttal,
10
         MR. ROTTENBORN: She's had --
                                                       10 relates to her counterclaims, which include the
                                                       11 words "abuse hoax," includes the words "fake
11
         THE COURT: Yeah, I understand. I'm
12 trying to figure out what the scope can be. She
                                                       12 sexual violence." This is her case. They're
13 said these are all the damages I had. I think
                                                       13 interrelated.
14 she's allowed so say, well, aren't your damages
                                                       14
                                                                THE COURT: Now, we're just in rebuttal
15 actually related to? She can point to that.
                                                       15 evidence.
         MS. VASQUEZ: Right.
                                                                MS. VASQUEZ: But it's related to the
16
                                                       16
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Fair. But if she's
                                                       17 counterclaims, Your Honor. I believe I can
17
18 going to say, here, let's play this recording of
                                                       18 cross-examine her on the counterclaim statements.
19 you two, let's talk about what happened on the
                                                       19
                                                                THE COURT: I understand that. But
20 island --
                                                       20 this is based on rebuttal. It's only rebuttal to
         MS. VASQUEZ: This is about our
                                                      21 her defense and damages right now. That's all.
21
22 rebuttal case.
                                                      22 They're rebutting -- in their case, they're
```

7578 7576 1 rebutting your case as far as damages. It's hard. 1 to more than just damages, which were objected to, 2 But it's their defense, so you put on evidence 2 I didn't stop it, but she did testify to more than that she was damaged by whatever else issues. that. She was saying that these statements --MS. VASQUEZ: Right. MS. VASQUEZ: And she said her 4 testimony in this trial, Your Honor, so she opened THE COURT: And other occurrences, so 6 she can get into the other issues or other things 6 it up. MR. ROTTENBORN: And so -- I'm glad that happened that affected her damages and not 8 we're up here because Ms. Vasquez is making clear 8 these statements. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: They cannot? 9 she wants to go into her testimony throughout the 10 whole trial. Ms. Heard testified on direct for a 10 THE COURT: They can't. 11 MR. ROTTENBORN: But what Camille is 11 day and a half, I don't know, and Ms. Vasquez got 12 saying is she wants to get into things like the 12 to cross-examine her on all of that. 13 testimony from yesterday, Beverly Leonard or TMZ. THE COURT: This is what we're going to MS. VASQUEZ: Yes, because that relates 14 do, okay: You're going to limit it just to 15 whatever evidence from your rebuttal case. 15 to damages. MS. VASQUEZ: Understood. That's the 16 MR. ROTTENBORN: It doesn't. 17 MS. VASQUEZ: Yes, it does. There are 17 only thing I intend to. THE COURT: We're not going to go back 18 stories out there --MR. ROTTENBORN: I let you finish. I'm 19 and rehash anything that we did back before. 20 sorry. I shouldn't have addressed her. 20 MS. VASQUEZ: Correct. Just in the This is rebuttal. They finished their 21 rebuttal case. 22 case yesterday. My view is, going into those MR. ROTTENBORN: Even that, though, 7577 1 things that they ended their case with that don't 1 Your Honor, they rested, so they rested --2 THE COURT: You put her on the stand. 2 relate to the counterclaims, those just relate to 3 smearing Ms. Heard, that's not appropriate for 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: I did, you're right. 4 neither side, at this point. It's certainly not But I didn't ask her about Seattle. That's 5 appropriate for her to go into. If they want to totally inappropriate for them to, based on my questioning, that Ms. Vasquez gets to go ask her go into, you weren't damaged --THE COURT: She did testify that these about what Beverly Leonard testified to or what 8 statements are the reason that she was damaged. 8 Morgan Tremaine, the TMZ guy testified to. 9 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Right. THE COURT: That affects her damages. MR. ROTTENBORN: The counterclaim 10 MR. ROTTENBORN: No, it doesn't. 10 11 MS. VASQUEZ: Yes, it does. There's 11 statements. THE COURT: The counterclaims 12 stories out there. She just said --13 statements. So if they want to say that these MR. ROTTENBORN: Something that 14 happened in 2009 is going to affect what happened 14 weren't true. MS. VASQUEZ: Isn't it true that --15 in 2020? We've already been down this road. 16 right. Or, isn't it true that what damaged you 16 They've asked her those questions. 17 wasn't the counterclaim statements, it was Beverly MS. VASQUEZ: Not since Ms. Leonard 17 18 Leonard's testimony, it was, you know, the other 18 testified. MR. ROTTENBORN: She wants to ask her 19 things that happened being publicized. 19 THE COURT: In the rebuttal, opening 20 about Seattle. You already -- you've already 21 the damages, and she did testify that I just want 21 very, very carefully limited --

estify | 22 N

22 Johnny to leave me alone. I mean, she did testify

MS. VASQUEZ: I'm not going to talk

Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7580	7582
1 about a police officer or arrest. I would never	1 Internet.
2 do that.	MS. VASQUEZ: She did.
3 MR. ROTTENBORN: No. You prescribed	3 MR. ROTTENBORN: No.
4 the questions she could ask, and she answered them	4 THE COURT: She did bring up the
5 already.	5 Internet. She talked about the testimony in this
6 THE COURT: Let's that's fair	6 case. She talked about damages from being in this
7 enough. I think that's right about Seattle.	7 case.
8 MS. VASQUEZ: Understood, Your Honor.	8 MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, I think it's
9 I will take that out.	9 fair game.
10 THE COURT: So what else do you have in	MR. ROTTENBORN: So the question,
11 your rebuttal case, TMZ, I assume?	11 May 21st, Your Honor, May 21st is so far
12 MS. VASQUEZ: Yeah, TMZ, Hicksville.	12 attenuated from what my limited and she's had
THE COURT: That's fine. TMZ, yes.	13 the chance to cross her. She put the two pictures
14 MS. VASQUEZ: Hicksville.	14 in front of Ms. Heard already.
MR. ROTTENBORN: Because of the one guy	MS. VASQUEZ: Not with the metadata.
16 saying that he	16 And not after her expert testified that they were
MS. VASQUEZ: But the damages in the	17 different pictures.
18 trailer	18 THE COURT: I'm not going to allow the
THE COURT: The damages in the trailer.	19 pictures. I think you're right about that.
20 MS. VASQUEZ: Yes.	20 MR. ROTTENBORN: You're not going to?
21 MR. ROTTENBORN: I mean, that's fine.	21 THE COURT: I'm not going to allow the
22 But I still think it's inappropriate	22 pictures.
7581	7583
MS. VASQUEZ: Kate Moss. The stair	MS. VASQUEZ: So no pictures that
2 story that has been publicized all over the world.	2 Mr. Neumeister
MR. ROTTENBORN: That's we finished	THE COURT: No.
4 that, Your Honor. She testified it was a rumor,	MS. VASQUEZ: There's a discrepancy,
5 Kate Moss got on the stand and said that he didn't	5 Your Honor, she testified the same photograph
6 push her down the stairs.	6 relates to two different incidents.
7 THE COURT: I'll allow that.	7 MR. ROTTENBORN: She did not.
8 Go ahead.	8 MS. VASQUEZ: Yes, she did. There's a
9 MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.	9 picture of a wine bottle inside one of the
May 21, so the photographs that her	10 penthouses, actually she previously testified
11 expert today May 21, the photographs that were	11 it actually related to the May 21 incident. When
12 taken at the exact same time, at the exact same	12 she's on the stand, she tells Ms. Bredehoft, in
13 second.	13 redirect, that it's the same photo from a
MR. ROTTENBORN: She asked her	14 different incident.
MS. VASQUEZ: Hold on, please. Taken	MR. ROTTENBORN: She did not.
16 at the same exact same time, at the exact same	16 MS. VASQUEZ: Yes, she did, and I'll
17 second. She said that she only turned on the	17 show it to Ms. Heard Your Honor.
18 vanity light. I feel I'm entitled to ask her	18 MR. ROTTENBORN: She didn't.
19 about that. This is exactly why the Internet,	19 MS. VASQUEZ: There are reasons that
20 according to Mr. Depp, is questioning her	20 the Internet, if you want to call them sleuths,
21 testimony.	21 bots, I don't care what you call them, people on
22 THE COURT: She did bring up the	22 Internet and social media that she claims have

7586 1 damaged her career at the direction of Ms. Depp, 1 false, by people who haven't heard any of the evidence in this case. 2 there's a reason. It's because there's inconsistencies with her stories. THE COURT: Then why did she bring up? THE COURT: She did bring up the social 4 She did. 5 media. So anything that's tied to damages, we're not going to go back to 2009. Anything MR. ROTTENBORN: She what? 6 MS. VASQUEZ: She did bring up the that's tied to damages. MS. VASQUEZ: Right. I'm not going to 8 social media. MR. ROTTENBORN: What are the 9 talk about the ---10 boundaries, though? Then Ms. Vasquez gets to show 10 THE COURT: I'm not going to allow her 11 stuff that's all going to be hearsay? She didn't 11 to do it. 12 bring it up in hearsay. She just referenced the 12 MR. ROTTENBORN: I just think we have a 13 threats that she got. That's very different than 13 disagreement on what's damages, because 14 Ms. Vasquez having these people who are paid --14 Ms. Vasquez appears to be wanting to question her 15 MS. VASQUEZ: Harassment, threats, 15 about the whole case. 16 calling her a liar. MS. VASQUEZ: No, I have a few topics 17 MR. ROTTENBORN: So what is Ms. Vasquez 17 that were only raised in our rebuttal case. 18 proposed to do, show her these Internet sleuths? THE COURT: Just raised on the 18 MS. VASQUEZ: No, of course not. Just 19 rebuttal. 20 the discrepancies in her stories, Your Honor, that 20 MS. VASQUEZ: My entire examination is 21 I submit, Your Honor, that I submit is actually 21 just based on our rebuttal case. 22 what's caused the damage to her career. It's THE COURT: We're not going to do the 7585 7587 1 not -- and her PTSD. It's not the Waldman 1 police officer. 2 statements. MS. VASQUEZ: I'm not going to go to 3 Beverly Leonard. 3 THE COURT: She talked about PTSD also. MS. VASQUEZ: Right. It's not the Am I allowed to go into Kate Moss? I'm 5 Waldman statements, it's her discrepancies in her 5 sorry, I lost track when I was -- and the reason, 6 stories that people are calling her out on. 6 Your Honor, is because Mr. Depp testified MR. ROTTENBORN: She's trying to use 7 yesterday that he told Ms. Heard about this 8 incident 8 this as an end run to get in what you've asked the 9 jury not to check for the last six weeks, which I THE COURT: I'll allow that. I think I -10 know, I'm sure --10 already did allow that. 11 THE COURT: She said it. 11 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you. 12 MR. ROTTENBORN: She said she got 12 MS. VASQUEZ: TMZ. 13 threats --13 THE COURT: TMZ, we're doing. 14 MS. VASQUEZ: She said a lot more than 14 MS. VASQUEZ: I'm not going to do the 15 that. She said "my testimony in this case." 15 Bryan Neumeister and the pictures. MR. ROTTENBORN: It does not open the May 21, the fact and that -- and that 17 door to --17 ties into TMZ, that her publicist was there --18 THE COURT: It opens quite a bit, 18 THE COURT: That's fine. 19 Mr. Rottenborn. 19 MS. VASQUEZ: -- at the courthouse. MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. But it doesn't 20 The wine picture, like I said, that notes that 21 open it to just an unfettered right to say all of 21 discrepancy. 22 these things that are out there that are just 22 THE COURT: All right.

. Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7588	7590
1 MS. VASQUEZ: And just the people that	1 world multiple times, right?
2 testified in rebuttal. I have a brief line of	2 A I didn't lie about anything I've been
3 questioning, ten questions, if that.	3 here to say.
4 MR. ROTTENBORN: Do you want to repeat	4 Q You sat here and told this jury that
5 who those are? Hicksville.	5 the events in Hicksville started with Mr. Depp
6 MS. VASQUEZ: I'm not going to talk	6 getting really upset about a woman leaning on you;
7 about Ms. Leonard.	7 is that correct?
8 MR. ROTTENBORN: Hicksville, TMZ. No	8 A Yes, that's effectively what happened,
9 issues.	9 yeah.
10 THE COURT: Experts.	10 Q You testified that he actually grabbed
11 MS. VASQUEZ: I'm not talking about	11 that woman's wrist and twisted it, right?
12 Bryan Neumeister, at your direction.	12 A And told her that he could,
13 THE COURT: All right.	13 effectively, break her wrist by saying he knew how
14 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay, I had one	14 many pounds of pressure or asking her how many
15 question, this went viral, Your Honor, Isaac	15 pounds of pressure it took to break a human wrist.
16 Baruch, testifying and crying and weeping about	16 Q But your own witness, your former best
17 what Ms. Heard did to him and did to Mr. Depp. I	17 friend, Rocky Pennington, she didn't corroborate
18 think that's fair game.	18 that, did she?
MR. ROTTENBORN: That's five weeks ago.	19 A I'm not sure what part of that night
20 THE COURT: I'll allow that. Damages	20 she saw. There were a lot of people there.
21 issue. Okay. I don't know how much time you have	21 Q She didn't testify that Mr. Depp
22 left, Mr. Rottenborn. You had 23 when you came up	22 grabbed anyone's wrist in Hicksville?
7589	7591
1 here.	1 A Again, I don't know what Rocky saw.
2 MS. VASQUEZ: We're splitting this time	2 There were a lot of people there that night.
3 equally.	Q You testified that once you brought
4 MR. ROTTENBORN: I will just say, for	4 Mr. Depp back to your trailer, he trashed it,
5 the record, I think they rested their case. I	5 correct?
6 think it's inappropriate to go beyond what this	6 A That is correct.
7 direct was, but maybe I'll have a couple minutes.	Q And the manager of the Hicksville
8 THE COURT: I'll find out, and Sammy	8 trailer park was furious that Johnny had wrecked
9 will email you.	9 the whole thing.
10 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay.	Do you remember that testimony?
11 MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you very much, Your	11 A That's correct.
12 Honor,	12 Q Well, we heard from that manager of the
13 THE COURT: Okay.	13 Hicksville trailer park, Morgan Night, on Monday,
14 (Open court.)	14 didn't we?
15 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND	15 A I'm not sure who that guy was or if he
16 COUNTERCLAIM DEFENDANT	16 had any involvement in this. I know a lot of
17 BY MS. VASQUEZ:	17 people have come out of the woodwork to be 18 involved.
18 Q Ms. Heard, you just testified that this	19 Q So you're accusing Mr. Night of
19 case has been very hard for you, so let's talk	20 testifying and committing perjury?
20 about that and why.	21 A I'm not accusing anyone. I just don't
21 A All right.	_ , , ,
22 Q Your lies have been exposed to the	22 recognize that man.

7594 Q You heard Mr. Night testify that it was MS. VASQUEZ: And the security guard 2 testimony, Your Honor? 2 actually you who was upset with Mr. Depp spending 3 time away from him; isn't that correct? THE COURT: I'll allow it. A How would he know? He wasn't there. 4 Go ahead. 5 Q You heard Mr. Night testify that it was Q Mr. Night also testified that he charged Mr. Depp only \$62 for the light fixture. 6 actually you who was yelling at Mr. Depp? You heard that, correct? A Again, I've heard a lot of people say a 8 8 lot of things to be involved in the Johnny Depp A I did. 9 show, but he wasn't there. He doesn't know. And Q. In Hicksville, you were the only one 10 that was jealous because Mr. Depp was spending 10 he certainly doesn't know what happened behind 11 closed doors, like most people. 11 time with other people; isn't that correct, 12 Q So you're calling Mr. Night a liar? 12 Ms. Heard? 13 A I'm saying he wasn't there, and what he 13 A That isn't correct. 14 testified to doesn't match what I know happened. 14 In Hicksville, you were the one who was. 15 But I don't fault him. He wasn't there, so how 15 upset that Mr. Depp wasn't giving you enough 16 would he know? 16 attention? Q He testified he was there, Ms. Heard. 17 17 A Incorrect again. 18 Did you hear that? Q Ms. Heard, you told this jury that you 18 19 A That's his testimony, yes. 19 had no idea the press was going to be at the 20 Q So you're calling him a liar? 20 courthouse when you got your TRO on May 27th, 21 A I'm just saying he wasn't there. 21 2016. 22 Q You heard Mr. Night testify that the 22 Do you remember that testimony? 7593 7595 I trailer wasn't trashed, and that's why you're A I said I did not have anything to do 2 calling him a liar? 2' with it, yes. A He testified that a light fixture was Q No. My question, again, you told this 4 broken, similar to the way that Johnny's -4 jury that you had no idea that the press was going Q Yes. Other than the light fixture, 5 to be outside, after you got the ex parte TRO on 6 that was the only thing that was broken --6 May 27th, 2016. A - employees testified to the closet Do you remember that testimony? 8 being rearranged and things like that. A I apologize; I must have misunderstood, Q -- right, Ms. Heard? Ms. Heard, the 9 Ms. Vasquez. I actually had no idea whether they 10 only thing that was broken in the trailer, 10 were going to be there or not. When I walked into 11 according to Mr. Night, was a light fixture, yes 11 the courtroom that day, it was completely quiet, 12 or no? 12 still, empty. Even though I had given Johnny's 13 A I realize that he summed it up by 13 team notice that I was filing the TRO, we had -14 saying a light fixture was broken, just the way 14 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. 15 his security guard summed up him trashing my 15 This is nonresponsive. 16 closet as -16 A - no reason to believe that the press MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, I'm going to 17 knew. 18 move to strike everything after he summed it up, MS. VASQUEZ: Move to strike. And, 19 that it was a light fixture, as nonresponsive. 19 Your Honor, I would also ask that you instruct the 20 MR. ROTTENBORN: She answered the 20 witness to, please, stop talking once I lodge an 21 question. 21 objection.

PLANET DEPOS

22

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, she's

Conducted on May 26, 2022 7598 1 trying to answer the question as best she can, and 1 A I remember him saying that. 2 Ms. Vasquez is misrepresenting to her what she That information must have come from 3 testified to. your team, right, Ms. Heard? THE COURT: I'll instruct [sic] the A Absolutely not. Why would I want that? 5 What actual survivor of domestic violence wants last part as nonresponsive. If you could just answer the questions 6 that? 6 asked, okay, thank you, Ms. Heard. O Now, the video of Mr. Depp beating up 8 Q In fact, you testified that you were 8 some kitchen cabinets, you admit that you took 9 that video, correct? "shocked" when you saw press when you were leaving 10 the courthouse, yes? 10 A Yes, I did. 11 A Yes. 11 And you acknowledge that the video was 12 Q You weren't shocked at all, though, 12 released online the day before you were deposed in 13 were you? 13 connection with your divorce from Mr. Depp in 14 14 August of 2016, right? A Incorrect. It was -15 Q You knew the press would be at the 15 A I believe it was, yes. 16 courthouse, right, Ms. Heard? 16 Q But you testified that you had 17 absolutely nothing to do with the video's release, A No. 17 Well, you did bring your publicist to 18 right? 19 the courthouse with you on May 27th, 2016, didn't 19 A Absolutely not. 20 you? 20 And you testified that you learned 21 A I sure did. I'm a public figure. I 21 about it when you landed after flying into LA. Do you remember that testimony? 22 brought my publicist in case it blew up. In case. 7597 7599 Q You actually had alerted TMZ that you A Upon touchdown is when I was alerted to would be filing a TRO against Mr. Depp, that very the video's existence online. day, didn't you? Q You heard Mr. Tremaine testify about 4 this video, as well, yesterday, didn't you? A No. I did not. 5 The one day you didn't bother to wear A Yes, I did. 6 makeup to cover up the mark on your face? Q And you heard Mr. Tremaine testify that A I did not call TMZ or any other news TMZ received the cabinet video the same day you landed at LAX, yes? source or paparazzi source. No one. I never did Q that. A I don't know if that - I don't know if 10 that's what his testimony was, I'm sorry. 10 We heard testimony from former TMZ 11 employee Morgan Tremaine yesterday, correct? Q You heard Mr. Tremaine testify that the 11 12 A Did I hear his testimony? 12 cabinet video was posted 15 minutes after TMZ 13 13 received it, yes? 0 Yes. 14 A That's what I heard him say. 14 A Yes, I was here. Q And you heard Mr. Tremaine's testimony Q And that this could only have been 16 possible if the video was received directly from 16 that he knew to dispatch the paparazzi to the 17 the source, yes? 17 courthouse on May 27th, right? A I heard him say that. I don't know if 18 A I heard him say that he knew that, yes. 19 Q And that he dispatched paparazzi to the 19 that's true or if that's possible. Because it

> |22 A I |PLANET DEPOS

21

20 didn't come from me. I was flying.

Q You heard Mr. Tremaine testify ---

A I knew that was incorrect, is what I

20 courthouse to capture a picture of an alleged

Do you remember him saying that?

21 bruise on the right side of your face.

22

	171dy 20, 2022
1 mean to say.	7602 1 video, where you can be seen smirking I know
2 Q Another liar on the stand?	2 you testified earlier that you haven't been
3 A I just know that that's incorrect.	3 smirking in this trial, but you sure were caught
4 Q Right. And you heard Mr. Tremaine	4 on camera smirking in that video
5 testify that TMZ owns the copyright to the cabinet	5 A I disagree with that.
6 video, right?	6 Q Was also not included in the TMZ video?
7 A That's news to me.	7 A Everybody can watch that video and you
8 Q The cabinet video you filmed of your	8 can determine whether you think it's funny to me
9 then husband, yes?	9 or not.
10 A The copyright ownership of that is news	10 Q That's because the video came from you,
11 to me. I learned that yesterday.	11 right, Ms. Heard?
12 Q It's the cabinet video that you	12 A It did not. It did not come to me – I
13 captured of your then husband, yes?	13 mean come from me.
14 A That is correct. I did capture that	14 Q Ms. Heard, you edited out the portions
15 video, and, yes, that was my husband.	15 that made you look bad before sending it to TMZ.
16 Q The cabinet video, the same cabinet	16 A You are very wrong about that.
17 video that was released the night before you were	17 Q So you didn't do that?
18 deposed in your divorce, yes?	18 A If I wanted to leak information, I
19 A That's correct.	19 could have done it in a more effective way, a lot
20 Q You must have also heard Mr. Tremaine	20 sooner, and a lot more. I really did not leak
21 testify that the version of the cabinet video that	21 this evidence. If I wanted to leak it, I could
22 TMZ received was incomplete compared to the video	22 have done a lot more with it.
7601	7603
1 the jury saw in this trial.	1 Q I thought you testified earlier in this
2 Did you hear that?	2 trial that you didn't know how to leak things?
3 A The video that the – that you have	3 A I don't.
4 seen is complete.	4 Q Right. You edited that video before
5 Q Right. But the one TMZ got, the day	5 you gave it to TMZ so that only Mr. Depp would
6 before your deposition in the divorce, was	6 look bad, yes?
7 incomplete?	7 A That's absurd.
8 A I don't know. I haven't seen it.	8 Q Right in the middle of your divorce
9 Q He testified that the beginning portion	9 proceedings?
10 of the video, where you set up the camera, that	10 A Again, you're very wrong.
11 wasn't included in the video TMZ received?	11 Q I would like to show you a picture
12 A I don't know what video TMZ received.	12 that's already admitted into evidence.
13 Q I'm talking about Mr. Tremaine's	13 MS. VASQUEZ: It's Defendant's
14 testimony, Ms. Heard. Let's just focus on	14 Exhibit 799.
15 Mr. Tremaine.	15 Q This is you at the courthouse on
16 A You're asking me to repeat his	16 May 27th, 2016, when you got your domestic
17 testimony?	17 violence restraining order against Mr. Depp,
18 Q No, I'm asking you if recall hearing	18 right?
19 him say those words to this jury, under oath?	19 A It is.
20 A Yes, I heard his testimony. We all	20 Q And next to you is a woman named Jody
21 did.	21 Gottlieb, right?
22 Q And he testified that the end on the	22 A Yes.

7604	7606
1 Q Jody Gottlieb is your publicist?	1 MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, I'm going to
2 A And dear friend.	2 move to admit and publish the article with
3 Q Now, I would like to show you what's	3 everything but the headline and date and the photo
4 been marked as Plaintiff's Exhibit 1316.	4 redacted.
5 This is a picture of you and your	5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Objection, Your Honor.
6 friend Rocky Pennington, right?	6 That's inconsistent from the way you've treated
7 A That is correct.	7 all of these.
8 MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, I would like	8 THE COURT: I'll sustain the objection.
9 to move to admit this photograph.	9 All right. Next question.
10 THE COURT: Any objection to 1316?	10 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's take a look at
11 MR. ROTTENBORN: No, Your Honor.	11 picture Plaintiff's Exhibit 1317.
12 THE COURT: All right. 1316 in	12 Q This is also a picture of you and
13 evidence. You can publish to the jury.	13 Ms. Pennington on May 28th, 2016; isn't it?
14 Q This is a picture of you on May 28th,	14 A I don't know when this photo was taken,
15 2016, right, Ms. Heard?	15 but it looks like the same outing as the picture
16 A I don't remember when this was taken.	16 prior.
17 Q This is the day after you obtained the	MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, I'm going to
18 domestic violence restraining order against	18 move to admit and publish Plaintiff's
19 Mr. Depp, right?	19 Exhibit 1317.
20 A I have no idea when this image was	20 THE COURT: Any objection?
21 taken. I did not take it.	21 MR. ROTTENBORN: No, Your Honor.
22 Q There's no bruise on your face in this	22 THE COURT: 1317 in evidence. You can
7605	7607
1 picture, is there?	1 publish.
2 A Again, I don't know when this was	2 Q There's no bruise on your face in this
3 taken, and, also, I'm outside. I was obviously	3 picture either, right?
•	
4 wearing makeup. I have no idea when this was	4 A I disagree. And if it is taken when
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can -	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously,
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can — 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can — 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual.
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken.	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can — 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom.
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can — 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness.	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture,
 5 taken, so I have no idea if I can – 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right?
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 12 2016, right, Ms. Heard?	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right? 12 A Yes, that's correct.
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 12 2016, right, Ms. Heard? 13 A That's what it says, yes.	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right? 12 A Yes, that's correct. 13 Q And Ms. Pennington
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 12 2016, right, Ms. Heard? 13 A That's what it says, yes. 14 Q And this article contains the same	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right? 12 A Yes, that's correct. 13 Q And Ms. Pennington 14 A That's correct.
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 12 2016, right, Ms. Heard? 13 A That's what it says, yes. 14 Q And this article contains the same 15 photograph of you and Ms. Pennington we were just	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right? 12 A Yes, that's correct. 13 Q And Ms. Pennington 14 A That's correct. 15 Q is in there too?
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 12 2016, right, Ms. Heard? 13 A That's what it says, yes. 14 Q And this article contains the same 15 photograph of you and Ms. Pennington we were just 16 looking at, yes?	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right? 12 A Yes, that's correct. 13 Q And Ms. Pennington 14 A That's correct. 15 Q is in there too? 16 A That's correct.
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 12 2016, right, Ms. Heard? 13 A That's what it says, yes. 14 Q And this article contains the same 15 photograph of you and Ms. Pennington we were just 16 looking at, yes? 17 A Yes, I see that.	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right? 12 A Yes, that's correct. 13 Q And Ms. Pennington 14 A That's correct. 15 Q is in there too? 16 A That's correct. 17 Q Ms. Pennington submitted a sworn
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 12 2016, right, Ms. Heard? 13 A That's what it says, yes. 14 Q And this article contains the same 15 photograph of you and Ms. Pennington we were just 16 looking at, yes? 17 A Yes, I see that. 18 Q And the article's entitled "Amber Heard	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right? 12 A Yes, that's correct. 13 Q And Ms. Pennington 14 A That's correct. 15 Q is in there too? 16 A That's correct. 17 Q Ms. Pennington submitted a sworn 18 statement on your behalf in support of your
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 12 2016, right, Ms. Heard? 13 A That's what it says, yes. 14 Q And this article contains the same 15 photograph of you and Ms. Pennington we were just 16 looking at, yes? 17 A Yes, I see that. 18 Q And the article's entitled "Amber Heard 19 smiles as she puts arm around friend one day after	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right? 12 A Yes, that's correct. 13 Q And Ms. Pennington 14 A That's correct. 15 Q is in there too? 16 A That's correct. 17 Q Ms. Pennington submitted a sworn 18 statement on your behalf in support of your 19 domestic violence restraining order, didn't she?
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 12 2016, right, Ms. Heard? 13 A That's what it says, yes. 14 Q And this article contains the same 15 photograph of you and Ms. Pennington we were just 16 looking at, yes? 17 A Yes, I see that. 18 Q And the article's entitled "Amber Heard 19 smiles as she puts arm around friend one day after 20 getting restraining order against Johnny Depp."	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right? 12 A Yes, that's correct. 13 Q And Ms. Pennington 14 A That's correct. 15 Q is in there too? 16 A That's correct. 17 Q Ms. Pennington submitted a sworn 18 statement on your behalf in support of your 19 domestic violence restraining order, didn't she? 20 A I believe she did, yes.
5 taken, so I have no idea if I can— 6 Q Let's refresh your recollection. Let's 7 refresh your recollection about when this picture 8 was taken. 9 MS. VASQUEZ: Can you please pull up 10 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1315, just for the witness. 11 Q This is an article dated May 30th, 12 2016, right, Ms. Heard? 13 A That's what it says, yes. 14 Q And this article contains the same 15 photograph of you and Ms. Pennington we were just 16 looking at, yes? 17 A Yes, I see that. 18 Q And the article's entitled "Amber Heard 19 smiles as she puts arm around friend one day after	5 you represent it was taken, then, obviously, 6 there's a bruise on my face. It's covered by 7 makeup, as per usual. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's zoom out of that 9 picture for a moment, please. Thanks, Tom. 10 Q That's Josh Drew in this picture, 11 right? 12 A Yes, that's correct. 13 Q And Ms. Pennington 14 A That's correct. 15 Q is in there too? 16 A That's correct. 17 Q Ms. Pennington submitted a sworn 18 statement on your behalf in support of your 19 domestic violence restraining order, didn't she?

1	
7608 1 order?	7610 1 Q Okay. Now, I'd like you to turn to
2 A I believe they both did, yes, uh-huh.	2 page 4603. Starting at line 12. 4603. Starting
3 Q Ms. Heard, I would like to show you	3 at line 12 and going on to page 4604, line 4.
4 Defendant's Exhibit 512.	4 A Okay.
5 MS. VASQUEZ: Which is already in	5 Q And do you see that you testified that
6 evidence.	6 Defendant's Exhibit 512, which is on the screen
7 Q You've seen this photograph before,	7 A Yes.
8 right?	8 Q is a picture of the downstairs of
9 A I have.	9 the main apartment?
10 Q On the second day of your direct	10 A That's correct.
11 testimony, you testified that this was taken in	11 Q And the main apartment is penthouse 5,
12 the downstairs of the main apartment on	12 in the Eastern Columbia Building, right?
13 December 15th, 2015.	13 A No, the – well, depends on – the main
14 Do you recall that testimony?	14 apartment's penthouse 3, generally, when we say
15 A Yes, I believe so.	15 main apartment.
16 Q So it's your testimony that Defendant's	16 Q Penthouse 5 was where you had your
17 Exhibit 512 reflects damage to penthouse 5 that	17 closet?
18 occurred during the December 15th, 2015 incident,	18 A The downstairs is kind of – like had
19 yes?	19 some of my painting studio set up and a reception
20 A I'm not quite sure from what incident	20 area. Upstairs was the closet. Mezzanine and
21 this is when I see this photo in a vacuum, without	21 office was in between.
22 context.	22 Q So it's your testimony that Defendant's
7609	7611
Q Let's give you that context.	1 Exhibit 512 reflects damage to the penthouse,
MS. VASQUEZ: Can we, please if I	2 penthouse 5, that occurred during the
3 could have day 16 trial testimony.	3 December 15th, 2015 incident, right?
Your Honor, may we approach?	4 A I'm just not sure from which incident
5 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.	5 this is a picture of, since I'm only looking at a
6 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 7 Q Could you, please, turn, first, to	6 partial floor.
	Q Even though your counsel was asking you
8 page 4585. Specifically, starting on line 16, 9 where it says "Let's talk about December 15th,	8 questions about December 15, 2015, and then
10 2015."	9 admitted this picture into evidence
	10 A Again — 11 Q in relation to that incident?
11 A You said 458 — oh, I'm on 4485. 12 Sorry. You said 4585?	12 A Sorry, go ahead.
13 Q 4585.	13 Q In relation to that incident, on
14 A Pardon.	14 December 15, 2015. This exhibit, Defendant's
15 Q Line 16. Line 16, where it says "Let's	15 Exhibit, you are the defendant, Number 512 was
16 talk about December 15th, 2015."	16 admitted into evidence in this court. You
17 Do you see that?	17 testified that this was a result of damage that
18 A Yes.	18 occurred on December 15th, 2015, yes or no?
19 Q Okay. And then do you see that your	19 A I just need to orient myself because
20 testimony about December 15th, 2015, follows?	20 I'm just looking at a picture of a partial picture
21 A Let's see. It's just the first line or	
121 A Let's see. It's first the first time or	21 of a floor.

PLANET DEPOS

22

22 two. Yes.

Q No, Ms. Heard, you didn't just look at

Conducted o	n May 26, 2022
7612	7614
1 a picture. You looked at your testimony.	1 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
2 A You pointed me toward the page and then	2 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
3 asked me a question. I haven't actually reviewed	3 Q Let's turn to page 4750, in day 17
4 it. I don't know if this was submitted in	4 transcript.
5 relation to that incident.	5 A Sorry, can you repeat that?
6 Q Let's pull up	6 Q 4750. Specifically, line 16.
7 MS. VASQUEZ: Let's actually leave up	7 Do you see where Ms. Bredehoft asked
8 Defendant's 512 and, please, pull up Defendant's	8 you to describe for the jury what took place on
9 Exhibit 725, which is already in evidence.	9 May 21st, 2016?
10 THE COURT: Thank you.	10 A I see that.
11 MS. VASQUEZ: In redacted form, Your	11 Q And do you see that your testimony is
12 Honor.	12 reflected about May 21, 2016?
13 THE COURT: Thank you. You can	13 A Yes.
14 publish.	14 Q That follows?
15 MS. VASQUEZ: Side by side.	15 A Yes.
16 Q You've seen this photograph as well,	16 Q Now, let's turn to page 4804, at
17 right?	17 line 14. 4804, starting at line 14.
18 A I have.	_
1	
	19 Q Through 4805, line 4.
20 testimony, you testified that this photograph	20 A Going to what line?
21 reflected spilled wine in penthouse 5 on May 21st,	21 Q Line 4 at 4805.
22 2016, didn't you?	Do you see that you're testifying that
7613 1 A Again, I don't know because I'm looking	7615 1 Defendant's Exhibit 725, which is reflected on the
2 at a partial picture of a floor, so unless you	2 right side, reflects spilled wine on the floor in
3 remove the metadata you've covered up, we could,	
4 then, tell.	· ·
5 MS. VASQUEZ: I didn't cover it up, 6 Your Honor	5 Q And Defendant's Exhibit 512 and 725
1	6 seem to be different versions of the same picture,
7 A Could we unredact it, so we can get	7 don't they?
8 context?	8 A That's correct.
9 THE COURT: That's how it's in	9 Q Okay. So which is it? Which one was
10 evidence.	10 taken on December 15th, 2015 or May 21st, 2016?
11 Q That's how it's in evidence.	11 A If you remove the redacted metadata,
12 A The metadata next to it —	12 you can find out. It's right there.
13 Q Ms. Heard, Ms. Heard. There's no	13 Q Or if you're telling the truth, you
14 question pending, and I would appreciate it if you	14 would know.
15 wouldn't be making argument to the jury.	15 A Recognize a portion of spilled wine on
16 A Sorry, I thought you had asked me about	16 a floor, and I'm supposed to know, off the top of
17 it.	17 my head, when you've lived through five years of
18 Q No, I didn't ask you about anything.	18 this stuff? I don't think so. That's not how
19 Let's look at your direct testimony	19 that works.
i e	
20 from the third day.	20 Q Okay.
20 from the third day. 21 MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, may I 22 approach?	20 Q Okay. 21 MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you, Tom. 22 Q Ms. Heard, at the beginning of your

22 PLANET DEPOS

Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7616	7618
1 cross-examination last week	1 where you told Mr. Depp to tell the jury, tell the
2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, may we	2 judge, tell the world that he is a victim of
3 approach?	3 domestic abuse.
4 THE COURT: Yes.	4 Do you remember that?
5 (Sidebar.)	5 A That's correct.
6 MR. ROTTENBORN: The snickering from	6 Q And you testified you found it hard to
7 the gallery is extremely distracting	7 believe that Mr. Depp would tell the world that
8 MS. VASQUEZ: I actually agree with	8 he's a victim of domestic abuse, didn't you?
9 Mr. Rottenborn. That's inappropriate.	9 A I said I find it hard to believe that
10 MR. ROTTENBORN: There's someone	10 he would do that knowing that he, himself, had
11 sitting on aisle, I believe it's my side	11 beat me up for five years.
12 THE COURT: Do you know who it is?	12 Q But he has told the world that he's
13 MR. ROTTENBORN: I think it's the	13 your victim of domestic abuse, hasn't he?
14 blonde lady in the third row. And just generally	14 A Well, he started to say that only
15 speaking, it's	15 recently. He didn't make that claim up until very
16 MS. VASQUEZ: I agree with	16 recently. So when we signed our divorce agreement
17 Mr. Rottenborn.	17 and we signed a statement saying that neither
18 THE COURT: I'm going to admonish them.	18 party had ever said false claims for financial
19 MR. ROTTENBORN: We can agree on that.	19 gain, it was relevant and important to me because
20 MS. VASQUEZ: Yeah, look at us, getting	20 I was the only one making the accusations, I was
21 along.	
	21 the only one making those claims. He wasn't doing
	22 that at the time, and he signed his name to it.
1 the gallery.	7619 1 Q You didn't expect as many people to
2 MS. VASQUEZ: Do you want to skip back?	2 show up and testify on his behalf that did, did
3 A sight to be seen.	3 you?
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4 A Incorrect.
1	↑
6 hear another word, I'll just clear the gallery for	6 that you never assaulted actually, strike that.
7 the rest of the testimony.	MS. VASQUEZ: Sorry, Your Honor.
8 MS. VASQUEZ: I have only, like,	8 Q When you told this jury, under oath,
9 five minutes left, Your Honor.	9 that you punched Mr. Depp because you thought of
10 THE COURT: That will take care of it.	10 Mr. Depp pushing Kate Moss down the stairs, you
(Open court.)	11 didn't expect Ms. Moss to agree to testify that
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen in the	12 that never happened, did you?
13 gallery, I would ask there be no words, no	13 A. Incorrect. I know how many people will
14 phrases, no words, no sounds at all coming from	14 come out of the woodwork to be in support of
15 you. If I hear one more sound, I will clear the	15 Johnny —
16 gallery, and we will continue this testimony	16 Q So you're saying that Ms. Moss
17 without anybody in the courtroom. Understood?	17 A $-$ just like everyone in this courtroom
18 Good.	18 has come to do that.
19 All right. Your next question.	19 Q Needs to come out of the woodwork to
20 BY MS. VASQUEZ:	20 testify for Mr. Depp?
21 Q Ms. Heard, at the beginning of your	21 A Everybody who was around in the '90s
22 cross-examination last week, I showed you an audio	22 and the early - knew that rumor. I had heard
	-

7622 1 that rumor from multiple people. Of course that's Q You didn't expect Ben King, the house 2 what flashed through my head when my violent 2 manager in Australia, to show up from England. He 3 husband not only swung for me, but all the sudden, flew from England to testify that Mr. Depp's 4 swung for my sister. Of course I thought of that. fingertip was found exactly where he said it would 5 I didn't expect her to show up or not expect her be, did you? 6 to show up. It didn't matter. It doesn't change 6 A I have never heard Johnny testify to 7 what I believed at the time when we were on the knowing where his finger was or really, frankly, 8 stairs and I thought he was going to kill my making a claim that he knew where it was when it 9 sister by pushing her down the stairs. was found. I've never heard Johnny claim that. Q You told this jury, under oath, that 10 Johnny has never actually said that. 11 Mr. Depp was aggressive and trashed a trailer in Q In other words, Ms. Heard --12 Hicksville. You didn't expect the manager of the 12 A I think the jury -13 Hicksville property, Morgan Night, to come forward 13 Q Ms. Heard, there's no question pending. 14 and testify that that wasn't true, did you? 14 You didn't expect Keenan White, A Incorrect. I've already been through 15 Mr. Depp's longtime sound technician, to show up 16 trials with this man. I know how many will come 16 and testify that Mr. Depp is not being fed lines 17 out in support of him. 17 through his earpieces, but, instead, music, did Q When you told this jury, under oath, 18 you? 19 that you had no idea that the paparazzi would be 19 A Not that it matters much, but of 20 at the courthouse on May 27th, 2016, you didn't 20 course, of course I did. I know how his employees 21 expect a TMZ employee to show up to testify that 21 treat him. I know how his team treats him. Of 22 TMZ had been alerted that you would be at the 22 course I expected that. 7621 7623 1 courthouse and knew exactly what side of your face Q So you probably expected Mr. Isaac 12 Baruch to come and testify for Mr. Depp, right? 2 to take a picture of, did you? A I'm not sure I thought about that. A I know how many people will come out Q You didn't expect Mr. Baruch to weep, and say whatever for him. That's his power. to weep for Mr. Depp after what you put him 5 That's why I wrote the op-ed. I was speaking to through, and so many others, with your lies, did 6 that phenomenon. How many people will come out in you? support of him and will fall to his power. He is A I relate to → I relate to Isaac a very powerful man, and people love currying because he and I are the only ones who cried on favor with powerful man. 10 the stand. Q Currying favor and risking jail time 10 11 MS. VASQUEZ: No further questions, 11 for committing perjury? 12 Your Honor, Nothing further. 12 A Excuse me? I didn't hear your 13 THE COURT: All right. Redirect. 14 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor. 13 question. 15 EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR THE DEFENDANT AND 14 Q You didn't hear my question? COUNTERCLAIM PLAINTIFF 16 A Ms. Vasquez, if you don't mind, please, 17 BY MR. ROTTENBORN: 16 just repeat the question. I didn't hear you. 18 O Ms. Heard, if Mr. Baruch felt misled, 17 Q Curry favor and commit perjury in this 19 who misled him? 18 courtroom? 20 A Johnny. And I don't blame him. I 19 A I have seen people do this. 21 don't blame him for crying. This is horrible. **l**20 Q For a powerful man? Q Ms. Vasquez has suggested that you

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

21

A I have seen people do this time and 22 time again. That's why I wrote the op-ed.

7624 7626 faked bruises on your face. Q How did the threats that Mr. Depp made Is that true? 2 2 against you individually, years ago, resemble what you have endured as a result of the Depp/Waldman 3 Absolutely not. I didn't need to. Q Did you ever fake an injury caused by statements? Mr. Depp? MS. VASQUEZ: Beyond the scope of cross, Your Honor. A No. 6 Q Is any of the evidence of your injuries THE COURT: Overruled. that has been put to the jury in this trial fake? A Johnny promised me, promised me, he A No. Absolutely not. would ruin me. That he would ruin my career, he'd Q And to the extent that there may be 10 take my life from me. Death was the only way out, 11 some confusion over when a picture of spilled wine 11 and if I got out, this is what he'd do to me. 12 was taken, why might that be? 12 He'd make me think of him every single day. He MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. Lack of 13 13 promised me global humiliation, you saw those 14 foundation. 14 texts. He - what he couldn't do, the work of one THE COURT: Overruled. 15 15 individual, meaning Johnny, when he was inviting a 16 A Because there's so many incidents of 16 disgruntled employee over for a spot of purple to 17 violence, there are so - there's so many 17 fix my flabby ass up, that revenge that he sought, 18 pictures. There's so much evidence. Most people 18 back then, was just what he could do as an 19 don't have this kind of evidence for years. 19 individual. Calling a studio to get me fired. 20 Five years. And when I was saying that to Johnny 20 Trying to block --21 on the phone in that recording, I was saying for MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. 22 years, this has been going on, and I have 22 Lack of foundation. Speculation. Hearsay. 7625 7627 THE COURT: I'll sustain as to 1 pictures. We have texts, we have everything. You 2 normally don't get this amount of evidence. nonresponsive. 3 That's what I was pointing out to Johnny. I would Q Ms. Heard, how did those things that 4 be crazy to try to challenge this in this way. you testified to, that Mr. Depp did, how do those 5 It's crazy. It's easy to not know the context of resemble what happened after the Depp/Waldman 6 a picture of spilled wine because there are so counterclaim statements were made? A Those are --7 many more important details, pictures, and, also, MS. VASQUEZ: Objection, Your Honor. 8 so much I didn't photograph, so much I didn't have 9 the presence. 9 Lack of foundation. 10 MS. VASQUEZ: Objection. 10 THE COURT: Overruled. 11 Nonresponsive. 11 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: All right. I'll sustain 12 A Those are just an echo of what I'm 12 13 the objection. 13 living through today. It's what I'm living in 14 Next question. 14 right now. What you see in this courtroom is an 15 O Did Mr. Depp abuse you physically? 15 echo. This courtroom and the other courtroom he 16 A Yes. 16 dragged me into to do this same thing again. 17 Q Verbally? 17 That's just an echo of the violence and the abuse 18 A Yes. 18 that I suffered within our relationship. The 19 Q Emotionally? 19 campaigns to have me fired, the blocking me to try 20 A Yes. 20 to ruin my career. The threats he's made to 21 Q Psychologically? 21 humiliate me globally. All being lived out in 22 A Yes. 22 real time in front of you, ladies and gentlemen,

7630 1 for the past six weeks, and for the whole world, 1 I'll just renew the arguments that I made in 2 since there are cameras here. 2 opposition. And the only thing that I'll add is MR. ROTTENBORN: No further questions. 3 there's no requirement that Mr. Depp have actually 4 seen the statements, it's that Mr. Waldman was 4 Thank you very much, Amber. THE COURT: Ms. Heard, you can have a 5 acting as his agent, and we believe there's seat next to your attorneys. sufficient facts for which a jury can determine that. If I could have the attorneys approach. 8 8 THE COURT: I'll deny the motion to (Sidebar.) MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, I will 9 strike for the reasons the Court previously 10 stated. 10 just say, for the record, that is an example of 11 the types of things out there that I know both 11 MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: So maybe after I excuse the 12 sides have endured. Someone has been calling my 13 phone nonstop for the last 15 minutes. 13 jury, we'll take a recess, I guess. So, 12:50? MS. VASQUEZ: Okay. Thank you, Your MS. BREDEHOFT: Me as well. And 15 Rottenborn is calling me, and I'm calling me. And 15 Honor. 16 now I have a message that they've locked my system 16 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor. Very 17 because of these calls. The excessiveness of 17 much. 18 these calls. Just in the last 20 minutes. 18 (Open court.) 19 THE COURT: All right. Your next 19 MR. ROTTENBORN: Right now. 20 THE COURT: I only brought you up here 20 witness. MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, the 21 for motion to strike. 21 22 counterclaimant, Amber Heard, rests. 22 MR. ROTTENBORN: I know. 7631 7629 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, MS. BREDEHOFT: Did you want me to 1 2 rest, though? 2 ma'am. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: After this. 3 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, you THE COURT: Let me do the motion to 4 have heard all the evidence you're going to hear strike, and then I can rest, and then I'll release 5 in this matter. What I propose doing is to go 6 ahead and give you the rest of the afternoon off, the jury. Can we do it that way? 7 so you can have a good restful afternoon and MR. CHEW: I've reconsidered. I think 8 evening, and we will do closing arguments tomorrow 8 I've got to go -- I would -- sorry. At the risk of taxing the Court's morning at 9:00 a.m., and then the case will be 10 submitted to you for deliberations. Just to give 10 patience, Mr. Depp renews his motion to strike the 11 counterclaims. And anti-SLAPP, understanding the 11 you a little logistics of how that will go, 12 Court's view of that, for the reasons stated in 12 tomorrow, I won't give you a time limit as far as 13 the papers and in oral argument, which we 13 how long you can deliberate in the afternoon into 14 incorporate by reference, just note, very quickly, 14 the evening. I will tell you -- within reason. I 15 since the last motion to strike, Mr. Depp has 15 will tell you I do not serve dinner, so keep that 16 confirmed that he never saw any of the three 16 in mind. You will not be deliberating on Saturday 17 or Sunday or Monday, because Monday is a holiday. 17 counterclaim statements until he was served with 18 I would like to have you back here Tuesday 18 the counterclaims, so that's procedural change. 19 So, we would note that and renew our motion to 19 morning, at least by 9:00. If you want to come 20 earlier, that's up to you. You can decide, as a 20 strike, Your Honor. 21 THE COURT: All right. 21 group, if you want to come earlier than 9:00, but 22 MR. ROTTENBORN: As Your Honor knows, 22 you have to be here at least by 9:00 to resume

Conducted or	1 May 26, 2022
7632	7634
1 your deliberations again. And, again, on Tuesday	1 received from both of you. I guess it's corrupted
2 night, and if you have to go to Wednesday or	2 on both of them, and nobody seems to be able to do
3 Thursday, that the time when you take your	3 anything about it.
4 breaks, is up to you, as long as you take them	4 MS. VASQUEZ: Right.
5 together, as far as not having any deliberations	5 THE COURT: So I think it might just be
6 unless all of the jurors are in the room at the	6 that it's in evidence; however, it's not going
7 same time. When you take your lunch is up to you.	7 back to the jury because it's corrupted.
8 And then, again, in the evening, within reason,	8 Does everyone agree with that?
9 I'll let you go as long as you'd like to go, okay?	9 MS. VASQUEZ: Yes, your Honor. Thank
10 So, have a good evening and we'll see you tomorrow	10 you. It's just an Eastern Columbia Building
11 morning. Do not discuss the case with anybody,	11 video, so that's fine.
12 don't do any outside research.	12 THE COURT: It's corrupt.
13 (Whereupon, the jury exited the	MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, I do have
14 courtroom and the following proceedings took	14 more things I need to bring up.
15 place.)	15 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have more
16 THE COURT: All right. We'll go ahead	16 exhibits to give? Good. Let's get Jamie here.
17 and take a modified lunch recess, just until	17 All right. So these you want to get
18 12:50. We'll come back at 12:50. We just have a	18 your list, Jamie, to make sure
19 few jury instructions left we have to do. Make	19 THE CLERK: Go ahead.
20 sure we get the verdict form taken care of. I	20 THE COURT: All right. It's
21 want you to review the laptop we have mocked up,	21 Defendant's 393, 821?
22 and make sure everybody agrees what's on it. And,	22 THE CLERK: Yeah.
` 7633	7635
1 also, I want you to look through all your exhibits	THE COURT: 857.
2 and make sure we're on the same page. Before you	2 THE CLERK: Yeah.
3 leave, I'll make sure you have copies of the jury	3 THE COURT: 857A.
4 instructions in the order that I'm going to read	4 THE CLERK: Yes.
5 them, and you should be able to prepare for your	5 THE COURT: 883, 1859.
6 closing arguments tomorrow, okay? I'll see you at	6 THE CLERK: Yes.
7 12:50, then.	7 THE COURT: 1905.
8 THE BAILIFF: All rise.	8 THE CLERK: Yes.
9 (Recess taken from 12:48 p.m. to	9 THE COURT: Make sure that's nothing;
10 12:51 p.m.)	10 it's the second page of that.
11 THE BAILIFF: All rise.	11 1050.
Please be seated and come to order.	12 THE CLERK: Yes.
13 THE COURT: All right. Okay. Let's go	13 THE COURT: This seems to be a longer
14 ahead and start with jury instructions since	14 one. Hold on.
15 they're almost all done. That would be helpful.	15 And I think that is it, correct? All
16 MR. CRAWFORD: Okay.	16 right. Are you missing any other exhibits?
17 THE COURT: Okay, I already have them	17 MS. MEYERS: Your Honor, if I may
18 in a potential order, but let's go ahead and go	18 approach
19 through them.	19 THE COURT: Yes, you have something?
20 One thing I'll do for the record first,	20 Okay.
21 Plaintiff's 287, which is the same as	21 MS. MEYERS: we have some from
22 Defendant's 780T, is a corrupted file that we	22 today.

```
7636
         THE COURT: Let's go ahead and get
                                                       1 here until we have every piece of evidence with
 those.
                                                      2 Jamie and everybody's reviewed it so we don't have
2
         All right. So these were the two --
                                                       3 to review that tomorrow and waste time with that,
4 well, all right. So we have -- okay. I think
                                                      4 okay?
 this one's theirs. All right. So I have
                                                                All right. So now I think we can do
 Plaintiff's Exhibit 1316 and 1317.
                                                         jury instructions, correct? All right. Let me
                                                      7 just go over the ones that we had a few issues
         THE CLERK: I still need 1301.
         THE COURT: 1301? Does anybody have
                                                         with.
9 1301, Plaintiff's 1301?
                                                      9
                                                                At the first one I'm going to go over
10
         THE CLERK: It was an Instagram post.
                                                       10 is the self-defense. There's two: That would be
         THE COURT: An Instagram post?
11
                                                       11 Number 28 and 29, correct? And I took those under
12
         MS. VASQUEZ: We'll get it, Your Honor.
                                                       12 advisement.
13
         THE CLERK: And then 711A and 711B from
                                                                Do you still have an objection to the
14 today.
                                                       14 self-defense? All right. So what evidence do we
15
         THE COURT: 711A and 711B, the one
                                                       15 have in trial that would allow to have this --
16 without the metadata -- the one with the metadata
                                                       16 these two jury instructions?
17 showing. It was the same pictures, but it showed
                                                                MR. CRAWFORD: Sure, Your Honor. So I
18 the metadata.
                                                       18 think the evidence -- there's evidence in the
         MS. MEYERS: I believe that was 712A
                                                       19 record showing that Mr. Waldman was Mr. Depp's
20 and 712 -- and 713A.
                                                      20 agent, that, you know, he was retained in
         THE COURT: Yes, 7 --
                                                      21 connection with the U.K. litigation and with this
21
22
         THE CLERK: I don't have those.
                                                      22 litigation. There's evidence that he engaged with
                                                 7637
                                                                                                      7639
                                                       1 third parties in that capacity, that he met with
         THE COURT: We just don't have them,
                                                      2 the Daily Mail with Mr. Depp, and that he believed
2 712 and 713A.
                                                      3 Mr. Depp's version of events.
         MS. MEYERS: Understood. Okay.
         THE COURT: Can I get them now? Trying
                                                                And so I think all of that, I think, is
                                                       5 relevant evidence to a self-defense, that
  to get all the exhibits together right now so we
                                                       6 Mr. Waldman made those statements in self-defense
6 have them all together so we can review them.
         THE CLERK: And then the other one
                                                         on behalf of Mr. Depp.
8 is -- 120D is not in evidence but was on their
                                                      8
                                                                THE COURT: So you're saying if agency
9 list as in evidence.
                                                       9 is found?
                                                                MR. CRAWFORD: If the agency is found.
10
         THE COURT: 120D, as in David?
                                                       10
                                                       11
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: We believe they're
11
         THE CLERK: Yes.
                                                       12 inappropriate, Your Honor. First of all, we have
         THE COURT: So 120D was listed as --
                                                       13 not been able to locate case law, but --
13 you had it as in evidence, but we do not have it
                                                                THE COURT: We found one from 18 --
14 in evidence.
                                                       14
                                                       15 what was it? 18...
15
         MS. VASQUEZ: We'll just confirm, Your
                                                                THE LAW CLERK: '87.
16 Honor.
                                                       16
                                                                MR, ROTTENBORN: That would have
17
         THE COURT: All right. Is that all the
                                                       17
                                                       18 been --
18 pieces of evidence?
                                                       19
19
         THE CLERK: Yes.
                                                                THE COURT: 1887.
                                                                MR. ROTTENBORN: And I know I had seen
         THE COURT: Excellent. That's good.
                                                       20
20
         Okay. So we're very close to getting
                                                       21 one a while ago --
22 all that. I just want to -- I don't want to leave
                                                                THE COURT: They said it was a
                                                       22
```

7642

7643

7640 1 reversible error if you didn't give the THE COURT: Okay. 2 self-defense one, so I was... MR. ROTTENBORN: Mr. Depp's opportunity MR. ROTTENBORN: Well, I know we had -for a fair and reasonable response, well, we don't believe it was either, but he had the opportunity 4 I had taken a look on that a while back. I 5 think -- but I don't have a case cite for you. I to file a lawsuit in this court. 6 think it's a question of law as to whether it 6 THE COURT: Right. 7 applies. So at a minimum, I think we should MR. ROTTENBORN: To have Mr. Waldman be 8' figure that out, I guess. But the reason we don't 8 his attack dog and to say anything Mr. Waldman 9 think it should apply is these were statements then says as his attack dog is somehow fair and 10 that are made -- that were made by Mr. Waldman in 10 reasonable and entitled to the privilege is 11 inappropriate. And I do think that before Your 11 2020. I guess it was before Ms. Heard's 12 counterclaim, so if he's saying that he's --12 Honor gives it, we need to confirm whether it's a THE COURT: It goes to the op-ed, I 13 13 question of law. 14 think. THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understood. So if 15 MR. CRAWFORD: Your Honor, 16 he's saying it's -- this lawsuit was a statement 16 Mr. Rottenborn noted that this lawsuit was a 17 in response to the op-ed. Statements that were 17 response to the op-ed, but I think there could be 18 made a year and a half later by Mr. Waldman, and 18 multiple statements in response to the op-ed. So 19 it doesn't -- again, Your Honor, the keywords are 19 I don't -- I think that's not dispositive of 20 "fairly and reasonably." So obviously both sides 20 anything, and the statements were clearly a direct 21 are going to argue defamatory implication and what 21 response to Ms. Heard's allegations on their face, 22 did the op-ed really mean, and did it say these 22 and whether those -- whether that response was 7641

. . .

1 things.

But saying, even under their theory,
"Two years ago I became a public figure
representing domestic abuse," to say that, then,
it's fair for Mr. Waldman, a year and a half
later, to make public statements that Amber and
her friends roughed up the place and spilled a
little wine, you know, all the things he said in
those statements, that's not fairly and
reasonably.

11 Ms. Heard responded fairly and
12 reasonably to Mr. Depp's case through her
13 counterclaim. The parties can speak to each other
14 in the courtroom here, but saying if someone makes
15 a statement in an op-ed, that then you can go and
16 launch -- do whatever you want for two years, say
17 whatever you want, and obviously there's only
18 three statements that comprise the counterclaim,
19 but as the evidence has shown, it was part of a
20 pattern by Mr. Waldman. It was -- I mean, it's
21 the opposite of proportionate. It's the opposite
22 of fair and reasonable response.

1 fair and reasonable is a jury question.

THE COURT: I don't -- what do you say
is the matter of law? The privilege of
self-defense is a matter of law?
MR. ROTTENBORN: I think it could be

6 whether it applies.

THE COURT: Obey: Well I think I

7 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I think -- I
8 don't think it's a matter of law. I think it's
9 whether or not if there is any evidence that a
10 jury could find it being protective speech. Not
11 the weight of the evidence, but if there is any
12 evidence. And I don't think it's my role to weigh
13 that evidence as far as how long it was made
14 afterwards.

MR. ROTTENBORN: I think it is, Your 16 Honor. I mean, it can't be the case that any time 17 there's an alleged -- this is why this doesn't 18 come up often, because this just isn't given. If 19 it were appropriate here, every time there's a 20 defamation case, this would be an instruction, 21 even the person who's the defendant in the case. 22 So all I said, I was responding to something that

7646 1 to be false. There was extensive evidence in the 1 was said before. 2 record on that, in fact, I think. THE COURT: So all your evidence that MR. ROTTENBORN: That he was responding 3 you say goes to the jury are just the statements, that's why this should be given? That he made the 4 to -- so if it's not the op-ed, then we're really in murky ground. If he says, "Well, I was statements, that's the only evidence? 6 responding to what she said in 2016," that's --MR. CRAWFORD: Well, I think I --6 THE COURT: Well, what Mr. Muniz is THE COURT: You gave me evidence of 8 saying is that you take it as a separate case. 8 agency, but that's just agency. Do you have any 9 evidence of self-defense, that this was -- that he 9 You take it as a separate case, their claim and 10 then your counterclaim. So your counterclaim is a 10 actually said these statements because of what she 11 separate standalone case, right? 11 said? 12 12 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. MR. CRAWFORD: Well, I think there's 13 THE COURT: So the standalone case 13 circumstantial evidence, at least, that he was --14 so it's agency. He's an attorney who was retained 14 doesn't -- your claim doesn't deal with the op-ed, 15 in connection with this litigation or the U.K. 15 so they're saying, "In defense of your case, 16 that's why this comes in." 16 litigation. 17 THE COURT: That doesn't automatically 17 MR. ROTTENBORN: But he couldn't have 18 made a -- he couldn't have made the statements in 18 give you self-defense though, a privilege of 19 self-defense. 19 defense on our case because these are the bases 20 20 for our case. So what -- he had to have been --MR. ROTTENBORN: And that's what I'm 21 saying. 21 what was he responding to if it wasn't the op-ed? 22 And if it's not the op-ed, then we're really in 22 THE COURT: You have to make them -- I 7645 7647 1 have to have some evidence that the statements 1 murky ground because there's not -- plus, Your 2 were made in response to the direct accusations of 2 Honor, a couple points: There was no -- to your 3 Ms. Heard. I just -- some evidence. point, there's been no testimony he made these in MR. CRAWFORD: On their face, they self-defense to anything. 5 5 appear to be a direct response. Two, that the defense doesn't apply, and this is --THE COURT: Not the statements 7 themselves, but any other evidence? Were the 7 THE COURT: Well. 8 statement fairly rose in response to Ms. Heard's 8 MR. ROTTENBORN: It doesn't -- this is 9 accusation? Did anybody testify that they -- that 9 in the Haycox case, 1958. It doesn't apply if we 10 he made these statements in response to her op-ed? 10 prove malice, so it has to be made in good faith 11 MR. ROTTENBORN: No. 11 and without malice. So it's co -- I can bring the 12 MR. CRAWFORD: Not that I'm aware of, 12 case up, if you want, Your Honor. So if -- the 13 Your Honor. 13 malice inquiry incorporates this. This is the, 14 let's see, 200 Va. 212, Haycox versus Willcox 14 THE COURT: All right. 15 MR. MONIZ: Your Honor, just one small 15 Dunn, and it looks like on page 8 -- sorry, 231 --16 point there. There's no requirement that it be in 16 they're discussing a Massachusetts case, and --17 response to the op-ed in particular. The question 17 that says, "Statements made in an honest endeavor 18 for self-defense to apply is simply whether it's 18 to vindicate one's character or to protect one's 19 made in response to an allegation against 19 interest are usually regarded as qualifiedly 20 Mr. Depp, and Mr. Waldman's evidence is clear, I 20 privileged, even though they are false, if they

22

21 are made in good faith and without malice."

So the malice inquiry takes care of

21 think, that he was responding to allegations

22 against Mr. Depp and he believed those allegations

7650

Transcript of Jury Trial - Day 24 Conducted on May 26, 2022 7648 1 this. And in addition, I don't think I need to are out there, and there's jury from which --2 remind the Court, but for the record, you know, 2 there's evidence, excuse me -- from which the jury 3 Mr. Waldman was not allowed to answer any could find that Mr. Waldman was acting in defense 4 questions about this. So to say, "Well, he could 4 of Mr. Depp. 5 have been making it in defense of something," they THE COURT: No, acting in defense 6 against Ms. Heard's accusations. So what are 6 can have allowed him to answer questions and get Ms. Heard's accusations that would be defense that 7 that answer on the record. They can't say, "Well, 8 he could have been making it in defense of 8 are in evidence? l9 9 something now." MR. MONIZ: Your Honor, at the outlead, 10 there's been extensive evidence of the accusations THE COURT: And when I say -- just 11 looking at your cases, you're claiming these 11 against Mr. Depp going back to 2016. For example, 12 the Dan Wootton article that they raised in 12 alleged defamation -- the three we have, 13 allegations, what they're saying in response, that 13 evidence prior to the op-ed, which included the 14 word "wife beater" and was essentially, you 14 they made them because of something she said that 15 know --15 maybe is not the op-ed. MR. ROTTENBORN: Then there's no 16 THE COURT: But it has to be --17 evidence of what that is. She responded -- she 17 MR. ROTTENBORN: That's not Ms. Heard's 18 was sued. She said the op -- she said -- 2016 is 18 statements. 19 when she made her --19 THE COURT: -- Ms. Heard's statements, 20 20 right. THE COURT: Uh-huh. MR. ROTTENBORN: And 2018, she has the 21 MR. MONIZ: I don't believe that's 22 op-ed that have, you know, a couple words that 7649 1 they're trying to turn into what we've just seen 2 for the last six weeks. And then she responded to 3 the lawsuit. THE COURT: Okav. MR. ROTTENBORN: So it can't be the he was responding --6 case that by trying to defend yourself in a 6

7 lawsuit that was brought against you -- if it's 8 not the op-ed, then it's got to be her statements 9 in the lawsuit, that somehow trying to defend 10 yourself through judicially immune statements in a 11 lawsuit entitles someone else to go out and say

12 whatever they want and then try to veil themselves

13 in the privilege. 14 MR. MONIZ: Counsel for Ms. Heard made 15 a point of making clear that there was extensive 16 allegations about Mr. Depp circulating. They 17 repeatedly brought up the U.K. action, which was, 18 in fact, the context in which I believe these 19 statements were made, based on the dates. It was 20 very close in time to the U.K. action. They 21 brought up the Dan Wootton article. These 22 allegations date back to 2016. These allegations

22 correct. Your Honor. It doesn't have to be --7651 THE COURT: Against Ms. Heard's accusations, it is. It is correct. MR. ROTTENBORN: That would be crazy, Your Honor. They get a jury instruction because THE COURT: I understand. MR. MONIZ: Again, the number of 8 allegations made by Ms. Heard, it's been very well 9 documented in this case. You have the op-ed, and 10 it doesn't have to be just -- it doesn't have to 11 be any one particular allegation, but you have the 12 op-ed; you have the People magazine article; you 13 have the republication of her statements through 14 the wife beater and the Dan Wootton article; you 15 have the fact that she was giving testimony in the 16 U.K. action about these issues. THE COURT: Well, then would you agree 18 that actual malice, you don't get the self-defense 19 if there's actual malice in his statements? If 20 the jury finds actual malice, then you don't 21 get --

MR. MONIZ: If the jury finds actual

22

```
7652
                                                                                                       7654
1 malice --
                                                       1 constitutes a republication."
                                                       2
                                                                 And you just would rather have just the
2
         THE COURT: Then it's not protected
3
  speech.
                                                       3
                                                          three sentences as yours for republication; is
         MR, MONIZ: -- that may obviate the
                                                          that correct?
5 privilege, but that doesn't mean that the
                                                                 MR. CRAWFORD: Correct, Your Honor.
                                                       6
  privilege is not applicable or valid.
                                                                 THE COURT: You must find that -- you
         THE COURT: The only way you can find
                                                         want to add that into it? I'm not sure. I'm just
8 in this case is if there is actual malice. This
                                                       8 not sure how I'm reading it.
9 goes back to their defense, SLAPP defense as well.
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: I think, I think, and
10 The only way they find defamatory statements in
                                                       10 I don't mean to put words in their mouth. I think
11 this case is if there's actual malice, and that's
                                                       11 we want the whole thing at the top.
12 unique to this case; I understand that. But so if
                                                       12
                                                                 THE COURT: Right.
13 they find actual malice, defamatory statements,
                                                       13
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: And they just want the
14 you don't have protected speech privilege.
                                                       14 one sentence at the bottom.
15
         MR. ROTTENBORN: And if there's no
                                                       15
                                                                 THE COURT: Okay.
16 actual malice, they win.
                                                       16
                                                                 MR. CRAWFORD: That's correct.
                                                       17
17
         THE COURT: Yes, correct. The same
                                                                 THE COURT: That's correct?
18 with this -- that's why we're not giving a jury
                                                       18
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: And, Your Honor, we
19 instruction on the SLAPP defense, because there's
                                                       19 talked about not going backwards. We went over
20 no basis for it.
                                                       20 this --
21
                                                       21
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Right.
                                                                 THE COURT: No, I understand.
                                                       22
|22
         MR. MONIZ: I mean, if the instruction
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: We went over this on
                                                 7653
                                                                                                       7655
1 is not being given on the understanding that it's
                                                       1 Friday. Your Honor said that -- on page 92 of the
2 essentially moot --
                                                       2 transcript, "I don't have a problem with the
         THE COURT: I agree. I think that's
                                                       3 republished jury instruction; I just think it has
4 what happened with the SLAPP defense as well. We
                                                       4 to be accurate with adding the language," and that
5 found out -- after we went down that legal road,
                                                       5 language was on page 89. You said, "But you also
6 we found out that was moot as well. Okay. So do
                                                       6 have to say that adding content to it may
7 you want me to deny you or withdraw it? I'll do
                                                       7 establish republication." So that's what we did
8 either one.
                                                       8 in the sentence that starts with "Merely linking."
         MR. MONIZ: If you don't mind denying,
                                                       9
                                                                 THE COURT: Right.
10 Your Honor.
                                                       10
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: It did say, "Merely
11
         THE COURT: Okay. That's fine. I'll
                                                       11 linking to article does not amount to
12 deny.
                                                       12 republication." And then we added, directly from
13
         That's Instruction 28 and 29. Okay.
                                                       13 the transcript, "But adding content to it may
14 Put that in the "Deny" stack.
                                                       14 establish republication." So now they've -- now
         All right. Next one I have is the
                                                       15 they're going backwards. They just want you to
16 republication one. Okay. So I see -- both of
                                                       16 strike the whole thing except the first sentence,
17 them -- dueling paragraphs for the republication.
                                                       17 and that's different from Your Honor's ruling on
         MR. ROTTENBORN: I can speak to this,
                                                       18 Friday and also doesn't fully capture --
19 Your Honor.
                                                       19
                                                                 THE COURT: No. It doesn't capture the
         THE COURT: Yes, sir. And I understand
                                                       20 whole legal argument,
21 last time we spoke, that you had -- "You must
                                                                 MR. CRAWFORD: If I may just make our
22 determine whether any content that was added
                                                       22 argument for the record here, Your Honor --
```

7658

7656 THE COURT: I thought you did on 2 Friday, but if you want to do it again, that's fine. MR. CRAWFORD: Okay. Just very 5 quickly, so I think the issue with republication 6 is whether it reaches a new audience, which is what you --8 THE COURT: And that's there. MR. CRAWFORD: Well, it's included in 10 their instruction, and that is basically the full 11 extent of our proposed instruction. And we 12 believe it should be limited to that. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. CRAWFORD: Lokhova is a case that 15 they've repeatedly cited in support of this 16 republication issue, and that's a case where there 17 was a defamatory New York Times article that was 18 hyperlinked in a more recent New York Times 19 article, and the court said that that's not 20 directed at a new audience; it's the same party 21 tweeting to the same audience, so there's no 22 republication.

7657

17 time?

18

2 Ms. Heard has retweeted a Washington Post article 3 so that, you know, Ms. Heard's article --4 Ms. Heard's audience on Twitter is different than 5 The Washington Post, and so that's --THE COURT: But if it's -- but if she 7 just retweeted a hyperlink, that's different. But 8 in this context, and that's why I took the motion 9 to strike under advisement, once I got it, there 10 was more content to it. And that's why I'm 11 putting it in jury instruction because it is 12 accurate that a hyperlink alone is not enough to 13 reach a new audience. 14 But what I'm willing to -- what I think 15 would also add to it, though, is after "You must 16 determine whether any content that was added 17 constitutes republication," and -- let's see -- I 18 would say, then, "You must determine whether any 19 added content republished the op-ed to a new 20 audience."

I could say it that way, or I could

22 say, "but adding content to a linked article may

And that's not the case here where

1 constitute republication. You must determine 2 whether any added content was intended to reach a new audience. If you find it was intended to reach a new audience, it constitutes a republication." I could say it in that -- add that to the end of that paragraph. MR, CRAWFORD: I think that's fine if that's the Court's inclination. 9 THE COURT: Do you have any objection 10 to that? 11 MR. ROTTENBORN: I'm just -- I'm 12 thinking. Sorry. I'm --13 THE COURT: Okav. 14 MR. ROTTENBORN: -- a little slow on 15 the uptake. 16 MS. MEYERS: Can you read it one more

19 THE COURT: Sure. Okay. Let's see. 20 All right. Let me see if I can incorporate it 21 into it. Okay. So "Merelying linking to an

MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah.

22 article does not amount to republication, but

1 adding content to a linked article may constitute

2 republication. You must determine whether any

7659

3 added content was intended to reach a new 4 audience. If you find it was intended to reach a 5 new audience, it constitutes a republication." 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: I think we would 7 prefer just to say just that first sentence, "Merely linking to an article does not amount to 9 republication, but adding content to a linked 10 article may establish republication." 11 THE COURT: Well, that's what you 12 already had, isn't it? MR. ROTTENBORN: No. Because we had

17 sentences than to add the sentence at the end. MR. CRAWFORD: Your Honor, I think -- I 19 want to note I don't think that adding content is 20 a requirement for republication, and we found in a 21 number of cases that when somebody repeats a 22 defamatory statement, that they're as liable as

14 the "You must determine whether any content that

15 was added constitutes a republication." I think

16 it would be better to just delete the last two

7662 1 the original defamer. Number two, the op-ed was all Amber's THE COURT: We're not going backwards. 2 statements. So the whole thing is her --THE COURT: I understand that. So either it's his language or my language. What do you want? MR. ROTTENBORN: -- words. The counterclaim statements were Mr. Waldman's quotes MR. CRAWFORD: I prefer the Court's in another article that we don't believe -- you 6 language. THE COURT: All right. Then we're know, that's not in evidence. There's no point 8 arguing about that other than you just have these going to put my court; that's fine. 9 one, you know, one or two sentences from MR. ROTTENBORN: Do you want us to do 10 Mr. Waldman. So we believe that the jury's going 10 the revisions? Because if you do it, we may just 11 to be confused if we give their instruction and 11 get it one more time from you. We're happy to. 12 Or... 12 say, "We cannot read it as a whole, so, therefore, 13 we can't, you know, we can't follow the jury 13 THE COURT: Sammy, can you do it? You gave them to him in Word format? 14 instruction." 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. I can see your point 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: We did.: 16 THE COURT: All right. Can you do 16 with that. Yes, sir. 17 that? Here. 17 MR. CRAWFORD: I don't think there's 18 anything in the -- I mean, there's a lot of MR. ROTTENBORN: The other thing I 18 19 would note is I think the word "retransmitted" in 19 instructions here. I don't think there's anything 20 that would indicate they can't find the liability 20 the second line is misspelled. 21 if they're not able to take statements in their THE COURT: In the second line? Okay. 22 All right. We'll take care of that. "But adding 22 proper context, in the context of the entire 7661 7663 1 article. Just thought it would be more 1 .content" -- just do it. All right. Takes care of that one. 2 appropriately phrased to be mutual, as opposed to 3 Next one is, I think, Instruction FF, defamatory obviously applying only to one party. 4 THE COURT: Well, because yours says, 4 meaning. Consider the publication as a whole. 5 And we have dueling answers here. I have --"You must read the statements," which is true, but you also can take the content -- "in the context 6 defendant just has "op-ed" in it. The plaintiff 7 just has "is plain," which, I mean, it does say as a whole," but theirs, you can take that context 8 that you -- it means you may not seize any word, to the op-ed as a whole, which is accurate. 9 phrase, or image. So it would appear that -- so What you could do, if you want, I could 10 it could apply to both of them in that context. 10 keep the op-ed at the top for their claim, and MR. ROTTENBORN: The problem, Your 11 then for your claim, we can -- "In determining 11 12 whether any of those statements attributed to 12 Honor, is that we believe that because the 13 counterclaim statements that the jury will be 13 Mr. Waldman are false and defamatory, you must 14 read the statements," I could keep that in there 14 presented with are redacted, so the statements are 15 in isolation, that there's a risk that as they're 15 as a second paragraph. That way you -- that way 16 phrasing it, the jury may read the phrase, "You 16 they can't just pull out -- which is -- because 17 it's accurate law also that they can't pull out 17 must read the statements in context as a whole," 18 the word "hoax" and say, "Okay. This whole 18 to say, "Well, we can't do that. So, therefore, 19 statement." 19 there's no liability." Because it's impossible to read those 20 MR. CRAWFORD: Agreed. 21 THE COURT: Okay? 21 statements in the context of the whole piece. And

PLANET DEPOS

22 so, so that's number one.

MR. CRAWFORD: That's fine. Thanks.

Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7664	7666
1 MR. MONIZ: That's fine in concept. I	1 MR. ROTTENBORN: Which? Oh, 32.
2 guess	2 THE COURT: I'm sorry. It's Depp 32.
3 THE COURT: In concept?	3 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay.
4 MR. MONIZ: I would ask, if Your	4 THE COURT: It's a new instruction.
5 Honor does that, to add a clause saying,	5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Oh, yeah. Right,
6 "Disregarding any redactions on"	6 right, right. This is the one at the end. This
7 THE COURT: Well, it says, "the	7 is the new one.
8 statements themselves." Statements in theirs,	8 THE COURT: Do you have any objection?
9 "You must read the statements in context as a	9 MR. ROTTENBORN: We do, yeah.
10 whole."	10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. MONIZ: Right. So I think, I mean,	11 MR. ROTTENBORN: The objection, Your
12 could we say, "In determining whether any of	12 Honor, is that the objections made by counsel
13 Mr. Waldman's statements," whatever, "are false	13 during the course of trial, we have no problem
14 and defamatory, you must read the text of those	14 with something on that. But instructions what
15 statements as a whole"? "The text of those	15 they're trying to do is to direct the jury how
16 statements in context as a whole," or something.	16 to what inference to draw from Mr. Waldman's
17 THE COURT: That's kind of "You must	17 instructions not to answer. And
18 read the statement in context as a whole," I think	18 THE COURT: Well, the only legal
19 that's	19 inference they can draw, they can't draw any
20 MR. MONIZ: "You must read" can we	20 inferences from that.
21 say, "You must read those statements in context as	21 MR. ROTTENBORN: Exactly and that's my
22 a whole"?	22 point is the
7665	7667
1 THE COURT: "You must read those	1 THE COURT: Well, that's what this
2 statements in context"	2 says. "You may not draw any inferences from the
3 MR. MONIZ: I just don't want them to	3 fact that an objection was made."
4 think that it's impossible for them to	4 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah. But saying,
5 THE COURT: Any problem with putting	5 "Sometimes attorneys stated objections during the
6 "those" instead of "the"	6 course of this trial and instructed a witness"
7 MR. ROTTENBORN: I don't think we have	7. no.
8 a problem with that, Your Honor.	8 THE COURT: Right.
9 THE COURT: Okay. "In determining	9 MR. ROTTENBORN: "And instructed a
10 whether any statements attributed to Mr. Waldman	10 witness not to answer a question. Objections and
11 are false and defamatory, you must read those	11 instructions to witnesses are not evidence, and
12 statements in context as whole. This means you	12 you may not consider them in reaching your
13 may not seize on any one word, phrase, or image or	13 verdict, nor are you to draw any"
14 consider only one particular statement, phrase, or	So why do they need to be told this? I
15 passage in isolation."	15 mean, I think this is I think this risks
16 Does that sound correct?	16 confuse
17 MR. CRAWFORD: Yes.	17 THE COURT: Because, well, because, to
18 THE COURT: All right. We'll add that	18 be fair, the depositions, you wanted to keep in
19 as a second paragraph, keep Sammy busy. All	19 all those objections when usually we take them
20 right. That takes care of that.	20 out, but you wanted to keep them in. So I want to
21 All right. The next one I have is the	21 make sure the jury doesn't have any improper
22 objections to assertions of privilege.	22 inferences from leaving those objections in. You
22 objections to assortions of privilego.	22 more succes in circular in Bulger collections in 10d

1 wanted to leave them in to show that "Hey, I asked 1 prejudicial if they're allowed in closing to say 2 the questions; they were answered." Fine. But 2 that we haven't presented evidence of anything 3 you can't infer from that "Oh, well, they're about the parameters of Mr. Waldman and Depp's 4 hiding something, then," or -- it's relationship. That's --5 attorney-client. MR. MONIZ: That doesn't follow from 6 this instruction. MR. ROTTENBORN: Understood. I mean, 7 just like you can't infer when someone takes the MR. ROTTENBORN: It does. 8 Fifth in a criminal case, I get that. MR. MONIZ: This instruction is simply THE COURT: Yeah. Which I would give 9 saying that the jury is not to draw any 10 an instruction for. 10 interferences from the objections and instructions MR. ROTTENBORN: Of course, I know. I 11 asserted by counsel. They can consider the 12 understand that. But I think that the -- I think 12 evidence. They can consider whether evidence was 13 that the jury is entitled to draw their own 13 presented or not. The instructions and objections 14 conclusions and -- not inferences. They're not 14 are irrelevant to that question. 15 entitled to infer anything from that, but I just 15 MR. ROTTENBORN: No. 16 think that this risks confusing the jury and 16 THE COURT: All right. I understand 17 getting them to -- getting the jury to think -- if 17 your objections, but it is an accurate phrasing of 18 they stand up in closing and say, "They haven't 18 the law, and I want to make sure that the 19 presented any evidence that Mr. Waldman was doing 19 inferences aren't taken against an attorney-client 20 this," then that's using this instruction as a 20 privilege. 21 sword. MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. Can you deny 22 If they get up there and say, "They 22 over our objection? 7669 7671 1 haven't" -- do you understand what I'm saying? THE COURT: You got it. I mean, no, I 2 "They haven't proven that Mr. Waldman was acting can grant it over your objection. 3 as his attorney. They haven't proven that 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: Sorry. I meant grant. 4 Mr. Waldman was authorized to make the 4 THE COURT: Okay, 5 statements," and then they rely on this to hide MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah, sorry. Can we 6 behind that and we're not allowed -- I'm not 6 get some direction for the arguments that they're 7 saying that we will, but I'm not going to say, 7 allowed to make on closing on that, Your Honor? 8 "You heard him instruct him not to answer, and 8 Because I don't think that's appropriate for them 9 that's -- you should infer that he was acting as 9 to point to a lack of evidence when they didn't 10 his agent." 10 allow Mr. Waldman to testify. 111 I know I'm not going to say that, but 11 MR. MONIZ: Pointing out the 12 if they're going to argue in closing the converse 12 instructions are not evidence. It's not to say --13 of that, then that's not -- that's prejudicial, 13 there's no connection here between these issues. 14 and that's -- makes this inappropriate. 14 Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. Yes, sir. 15 THE COURT: No, I'm not going to do 16 MR. MONIZ: Your Honor, this is just an 16 that. 17 accurate statement of law. They chose to play 17 So we're going to do Depp 32. I just 18 about 30 minutes of Mr. Waldman being instructed 18 need to clean up the top part of it. All right. 19 not to answer. Without this instruction, that's 19 Over objection, it will be offered. 20 prejudicial. 20 All right. Then I have Instruction JJ, 21 THE COURT: All right. 21 which is the model. 22 MR. ROTTENBORN: And it would also be 22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Right.

> PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

```
THE COURT: So why would I not give the
                                                         1 knowledge." I'd be okay with that.
2 model?
                                                                   THE COURT: Is that okay?
l3
          MR. ROTTENBORN: We believe you should.
                                                        13
                                                                   MR. MONIZ: I think we would retain our
4 I think the only -- looks like the only
                                                         4 objection on that on the basis previously
5 substantial difference is that they, rather than
                                                           asserted, Your Honor. And then what's the other?
6 saying Ms. -- as it's written, it says, "clear and
                                                           I'm sorry. Could I have counsel's language again
7 convincing evidence that Mr. Waldman's
                                                           one more time.
8 statements." It's confusing and misleading to
                                                         8
                                                                   THE COURT: Sure.
9 say, "If you found by clear and convincing
                                                                   MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah. "If you have
10 evidence that Mr. Waldman's statements were made
                                                         10 found by clear and convincing evidence that
11 by Mr. Depp." We already have a principal agent
                                                         11 Mr. Waldman, while acting as an agent for
12 instruction.
                                                         12 Mr. Depp, made the statements with knowledge that
13
          THE COURT: Right. If they find
                                                         13 they were false or so recklessly" -- I think it's
14 principal or agent, I mean, that would be the only
                                                         14 "so reckless," so there's a typo there. Sorry.
15 basis for it.
                                                         15 We'd be okay with that,
16
          MR. ROTTENBORN: Right.
                                                                   MR. MONIZ: Yeah. I mean, we do
17
          MR. MONIZ: First of all, Your Honor,
                                                         17 maintain the same objection, Your Honor, that
18 if liability is entirely vicarious, which I think
                                                         18 principal liability -- and we do have case law for
19 is the position of the counterclaim plaintiff in
                                                         19 that proposition.
20 this case, I don't think there's any evidence of
                                                         20
                                                                   THE COURT: Overrule that objection.
21 direct liability. If liability is entirely
                                                         21 We'll go ahead and -- can you type that up real
22 vicarious, then I don't think there's a basis for
                                                         22 quick for me --
                                                  7673
                                                                                                          7675
I a punitive damages instruction at all against
                                                                   MR. ROTTENBORN: Sure.
2 Mr. Depp because vicarious liability generally
                                                                   THE COURT: -- and get that to me? If
3 doesn't result in punitive damages as to the
                                                           you email it to Sammy, we can print it out.
4 principal. Punitive damages are only applied
                                                                   MR. ROTTENBORN: Yep.
  where the person against which punitive damages
                                                                   THE COURT: Okay. You're in charge of
6 are being assessed actually engaged in conduct,
                                                           that one. All right. That's fine.
  that it was wrongful. And that's not the way
                                                                  I think that was it, correct, as far
8 they've pled and sought to prove their case. I
                                                         8
                                                           as...
9 think that's the first --
                                                                  MR. ROTTENBORN: I think it was, other
10
          THE COURT: So their crux? Okay. So
                                                         10 than the verdict form.
11 they're saying you're not entitled to a punitive
                                                                  THE COURT: Perfect. Let's go to the
                                                         11
12 damages instruction.
                                                         12 verdict form. We'll get this -- do you have the
          MR. ROTTENBORN: Well, I disagree with
                                                         13 other ones for me yet, Sammy? You still working
14 that. There's no case law that they've cited in
                                                         14 on them?
15 here on that. And I think that's going backwards,
                                                         15
                                                                   MR. MONIZ: Typing it up.
16 that that's an argument that we've --
                                                         16
                                                                   THE COURT: If you can, print those
17
          THE COURT: Okay.
                                                         17 out.
18
          MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, and I just
                                                        118
                                                                   All right. Let me get the verdict
19 think -- "that Mr. Waldman's statements were made
                                                        19 form. All right. I think for the verdict form,
20 by Mr. Depp," I mean, I'd be okay with something
                                                         20 it was just the first page; am I correct with
21 that said, "that Mr. Waldman, while acting as an
                                                        21 that?
```

22 agent for Mr. Depp, made statements with

MR. ROTTENBORN: And the punitive

```
7676
                                                                                                     7678
  damages.
                                                      1 go, correct?
2
         THE COURT: Well, the punitive damages
                                                               MR. ROTTENBORN: No. Well, I'm sorry.
3
  you don't have a response, right? Do we have...
                                                      3 Did they -- did you withdraw the -- did they
         MR. ROTTENBORN: I think it was just
                                                        withdraw the punitive damages? Okay. Fine.
  that there was just a comment that we got from
                                                               THE COURT: So we're good with the
  them that we changed.
                                                        verdict forms, what I have as the verdict forms,
                                                      6
         THE COURT: All right. I think it was
                                                        correct?
8 in 1A. The statement was about Mr. Depp. I think
                                                      8
                                                               MR. MONIZ: Your Honor, I think yes,
                                                      9 but I do just want to make sure. I understand we
9 the plaintiff wanted that out because we already
10 had the statement has a defamatory implication
                                                      10 don't go back, but I just want to make sure
                                                      11 that -- for the record, we do maintain that the
11 about Mr. Depp, and the defamatory implication was
12 designed and intended by Ms. Heard, which I think
                                                      12 verdict form should state that the defamatory
13 is...
                                                      13 implication was false and not that the statement
                                                      14 was false. Because under the Pendleton case, that
14
         MR. ROTTENBORN: If you look at the --
15 it needs to track the finding instruction, which
                                                      15 can -- a facially true statement can still have a
16 is jury instruction C on page 4 of the jury
                                                      16 false defamatory implication.
17 instructions.
                                                               THE COURT: And we had that on the
                                                      17
18
                                                      18 record on Friday, but thanks for putting it in
         THE COURT: Okay.
         MR. ROTTENBORN: And that's what the
                                                      19 again. It's fine. All right. So the verdict
19
                                                      20 forms are good. So we'll keep the verdict forms.
20 verdict form does.
21
         THE COURT: Okay. Let me take a look.
                                                      21 Did you print out the other?
22 And that was C? Okay.
                                                      22
                                                                THE LAW CLERK: I didn't.
                                                7677
                                                                                                     7679
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Yeah.
                                                               THE COURT: No, you can print it out.
2
         THE COURT: Okav.
                                                      2 That's how. Yeah.
                                                      3
         MR. ROTTENBORN: So the way it's been
                                                                So which ones are we missing, then?
                                                        We're missing the jury instructions. You're
  written tracks the finding instruction.
         THE COURT: All right. Tracks the
                                                        working on two of them, right?
                                                      6
                                                                THE LAW CLERK: I have 32 and FF,
  finding instruction.
6
                                                      7 right.
         MR. CRAWFORD: Yeah. We don't have a
  strong objection here, Your Honor. I thought it
                                                                THE COURT: 32 and FF? And you have
                                                      8
9 was maybe cumulative, but...
                                                      9 one jury instruction for me, right?
                                                      10
                                                               MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes. They're editing
         THE COURT: It does match the finding
11 instruction, which would be clearer to the jury.
                                                      11 it right now. It's JJ.
12 We'll keep that in.
                                                      12
                                                                THE COURT: Okay. JJ is coming in.
         MR. CRAWFORD: That's fine.
                                                      13
                                                                And did you do the other one yet, or
13
                                                      14 no?
14
         THE COURT: And then the punitive?
15
         MR. ROTTENBORN: And so, that would
                                                      15
                                                                Could you guys do, Michelle, or --
                                                      16 could you -- on Depp 32, could you take off
16 carry through to all of that.
17
         THE COURT: Oh, you're through all
                                                      17 "disputed" and send that to us, too?
                                                      18
                                                               MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes.
18 those?
                                                      19
                                                                THE COURT: Thank you. And that would
         MR. CRAWFORD: We'll withdraw that,
                                                      20 just leave us -- we'll get those two printed out,
20 Your Honor. Their preposed language is fine.
                                                      21 and then I'll tell you the order that I'm going to
         THE COURT: All right. So the verdict
21
                                                      22 do them. While I'm doing that, I do have the
22 forms as written, are the verdict forms good to
```

```
7680
                                                                                                    7682
1 laptop if both sides would like to look at the
                                                               THE LAW CLERK: They should be. I will
                                                      2 check.
2 jury evidence on these. They're going to -- let's
3 stay up here at the bench, but all we did was we
                                                               MS. MEYERS: Yes. So I believe you
                                                        should actually have those in unredacted form from
4 put two files on here.
                                                        defendant's.
         There's no password for it as soon as
                                                               THE COURT: All right. Defendant's...
6 you open it up -- it doesn't have any Internet,
                                                      6
                                                               We have a few binders down here. Hold
7 and there's just two files. One's Plaintiff;
                                                      8 on
8 one's Defendant. They just click on those two,
9 and then they can watch all the audio and the
                                                      9
                                                               MS. MEYERS: Yes. I think they would
10 video, okay? So if you want to, take a look and
                                                      10 be in the binders; that's correct.
11 make sure. It's been downloaded to everything
                                                      11
                                                               THE CLERK: 711?
                                                               THE COURT: 712 and 713. All right.
12 that we put on our website, which is all the
                                                      12
13 evidence. We didn't receive any new evidence
                                                      13 We'll take a look at those.
                                                               MR. NADELHAFT: Your Honor.
14 today for audio or video files, so it's everything
                                                               THE COURT: Yes?
                                                      15
15 on there.
         But if you want to take a look to make
                                                      16
                                                               MR. NADELHAFT: So I can give you a
                                                      17 copy. I wasn't able -- I was going to make a
17 sure that everything is there, you can do that.
                                                      18 proffer for the one -- for a whole bunch that --
18 Also all the tangible exhibits, Jamie will have,
                                                               THE COURT: Do you want to go ahead and
19 except we need to get those others ones so we
20 can -- oh, you have them? Perfect.
                                                      20 make a proffer now?
         MS. MEYERS: Yes. If I may approach, I
                                                               MR. NADELHAFT: Yeah. But it included
21
22 have 1301.
                                                      22 712 and 713, so I can give you copies of that.
                                                                                                    7683
                                                7681
                                                               THE COURT: Oh, you can? That would
         THE COURT: Okay.
         MS. MEYERS: And then, Your Honor, I
                                                      2 make Jamie happy. She's just down here, digging
  just would like some clarification.
                                                        through binders.
                                                               MR. NADELHAFT: Yeah. Well, I can give
         THE COURT: Sure.
         MS. MEYERS: I understand that
                                                        you copies of that right now.
                                                               THE COURT: Okay. Perfect. All right.
6 Exhibit -- Defendant's Exhibit 712 and Defendant's
7 Exhibit 713 were initially moved into evidence in
                                                      7 Now we have those in evidence. Okay. All right.
8 redacted format.
                                                      8 So are we missing any more from over here? Or did
                                                      9 you find about the one you thought was in
         THE COURT: Correct.
                                                      10 evidence?
         MS. MEYERS: Today we offered them and
11 put them in in unredacted form, so that's the --
                                                      11.
                                                               MS. MEYERS: So, Your Honor,
                                                      12 Defendant -- I believe -- oh, excuse me. It's
         THE COURT: 712A and --
12
13
         MS. MEYERS: And then --
                                                      13 Plaintiff's Exhibit 120D, I believe, actually
                                                      14 Sammy and I had a number of back-and-forths about
14
         THE COURT: -- 713A?
                                                      15 this exhibit. I believe that defendant's counsel
15
         MS. MEYERS: -- 713A.
                                                      16 provided a redacted version of that exhibit --
16
         THE COURT: Yeah.
                                                               MR. NADELHAFT: Yeah.
17
         MS. MEYERS: I believe Your Honor
                                                      17
                                                               MS. MEYERS: -- to the court, but based
18 should already have those in unredacted form, I
19 believe. That's how they were provided to you.
                                                      19 off our reading of the transcript, that exhibit
                                                      20 was not actually offered into evidence.
         THE COURT: Oh, they're in the binders
20
                                                               THE COURT: Okay. So it's not
21 down here?
                                                      22 evidence, then?
22
         MS. MEYERS: I believe so. I will ---
```

Conducted or	n May 26, 2022
7684	7686
MR. NADELHAFT: It's not in evidence,	1 THE COURT: Okay. Did you take the
Your Honor. I thought and I believe I emailed	2 "disputed" off the top?
it to Sammy last week.	3 MS. McCAFFERTY: I did.
THE COURT: You've got to take	4 THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Let .
"disputed" off.	5 me just get this printed.
MR. NADELHAFT: But if you don't have	6 Could somebody could you print me
it, I'm happy to bring it tomorrow. But it's not	7 out another one of AA. There's a mark on this
in evidence. So it wouldn't go back to the jury	8 one. Or at least email it to just email that
anyway.	9 one to Sammy. Something in the paper just doesn't
THE COURT: Okay. All right. So I	10 look right.
just want to make sure because it was checked off	11 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, will we be
on somebody's list that it was in evidence, and I	12 getting just a paper, a paper printout, or an
just wanted to make sure. I don't know.	13 electronic copy from the Court?
MR. NADELHAFT: I think it is not.	14. THE COURT: All I have is a paper
THE COURT: But it's not. We're good.	15 printout.
MS. MEYERS: And then I heard that	MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. So we can scan
Exhibit 711A and B, I'm not sure	17 it?
THE COURT: Yeah. I think it's 712 and	18 THE COURT: You can scan it. If you
713.	19 want to do it electronic, that's fine.
MS. MEYERS: Okay.	20 MR. ROTTENBORN: And then will these be
THE COURT: So we're good.	21 posted on the
MS. MEYERS: All right.	22 THE COURT: Not until after I give
7685	7687
THE COURT: So, Jamie, are we missing	1 them.
anything else?	2 MR. ROTTENBORN: Not until after.
Sammy, did you print out the ones that	3 Okay. Wasn't sure. And they're read before
they sent you too? The email.	4 closing?
Did you email Sammy the	5 THE COURT: They're read before
MS. McCAFFERTY: He's just	6 closings.
double-checking right now.	7 All right. Thank you.
THE COURT: Oh, okay. Okay. We'll get	8 If you want to, take a look at that
that in a second. Let me just put these in order.	9 instruction and make sure it looks okay before I
I've got that one without a dispute on it.	10 add it to the stack? You have the actual malice
You want to go ahead and print that	11 one?
out? Just print two copies so we can share them.	12 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yes.
The one Sammy printed off on republication, I'm	13 THE COURT: Okay. Oh, never mind.
going to give you copies to make sure they're	14 Jamie already gave it to me. Sorry, Jamie.
right, okay?	15 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, was it
MS. McCAFFERTY: Yes. And you should	16 THE COURT: Is there
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	MR. ROTTENBORN: No. I think it's fine.
receive in your email soon FF, JJ, 32.	MR. ROTTENBORN: No, I think it's fine. 18 Does the Court want to leave the, you know, "Heard
receive in your email soon FF, JJ, 32. THE COURT: Okay.	18 Does the Court want to leave the, you know, "Heard
receive in your email soon FF, JJ, 32. THE COURT: Okay. So if you could, print those out too,	18 Does the Court want to leave the, you know, "Heard 19 C Depp 7" sort of things in there?
receive in your email soon FF, JJ, 32. THE COURT: Okay.	18 Does the Court want to leave the, you know, "Heard
receive in your email soon FF, JJ, 32. THE COURT: Okay. So if you could, print those out too, Sammy. Let's print those out.	18 Does the Court want to leave the, you know, "Heard 19 C Depp 7" sort of things in there? THE COURT: No.

Conducted on	May 26, 2022
7688	7690
THE COURT: Heard C oh, no. Well,	1 Ms. Heard. Do you have any objection to us
2 the numbers at the top can stay. I just don't	2 playing video depositions, portions of
3 want it to say "disputed."	3 THE COURT: If it's not in evidence, it
4 MR. ROTTENBORN: I don't think any of	4 doesn't get
5 them say "disputed."	5 MS. VASQUEZ: No, of course. Just
6 THE COURT: Okay. No. The numbers, I	6 what's in evidence.
7 tell the jury to disregard the numbers in the	7 THE COURT: There's no video
8 heading.	8 depositions in evidence.
9 MR. ROTTENBORN: Okay. That's fine.	9 MS. VASQUEZ: Oh, I see what you mean.
10 THE COURT: That's better for the	10 Okay. So
11 record if we keep it that way.	11 THE COURT: The only thing that can be
12 Okay. So any oh, you're still	12 played to them is what is in evidence.
13 reading the publication. Okay.	MS. VASQUEZ: But they're testimony.
14 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, can we	14 It's witness testimony.
15 get sorry to bother Sammy. Can we get one more	15 THE COURT: Well, yeah. But that would
16 printout of the actual malice instruction.	16 be like but depositions are witness testimony
17 THE COURT: Sure.	17 that you have during trial. That doesn't go back
18 MR. ROTTENBORN: Thank you.	18 to the jury as evidence. And deposition video
19 THE COURT: Jamie, can we get another	19 depositions are the same. That would give more
20 actual malice.	20 weight to video depositions than witness
21 MR. ROTTENBORN: AA.	21 testimony.
22 THE COURT: All right. Any objection	MS. VASQUEZ: Well, so my question is
7689	7691
1 to the republication, then, as typed?	l it's a follow-up question to that. Obviously this
2 MR. CRAWFORD: No, Your Honor.	2 trial was televised, so there are videos of
3 THE COURT: Okay. We'll put that in	3 witnesses testifying. So
4 there as well.	4 THE COURT: No. None of that comes in.
5 MR. ROTTENBORN: Hold on.	5 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay.
6 THE COURT: Is there a typo?	6 THE COURT: No. The four walls of this
7 MR. ROTTENBORN: Nope, It's okay.	7 courtroom is what the jury decides the case in,
8 THE COURT: It's okay?	8 period.
9 MR. ROTTENBORN: Yep.	9 MS. VASQUEZ: Okay.
10 THE COURT: All right.	MS. BREDEHOFT: And just so we don't
11 MS. VASQUEZ: Your Honor, I just have a	11 have a problem tomorrow also, we can't put in
12 very quick question regarding closing arguments,	12 front of the jury written deposition or trial
13 if we may.	13 testimony?
14 THE COURT: Okay. Sure.	14 THE COURT: That's correct. That's
MS. VASQUEZ: Does the Court have any	15 correct. Only things that are in evidence.
16 objection to us playing very small clips of	MS. VASQUEZ: Understood, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: As long as they're in	MS. BREDEHOFT: But you can show
18 evidence, you can play anything, show any picture.	18 pictures in evidence. You can show video that's
MS. VASQUEZ: Well, that's my question.	19 in evidence.
20 THE COURT: Okay.	20 MS. VASQUEZ: Or audio that's in
21 MS. VASQUEZ: So obviously depositions	21 evidence?
22 were by video, many witnesses, especially	22 THE COURT: Or audio that's in

```
7692
                                                                                                        7694
1 evidence. All that's fair game.
                                                        1 hours. They get two hours.
         MR. ROTTENBORN: No display of written
                                                        2
                                                                 THE COURT: Total.
12
                                                        3
                                                                 MR. CHEW: Is it fine if it's not
  transcripts either.
4
         THE COURT: No written transcripts.
                                                          exactly one hour for each?
                                                                 THE COURT: That doesn't matter to me,
  Just only items in evidence. And --
         MR. ROTTENBORN: No, I was -- I'm on
                                                        6 no.
6
  the same page.
                                                                 MR. CHEW: That's up to us? Okay.
8
         THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make
                                                        8
                                                                 THE COURT: As long as your time is
9 sure.
                                                        9 just two hours, it doesn't matter how much anybody
10
         MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor,
                                                        10 does.
11 for the clarification.
                                                        11
                                                                 MR. CHEW: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
         THE COURT: And you have two hours,
                                                        12
                                                                 THE COURT: Okay?
13 right? Two hours each, and you -- two people over
                                                                 MR. ROTTENBORN: If you wanted to
                                                        13
14 here and two people over there, is that what's
                                                        14 shorten that to about 15 minutes apiece --
                                                                 THE COURT: I would want to shorten it
15 going to happen?
                                                        15
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Yes, Your Honor. We're
                                                        16 to two minutes, but, you know, that's not my take.
17 going to split our closing, and then only one of
                                                        17
                                                                 I gave you two hour. I thought that was
18 us will do the rebuttal.
                                                        18 good.
19
         THE COURT: Okay. And that has to just
                                                        19
                                                                 MS. BREDEHOFT: We got pretty efficient
20 be on the rebuttal case, correct?
                                                        20 at the end of it.
21
         MS. BREDEHOFT: Right.
                                                                 THE COURT: Yeah. That's amazing how
22
         THE COURT: We had that down, right?
                                                        22 that happens, yeah. It's kind of like the physics
                                                                                                        7695
                                                 7693
                                                        1 of, you know, you make a circle, and then people
1 We've gone through that a few times.
         MR. ROTTENBORN: Understood.
                                                        2 will fill that circle. That's how that happens.
                                                        3 I made a circle.
         THE COURT: Mr. Chew, do you have
                                                                 Okay. So let me just go ahead and read
4 something on that?
         MR. CHEW: Yes. I just wanted to make
                                                        5 into the record the order that I'm going to give
  sure we're all on the same page. So plaintiff
                                                        6 the jury instructions. I'll also read into the
                                                        7 record the instructions that were denied, and I
  goes first?
8
         THE COURT: Correct.
                                                        8 will read the instructions that were withdrawn,
                                                        9 just so we have a complete record, okay?
         MR. CHEW: Then the
10 defendant/counterclaim plaintiff?
                                                                 All right. Here we go. For the jury
         THE COURT: Right. They do their
                                                        11 instructions, I'll read them in the following
11
12 defense to your claim, and also they do their
                                                        12 order: Number Heard I; Number 3; Number 10; 1; B,
                                                        13 as in "boy"; C, as in "Charlie"; D, as in "delta";
13 offense to their claim.
                                                        14 E, as in "echo"; F; G; H; 12; 13; Y; FF; AA; BB;
14
         MR. CHEW: And then plaintiff?
                                                        15 DD, that's "David David," DD; TT; CCC; 20; Z, as
15
         THE COURT: Plaintiff gets to do their
16 defense to their claim and their rebuttal.
                                                        16 in "zebra;" T; U; V, as in "Victor"; K; L; 5; 6;
17
         MR. CHEW: And they respond only with
                                                        17 7; 32; 8; 9; 16; II; 30; KK; and JJ.
18 respect to the rebuttal.
                                                                 All right. Those are the ones that
          THE COURT: Rebuttal to their
                                                        19 will be read to the jury, in that order.
19
                                                                 Okay. The ones that were denied was
20 counterclaim only.
         MR. CHEW: To the counterclaim. And so
                                                        21 instruction 22; 23; 24; CC; NN, both as in
                                                        22 "Nancy"; OO; PP; RR; SS, S as in "Sam," SS; 28;
22 it goes one, two, one, two, and plaintiff gets two
```

	May 20, 2022
7696	7698
1 and 29 are the ones that were denied.	THE COURT: Just try to keep it clean.
2 The ones that were withdrawn, for the	2 MR. ROTTENBORN: more paraphrasing
3 record, was instruction A; 2; 4; 11; 14; 15; 17;	3 of witnesses or anything like that.
4 19; 21; 25; 26; 27; X, as in "X-ray"; 31; W; EE;	4 THE COURT: Okay. That sounds fine
5 GG; QQ; DDD; Q; 18; J; M; N; O; P; R; S; HH; LL;	5 with everybody. Just does anybody
6 UU; VV; WW; XX; YY; ZZ; AAA; and BBB.	6 MR. ROTTENBORN: That's fine with me.
7 All right. So we will I will get	7 THE COURT: That's a good question
8 you copies now. I'll get you hard copies of the	8 though. Are you going to have a PowerPoint?
9 jury instructions I'm going to read, in that	9 MR. ROTTENBORN: Probably, with
10 order, so you'll have copies when you leave here,	10 evidence.
11 okay? And I'll give you copies of the verdict	11 THE COURT: Okay. Did you want me to
12 form as well, and you can have those while you	12 have the big screen up as well for the PowerPoint?
13 prepare for your closing arguments.	13 MR. ROTTENBORN: I think so. Yeah. I
14 Other than that, if you could, come up	14 think that would be great.
15 to see Jamie after I leave the bench, just to make	15 THE COURT: Okay.
16 sure you go through the exhibits and everybody	16 MS. VASQUEZ: Thank you, Your Honor.
17 okays the exhibits so we don't have to do that	17 Yes.
18 tomorrow. And also if you can, have a look at the	18 THE COURT: Okay. Good. We'll do that
19 laptop with Sammy, just to make sure all your	19 as well.
20 exhibits are there that are going to go back to	20 MR. ROTTENBORN: And starts at 9?
21 the jury, okay?	21 THE COURT: Starts at 9. Closings
22 MR. ROTTENBORN: Your Honor, one quick	22 start at 9.
7697	7699
1 question about closing	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor,
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay.	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things.
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah.
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow.	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure.	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah, 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that 5 now, before we
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that 5 now, before we 6 THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that 5 now, before we 6 THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to 7 hear your proffer.
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that 5 now, before we 6 THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to 7 hear your proffer. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that 5 now, before we 6 THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to 7 hear your proffer. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the 9 end of Mr. Nadelhaft's.
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that 5 now, before we 6 THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to 7 hear your proffer. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the 9 end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. 10 THE COURT: All right. They have one
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever,	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that 5 now, before we 6 THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to 7 hear your proffer. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the 9 end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. 10 THE COURT: All right. They have one 11 too. So go ahead.
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that 5 now, before we 6 THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to 7 hear your proffer. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the 9 end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. 10 THE COURT: All right. They have one 11 too. So go ahead. 12 MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever,	MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. THE COURT: Yeah. MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that now, before we THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to hear your proffer. MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. THE COURT: All right. They have one THE COURT: All right. They have one MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter THE COURT: If you could, just get to
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever, 12 are like, are headings allowed? Or do you not 13 want the jury to see any written texts or anything 14 other than just evidence?	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that 5 now, before we 6 THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to 7 hear your proffer. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the 9 end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. 10 THE COURT: All right. They have one 11 too. So go ahead. 12 MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter 13 THE COURT: If you could, just get to 14 the microphone so I can hear you better. Or the
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever, 12 are like, are headings allowed? Or do you not 13 want the jury to see any written texts or anything	MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. THE COURT: Yeah. MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that now, before we THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to hear your proffer. MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. THE COURT: All right. They have one THE COURT: All right. They have one MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter THE COURT: If you could, just get to the microphone so I can hear you better. Or the court reporter can hear you better.
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever, 12 are like, are headings allowed? Or do you not 13 want the jury to see any written texts or anything 14 other than just evidence? 15 THE COURT: I mean, I've seen 16 PowerPoints in cases before, in med mals where	1 MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, 2 Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. 3 THE COURT: Yeah. 4 MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that 5 now, before we 6 THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to 7 hear your proffer. 8 MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the 9 end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. 10 THE COURT: All right. They have one 11 too. So go ahead. 12 MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter 13 THE COURT: If you could, just get to 14 the microphone so I can hear you better. Or the 15 court reporter can hear you better. 16 MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever, 12 are like, are headings allowed? Or do you not 13 want the jury to see any written texts or anything 14 other than just evidence? 15 THE COURT: I mean, I've seen 16 PowerPoints in cases before, in med mals where 17 they have their other items up there, but I	MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. THE COURT: Yeah. MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that now, before we THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to hear your proffer. MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. THE COURT: All right. They have one THE COURT: If you could, just get to MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter THE COURT: If you better. Or the court reporter can hear you better. MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever, 12 are like, are headings allowed? Or do you not 13 want the jury to see any written texts or anything 14 other than just evidence? 15 THE COURT: I mean, I've seen 16 PowerPoints in cases before, in med mals where 17 they have their other items up there, but I 18 think in this particular case, I'd rather just	MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. THE COURT: Yeah. MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that now, before we THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to hear your proffer. MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. THE COURT: All right. They have one THE COURT: If you could, just get to MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter THE COURT: If you better. Or the court reporter can hear you better. MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter Than the other day. THE COURT: Oh, good.
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever, 12 are like, are headings allowed? Or do you not 13 want the jury to see any written texts or anything 14 other than just evidence? 15 THE COURT: I mean, I've seen 16 PowerPoints in cases before, in med mals where 17 they have their other items up there, but I	MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. THE COURT: Yeah. MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that now, before we THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to hear your proffer. MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. THE COURT: All right. They have one THE COURT: All right. They have one THE COURT: If you could, just get to MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter THE COURT: If you better. Or the court reporter can hear you better. MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter Than the other day. THE COURT: Oh, good. MR. NADELHAFT: Okay. This is for
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever, 12 are like, are headings allowed? Or do you not 13 want the jury to see any written texts or anything 14 other than just evidence? 15 THE COURT: I mean, I've seen 16 PowerPoints in cases before, in med mals where 17 they have their other items up there, but I 18 think in this particular case, I'd rather just 19 have no writing and just have your arguments 20 orally.	MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. THE COURT: Yeah. MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that now, before we THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to hear your proffer. MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. THE COURT: All right. They have one too. So go ahead. MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter THE COURT: If you could, just get to the microphone so I can hear you better. Or the sourt reporter can hear you better. MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter than the other day. THE COURT: Oh, good. MR. NADELHAFT: Okay. This is for metadata. May 16th and May 17, 2022, the
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever, 12 are like, are headings allowed? Or do you not 13 want the jury to see any written texts or anything 14 other than just evidence? 15 THE COURT: I mean, I've seen 16 PowerPoints in cases before, in med mals where 17 they have their other items up there, but I 18 think in this particular case, I'd rather just 19 have no writing and just have your arguments 20 orally. 21 MR. ROTTENBORN: That's fine. I wanted	MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. THE COURT: Yeah, MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that now, before we THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to hear your proffer. MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. THE COURT: All right. They have one too. So go ahead. MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter THE COURT: If you could, just get to the microphone so I can hear you better. Or the court reporter can hear you better. MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter than the other day. THE COURT: Oh, good. MR. NADELHAFT: Okay. This is for metadata. May 16th and May 17, 2022, the defendant attempted to introduce into evidence
1 question about closing 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 MR. ROTTENBORN: to make sure we 4 don't have any issues tomorrow. 5 THE COURT: Sure. 6 MR. ROTTENBORN: Understand the jury 7 only sees evidence 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 MR. ROTTENBORN: that they've seen 10 in the trial. If there's if that evidence is 11 displayed through, like, a PowerPoint or whatever, 12 are like, are headings allowed? Or do you not 13 want the jury to see any written texts or anything 14 other than just evidence? 15 THE COURT: I mean, I've seen 16 PowerPoints in cases before, in med mals where 17 they have their other items up there, but I 18 think in this particular case, I'd rather just 19 have no writing and just have your arguments 20 orally.	MS. BREDEHOFT: Your Honor, Mr. Nadelhaft has a proffer still on some things. THE COURT: Yeah. MS. BREDEHOFT: Do you want to do that now, before we THE COURT: Sure. Everybody wants to hear your proffer. MS. VASQUEZ: Also Ms. Meyers' at the end of Mr. Nadelhaft's. THE COURT: All right. They have one too. So go ahead. MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter THE COURT: If you could, just get to the microphone so I can hear you better. Or the sourt reporter can hear you better. MR. NADELHAFT: This will be shorter than the other day. THE COURT: Oh, good. MR. NADELHAFT: Okay. This is for metadata. May 16th and May 17, 2022, the

7702

7700

- 1 metadata on the photographs. Ms. Heard testified
- 2 that the metadata was included on the photos by
- 3 pressing a button on her phone. The metadata
- 4 demonstrated the pictures were taken on
- 5 December 21st, 2016, along with the times they
- 6 were taken. The exhibits were Defendant's
- 7 Exhibits 700 through 726 and our Exhibits A
- 8 through AA of the proffer. Tabs N and O of the
- 9 proffer are now blank, as Defendant's 712 and 713
- 10 were admitted with the metadata on May 26th, 2022.
- 11 Mr. Depp objected to the metadata on
- 12 the documents, stating that the metadata was
- 13 hearsay and there was no foundation for the
- 14 hearsay. The Court sustained the objection on the
- 15 ground that the metadata was hearsay and that
- 16 there was no foundation and admitted the exhibits
- 17 but redacted the metadata.
- 18 And then separately, we have a proffer
- 19 for Stephen Deuters. On May 20th, 2022, Ms. Heard
- 20 moved to designate certain portions of the
- 21 deposition testimony for trial of Stephen Deuters,
- 22 who was Mr. Depp's personal assistant, Mr. Deuters

7701

- 1 testified that he was on the Boston flight and sat
- 2 in a seat facing Ms. Heard and Mr. Depp. He
- 3 further testified that the day after the flight,
- 4 Mr. Depp told him he wanted to "smooth whatever
- 5 issue" existed with Ms. Heard. Mr. Depp asked
- 6 Mr. Deuters to "write a text" to Ms. Heard and to
- 7 say "whatever she needs to hear." Mr. Deuters
- 8 then sent a text message to Ms. Heard about
- 9 Mr. Depp stating, "When I told him he kicked you, 10 he cried."
- 11 The testimony is contained in Exhibit A
- 12 and Mr. Deuters' deposition. Ms. Heard moved to 13 admit the text message into evidence arguing it
- 14 was not hearsay under Rule 2:803 which provides
- 15 for the admission of statements of a party
- 16 opponent and its agents. Ms. Heard argued
- 17 Mr. Deuters was acting as Mr. Depp's agent when he
- 18 sent the text, and the text message was therefore
- 19 not hearsay. The text message is Exhibit B to the 20 proffer.
- 21 Mr. Depp objected to the text message 22 as hearsay, arguing that although Mr. Deuters was

- 1 Mr. Depp's employee, sending text messages to
- 2 Ms. Heard was not within the scope of the
- 3 employment. The Court denied the motion to admit
- 4 the text message in testimony, finding that
- 5 Mr. Deuters was not acting as Mr. Depp's agent
- 6 when he sent the text message.
- 7 And I'll provide -- I have copies to 8 provide.
- 9 THE COURT: All right. Fine. We can 10 add it to the list. We have a box for you. All 11 right. Add that.
- 12 MR. NADELHAFT: Oh, sorry, Your Honor.
- 13 THE COURT: I think he has more.
- MR. NADELHAFT: And this is the other 15 one.
- 16 THE COURT: All right. We'll make them 17 part of the record. Thank you, sir. All right.
- 18 Yes, Ms. Meyers.
- 19 MS. MEYERS: Thank you, Your Honor. On
- 20 May 25th, 2022, plaintiff intended to call Dr. Kim
- 21 Collins as an expert witness in forensic
- 22 pathology. Defendants objected on the basis that

7703

- 1 Dr. Collins had not been designated as a defensive
- 2 witness and was designated only to rebut
- 3 Dr. Jordan, which was defendant's forensic
- 4 pathologist who had not been put up at this trial.
- 5 Dr. Collins intended to testify as to
- 6 whether Ms. Heard's descriptions of violence and 7 injuries allegedly sustained by Mr. Depp were
- 8 consistent with the photographic evidence at issue
- 9 in this trial.
- 10 She also, for incidents where there was 11 no photographic evidence, she would have testified 12 as to what injuries she would have expected to see 13 based off of the violence Ms. Heard testify to.
- 14 And finally, she would have testified 15 as to her opinion as to the cause of -- or as to 16 Mr. Depp's finger injury and what she observed 17 consistent -- which was similar to what
- 18 Dr. Gilbert testified to today.
- 19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Any 20 other proffers? Okay. All right. So just don't 21 leave here until you check the laptop and you
- 22 check all your evidence and make sure we get your

```
1 check box on that and everybody's happy with it,
  okay? Anything else for the Court?
         MS. VASQUEZ: No. Thank you.
         THE COURT: Really?
         MR. CHEW: Thank you.
         MS. MEYERS: Thank you very much.
         THE COURT: Thank you. Okay.
         MR. CRAWFORD: Thank you, Your Honor.
         THE COURT: All right. We'll see you
10 in the morning.
         THE BAILIFF: All rise.
11
         (Whereupon, the trial was recessed at
12
13 1:56 p.m. to reconvene at 9:00 a.m., Friday, May
1427, 2022.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
       CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER
        I, JUDITH E. BELLINGER, RPR, CRR, the
3 court reporter before whom the foregoing hearing
4 was taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5 excerpt transcript is a true and correct record of
6 the proceedings; that said proceedings were taken
7 by me stenographically and thereafter reduced to
8 typewriting under my direction; and that I am
9 neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by
10 any of the parties to this case and have no
11 interest, financial or otherwise, in its outcome.
        IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
13 my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 27th day
14 of May, 2022.
15 My Commission Expires: September 30, 2024
17 Qudith & Bellinger
19 NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
20 THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
21
22
```